Geopolymer Concrete: an Emerging Green Material for Modern Construction

Ritupanna Panda, Sagarika Panda, A. M. Mohanty

Abstract: Nowadays the environmental health is considered to be the most important topic for concern. The emission of CO₂ and other harmful gases to the atmosphere become a serious problem and the root cause of environmental alteration. In other ways, the production of cement is reducing continuously due to the unavailability of resources and a large amount of carbon footprint. To overcome all these problems the low CO₂ emitting and alumina silicate rich sources are used as binders instead of ordinary cement. The paper reviews all the recent and experimental works done by the researchers in order to study the physical and mechanical properties of Geopolymer Concrete with different mixtures of binders and additives introduced for increasing the strength and durability. The use of different industrial by-products in concrete development is encouraged and the workability, effects of temperature variation, use of admixture, fibers and effects of water:binder ratio for the Geopolymer Concrete are examined. Reviews indicate that the compressive strength of the Geopolymer Concrete with additional hooked end steel fibers are more than that of controlled Geopolymer Concrete mix.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The process of reactions takes place between monomer molecules and reacting chemical to form three-dimensional chains is commonly stated as polymerization. Geopolymers are the inorganic materials that are formed when a base material rich in alumina and silica reacts with a very strong alkaline solution, termed as geo-polymerization. Ergo geopolymer concrete, in simple terms, can be expressed as an alternate sustainable material to ordinary concrete material. The term ‘Geopolymer Concrete’ was first termed and assayed by Davidovits in the year 1974. Recently, in a national conference in IMMT, Bhubaneswar it is discussed that the limestone content in the earth is reducing day-by-day. Hence the scarcity of limestone will affect the manufacturing of the cement being the raw material brutally. To overcome this drastic situation many of the scientists and researchers found different ways and opportunities by using locally available waste by-products from industries having pozzolanic properties like cement and partially or completely replacing them with cement content in concrete.

Another serious reason behind cement replacement is to reduce carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere to dissuade the global warming problem worldwide.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Materials

Binder: As reviewed the industrial wastes are highly recommended by scientists and researchers as its harmful to the environment but excellent as a replacement to conventional cement having binding properties which also encourages a low carbon footprint. Most of the researchers used Fly ash as the major replacement of OPC for the manufacturing of geopolymer concrete [5, 11, 12, 13, 16, 29, 30]. Some of them used the Fly ash and GGBS in different ratios in their GC mix to study various changes in mechanical properties [1, 2, 6, 14, 25]. Likewise, the investigation of only GGBS as binder replacing OPC is also done by many researchers [23, 26, 28].

However, J. Venkateswararao, K. Srinivasa Rao and K. Rambabu (2017) [4] used Fly ash, GGBS, and OPC in a confined ratio to study the performance of glass fiber-reinforced GC. Paper sludge ash, Metakaolin, High Magnesium Nickel Slag (HMNS) can also be used for research investigation [9, 15, 18, 22, 24, 35].
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Aggregates: Fine aggregates conforming IS standards are used for experimental purposes. The tests for the fine aggregates should be confirming to IS 2386 (Part III)-1963 [1]. The size of the fine aggregate particles should not exceed 2 mm [18]. For geopolymer concrete 10 - 20 mm size coarse aggregates are generally preferred [18]. In some cases, for an experimental purpose, other materials are also considered with a partial replacement of coarse aggregates such as hooked end steel fibers [1], recycled rubber pieces or Crumb [23], crushed granite [4], processed air-cooled Copper Slag [37].

Alkaline solution: Generally Sodium Hydroxide or Potassium Hydroxide pellets are dissolved in water to make a solution where the exothermic reaction takes place. After adding Sodium Hydroxide or Potassium Hydroxide the mixture is stirred for 2 minutes for complete dissolution of the solid pellets in water[13]. Then Sodium Silicate or Potassium Silicate solution is added to it in different ratios. Fibers: Fibers are introduced in the geopolymer mixes in various forms by many researchers in order to study their physical and mechanical characteristics. The fibers used in the geopolymer are steel fibers, glass fibers, natural fibers, etc. The cotton fiber reinforced geopolymer concrete and achieved relatively higher compressive strength as a result [36].

Srinivas et al. (2019) [1] used low carbon hooked end steel fibers of 30 mm length and 0.6 mm diameter having a tensile strength of 1450 MPa. He observed that the compressive strength of 7 and 28 days for samples with constant molarity of alkaline solution increases with increase in volume fraction of steel fibers. The inclusion of glass fibers in geopolymer concrete causes a reduction in slump value in fresh state and the compressive strength for a change decreases with an increase in volume ratio of glass fibers. Whereas the splitting tensile strength and flexural strength shows a hike [4]. In some cases, rubberized geopolymer concrete is examined. Aly et al. (2019) [23] used crumb rubber collected from automotive and truck scrap tires of specific gravity 0.45 to investigate its performance by using slag as a binder. He observed that the slump value decreases but the compressive strength is slightly increased to 10%. The compressive strength also increases by using large sized aggregates and NaOH or Na2SiO3[29].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of geopolymer</th>
<th>Binder used</th>
<th>Molarity</th>
<th>NaOH/Na2SiO3 ratio</th>
<th>Binder ratio</th>
<th>Solution/Binder ratio</th>
<th>Water/binder ratio</th>
<th>Additives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Srinivas et al. (2019) [1]</td>
<td>Fly ash-GGBFS</td>
<td>8M</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Conplast SP-430 and hooked end steel fibers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assiet al. (2016) [13]</td>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>14M</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>Sika Viscocrete 2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meng et al. (2019) [15]</td>
<td>GGBFS-MK</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yacobet al. (2019) [16]</td>
<td>Class F fly ash</td>
<td>8M, 14M, 16M</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>MasterGlenium-7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkateswararaet al. (2017) [4]</td>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>12M</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Chopped strands of glass fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Askarianet al. (2018) [20]</td>
<td>Fly ash-GGBFS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aly et al. (2019) [23]</td>
<td>Slag</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Rubber crumb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alkaline solution activation: Alkaline solution for the geopolymer concrete is a very important task to be taken into account. It is generally made by using pellets of Sodium Hydroxide or Potassium Hydroxide with more than 99% purity which are believed as strong alkalis [16]. The ratio of these alkalis is taken in molars. The review states that the molarities between 14M and 18M give the best strength results.

Yacobet al. (2019) [16] studied the shear strength of fly ash based geopolymer reinforced concrete beams by using 3 different NaOH molarity 8M, 14M, 16M. Cao et al. (2018) [21] used 10M, 12M, 14M. Sodium silicate or K2SiO3 is used in either dissolving solid crystals in water or directly in prepared solution with the alkaline solution to complete the reaction. It should be taken into account that the NaOH or KOH when mixed with water it should be stirred for complete dissolution and during this, the solution goes under exothermic reactions. After the solution is prepared it should be kept in a hot oven for 12 hrs. at a temperature of 75° C and then at room temperature for 24 hrs to cool down [13]. It should be noted that the solution is required to be prepared before 24 hrs from the time of its use for the best results [31]. As the alkaline solution is exothermic in nature its proper precautions and safety should be maintained.

B. Mix Design And Curing

First of all the dry ingredients are being mixed together to form a homogenous texture including fine and coarse aggregates.
After mixing the prepared alkaline solution of the required molarities is added to it by continuously mixing them together. The addition of extra water is applicable in case of requirement. In some of the cases, admixtures are added to it to improve its workability and strength factor [1, 13, 16, 18, 25, 26, 27]. Many researchers and some others utilized some extra fibres like rubber crumb, hooked end steel fibres, glass fibres, etc to the concrete to study the various changes in their structural and mechanical behaviour and durability factors [1, 4, 23].

After the concrete is properly mixed it was kept in cubes of 100mm x 100mm x 100 mm or 150mm x 150mm x 150 mm, cylinders, beams, columns or slabs and left for minimum 24 hrs. to set properly without any disturbance with required compactions. After 24 hr. the concrete members are demoulded and either kept in a pre-heated oven for hot air curing or in an open environment for moderate temperature curing [31].

C. Effects Of Alkali Activator Ratio (AAR), W/B Ratio And AAR/Binder Ratio On Geopolymer Concrete

The AAR has a great impact on the compressive strength of the geopolymer concrete [32]. The NaOH is a key for increasing the workability of the concrete but gets dominated by sodium silicate which reduces it and the increase in NaOH/Na2SiO3 ratio reduces the workability and promotes the compressive strength of the geopolymer concrete [22]. The mechanical properties enhance with increasing AAR [22]. It is necessary to choose a suitable AAR to achieve a proper setting time as well as compressive strength [21]. The higher w/b ratio indicates a reduction in compressive strength drastically. When the ratio of AAR to the binder was kept steady at 7.5% a decrease in slump value is observed [20].

D. Microstructure

The microstructures of the materials highly influence factors like strength, toughness, ductility, hardness, temperature effects and wear resistance. Bharadwaj and Kumar [28] studied the microstructure of Fly ash and GGBS by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging on Quanta FEG 450 of 28 days cured concrete samples. Noushinet al. (2018) [38] has done micro-structural analysis of fly ash using a Hitachi S-3400 N SEM where the samples are cold mounted in an epoxy resin and were polished with a finer sandpaper after which the samples are coated with carbon.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Fresh State Tests

**Slump test:** Slump test is required to identify the workability of the concrete in its fresh state and if needed some amount of extra water is added to it. In the slump test, the measurement of the flow dimensions in both X and Y directions and the time at which the diameter of flow reaches 500 mm are recorded. The geopolymer concrete achieves the highest workability during the slump test which is 122 mm – 145 mm [16,20,21,24].

B. Tests after curing

**Compressive Strength Test:** Zhang et al. (2019) [18] studied the effects of temperature on the bond characteristics of the geopolymer concrete in the year 2018. After the demonstration, he got to know that the compressive strength varies irregularly till the temperature reaches 300º C. After which it starts to reduce continuously.

The compressive strength of a one-part hybrid geopolymer concrete sample at 3, 7 and 28 days respectively in a universal testing machine at a loading rate of 20 ± 2 MPa/min according to AS1012.9 [20]. It is identified that the compressive strength was low in ordinary OPC cubes whereas higher in the case of hybrid geopolymer concrete cubes. The compressive strength of alkali-activated slag GC mix is better than any other GC mixes at different variations [28].

**Splitting Tensile Strength:** According to S. Jena and R. Panigrahi (2019) [31] the splitting tensile for a geopolymer concrete specimen with 14M is excellent and it increases with increase in NaOH concentration between 8M to 18M. But the strength reduces from 14M to 18M.

The STS test for a Class F Fly ash geopolymer specimen with reference to IS : 5816-1999 at 28, 90 and 365 days where it ranges from 5.34–5.49 MPa [30].

**Flexural Strength Test:** Flexural strength test also referred as 3-point load test is investigated by many of the researchers. Aly et al. (2019) [23] examined the flexural strength of OPC replaced by GGBS in different ratios and concluded that the flexural strength for the control mix design is greater than any other mix design geopolymer concrete containing recycled rubber cuts.

Luharet al. (2019) [30] studied for the strength and durability factors of the rubberized geopolymer concrete in which he concluded the flexural strength varies from 6.45-9.97 MPa.
He said that flexural strength for the geopolymer concrete is higher as compared to the usual OPC concrete and it increases with age in all mix designs.

C. Durability

The durability of the geopolymer concrete is investigated by many researchers in different ways. The resistance of geopolymer concrete samples to the chloride diffusion was studied by using ASTM C1556 [28] which states that the low calcium Fly ash based geopolymer concretes are less resistant to chloride attacks. Continuous freeze-thaw cycles lead to a loss in weight of the geopolymer specimens surface exfoliation and water absorption properties of it. It also reduces the compressive strength of the sample [35]. The geopolymer concretes are more resistant to sulphate attack than OPC concrete when exposed to normal exposure conditions. But strength loss was observed at an age of 28 day [32].

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper appraised the study of geopolymer concrete in terms of structural ability and effect on the mechanical and physical properties of the GC. In this study, the comparison among the ordinary OPC concrete and that is based on alumina-silicate sources that are received from various industrial wastes were investigated. Among all other, Class F Fly ash was wide used source of alumina silicate and in some cases as a replacement to the OPC in a certain percentage. Some of the findings can be expressed as the following:

a) The GC as compared to the OPC is found to be more efficient. They attain the compressive strength much higher than OPC.

b) The rubberized geopolymer concrete loses its strength with gain in quantity of rubber crumb and the strength also decreases when the samples are exposed to an elevated temperature above 600° C. Reduction in flexural strength is observed with increase in water-binder ratio.

c) The GC receives greater compressive strength in elevated temperatures than that of moderate or ambient temperatures.

d) All other factors except poison’s ratio decrease with an increase in water-binder ratio.

e) The bond strength in GC is higher than that of OPC due to good binding capacity among the binder and aggregates.

f) Cracks formed in geopolymer concrete are minor and less as compared to OPC.

g) The use of Nano Silica slightly reduces the compressive strength. Whereas the mixing of glass or steel fibers increases the same.
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