

Segmentation of CT Images to Extract Liver using Algorithms



R V Manjunath, K. Karibasappa

Abstract: In all ways of our life advance development in technology is growing. To expand the medical fields has become necessary, including the investigation on which action is made, by understanding the inner complicated arrangement of the abdominal organs example liver and exactly localizing the surface of the liver and its swelling, thereafter successful treatment will be done. Several numbers of algorithms projected to do the automatic liver segmentation. Different published works will be discussing here for liver segmentation. For each work the methods, datasets, outcomes and limitation will be discussing and conversing. A complete relative study is conducted here.

Keywords: Liver Segmentation, CT, CNN, FCN, Deep Learning, Machine Learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

In medical image processing Liver segmentation of CT images of the individual body is a vital topic. Medical image analysis which is extremely computational in nature is a vital biomedical application which can be analyzed with the help of automatic systems. The image investigation performances are frequently used to distinguish the irregularities in the individual bodies through scrutinize images. For removing swelling and its extent a precise and vigorous dissection of liver tissue from medical images is required as in the part of Computer-Aided investigation. Liver segmentation is a demanding job that has mesmerized many researchers due to the following main reasons 1) due to low divergence and unclear edges of liver 2) concentration of pixels in liver area is alike and overlapped with close organs and tissues in abdominal image 3) liver is non-rigid in nature and variant in location and it is very difficult. Different imaging techniques such as CT, MRI, or PET are the typical tools for the examination of liver anatomy such as cirrhosis, liver cancer, etc. with these different techniques, diagnosticians preferred to use CT images meanwhile they offer more precise anatomical data regarding the visualized structures. Segmentation of images & classification of images are the two steps in Computer aided investigation system. Starting

with a pre-processing stage monitor by the definite segmentation is the process of a Liver segmentation. In general, method and approach to liver segmentation is semi-automatic and fully-automatic. Semi-automatic approaches require limited operator intrusion to summarize the area of attention earlier leaving to the PC for dealing out. where, fully-automated segmentation method segments deprived of the help of operator intrusion.

Number of ways inclined for liver segmentation will come under three main categories (i) Gray level-based method (ii) Structure based method (iii) Texture based method.

II. IMAGE SEGMENTATION SURVEY

With regard to a particular appliance partition an image into meaningful regions is known as image segmentation. Breakup of the liver is difficult in health image analysis, as the illustration includes concentration similarities of other organs like pancreas, spleen, kidney, heart etc. Different Semi-automatic and fully-automatic ways have been projected to progress the liver segmentation. Here Different Liver segmentation methods have been explained below.

Gray level-based methods:

- (1) Region growing
- (2) Active contour
- (3) Graph cuts
- (4) Threshold based
- (5) Clustering based

Structure based methods:

- (1) Statistical shape model

Texture based methods:

- (1) Machine learning
- (2) Deep learning
- (3) Pattern recognition

(1) Region growing

On contrast enhanced images region growing based ways can deliver excellent outcome. Region growing method starts with a minute section as seed position and earnings by means of adjacent voxels count, which are of similar concentrations, repeatedly to the grown region. Till segmented region is exactly obtained this process will continue. This approach has been applied in [1]. Segmentation of the liver begins with the seed position in region growing. Each vicinity pixel with the seed position area is measured for resemblance and pixel with the tiniest distance is added to the grown region.

Revised Manuscript Received on February 17, 2020.

* Correspondence Author

R V Manjunath Be*, Mtech, Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering, DSATM, Bangalore

Dr. Karibasappa Kwadiki, Professor, Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering, DSATM, Bangalore

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an [open access](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Segmentation of CT Images to Extract Liver using Algorithms

This operation continues frequently by relating all unallocated adjacent pixels of the grown region.

In fully automatic liver segmentation for feature improved CT images with region growing, first the seed area is determined based on before awareness and to retain the region growing off the heart (typically has nearly the same intensity as liver), they separated heart from liver no later than of linking the underneath of the left and right lung section with a platform. To avoid more segmentation in this area the liver is alienated from the heart. If the liver has large lesions, this technique provides awful result pertaining to all parameters given that the huge lesions are under segmented this is the problem of this approach [2]. Technique that acquires its equality condition automatically from characteristic of the area to be segmented is called adaptive region growing algorithm which has been planned in [3]. From sample locations in the area, Constraints of the similarity criterion are appraised. In a random walk early at the seed point, these locations are selected sequentially and updated continuously for homogeneity criterion. The automatic recognition of seed point is the main benefit of this approach. Context created voting procedure is used for Segmentation of liver Vasculature. Region-based features is used to segment and recognizes the liver vasculature. Expectation Minimization (EM) algorithm is applied to detect the easy- flesh regions using Quad-Tree (QT)decomposition. Classification and Regression (C&RT) pattern is used for initial liver region detection and also the 2D area increasing with proficiency-based restrictions a Semi-automatic method also proposed. Region growing algorithm is used to compute seed point and feature courses. Size and profile of the segmented regions are ensured after applying proficiency-based restrictions and these proficiency-based restrictions will diminish the computational necessities. Following steps are used for examination of Liver vasculature aimed at liver surgical arrangement. In the first period a threshold-based area increasing method is used for segmenting vessels. In the second step graph notional approaches are used to section the skeletons of the vessels by the investigation of container structures such as hepatic vein and portal vein. Nearest neighbor section calculation and Laplacian section calculation are cast-off in the third step. Lastly, information about vessel diameter and vessel visualization based on skeletons is achieved.

(2) Active contour

A semi-automatic liver segmentation is used for the GVF snake algorithm, here histogram similarity and anisotropic distribution filtering is used for enhancing and denoising the images as a first step in the algorithm. By means of Hermite-teeth arch for the primary snake margins numerous physically chosen points were allied. Lastly, generalizing the GVF snake fine segmentation was performed [4]. To generate an edge map, GVF of liver segmentation used the canny contour sensor. For assessing path inconsistency of the GVF defense a new supreme strength position map is presented. A segmentation was finished in a bit-by-bit style. For unsupervised liver segmentation algorithm, Segmentation of the Liver by means of the Deformable Outline technique on CT Images contains of 3 phases. In

pre-processing, to streamline the input CT image by approximating the liver location with the past information around the position of the liver and by carrying out multilevel approach on the assessed liver location. To detect exploration range for deformable contouring the planned scheme employs the multiscale morphological filter recursively with region-labelling and gathering. A Labelling-based exploration procedure on the gradient-label plot is used to find the final contour. For hepatic sores recognition and description built on 3D anisotropic distribution purification deprived of any control parameter grouping of edge recognition performances, histogram investigation, morphological refinement and progression of an intense contour is proposed by C Platero for Liver segmentation [5].

(3) Graph cuts

A combinational optimization technique like Graph cut [6]. where maxflow/min-cut algorithms is used efficiently to compute globally optimal pixel labelling. When segmenting the graph, graph partitioning problem will be arising, however, after noise level in therapeutic data is huge its performance degrades. Additionally, to measure the similarity between two pixels, its performance parameter naturally trusts on the weighting function. To express a appropriate function, especially for health pictures this has become a really tough task. Graph Cut extended by Grab Cut by presenting repeated segmentation plan. Here remain diverse chart-based image segmentation approaches in the works, such as Random Walk [7], but, the collection of primary seeds on markers of attention is dangerous task in changing medical images having slow concentration. Extra efforts are still being completed to grow a strong, suitable and user-friendly technique in spite of having a vast works on graph-based algorithms. In this context, to segment liver as of small difference a CT data we put on a cellular automata-based method.

(4) Threshold based

Global thresholding is used to segment the liver in [8]. Later to find the concluding segmented liver area, some morphological operations are adapted to the image. The drawback in the above approach is to elect a universal threshold value for the entire CT image. Since liver concentration varies rendering to the patient percentage and the CT appliance a immovable threshold cannot be used for liver segmentation. Adaptive threshold technique has been used for liver segmentation in [9]. An advantage of this method is dissimilar threshold are recycled for dissimilar areas in the image. To extract liver pixels on or after the CT stomach image, Neural network-allotted texture analysis of liver tumour from CT images uses adaptive threshold method. In order to maintain the assembly of the liver and to eliminate the minor remains of other tissues in line to the liver with the same concentration as that of liver the morphological operations like concluding and inaugural are performed. Pre difference and post difference images, the concentration of the whole liver area diverges with respect to that of liver cuts and the sort of lesion in the instinctive threshold-placed Liver Cut segmentation in internal 2D-CT Images.

To section the liver and lesions in the abdominal CT images, Statistical measure i.e. standard aberration used to regulate HT to section the liver and cut inside liver whereas mean value of the image is used to control LT and another. Typically, the gray level modification in liver and liver lesion is very thin in pre difference images as related to post difference images, which kinds the subdivision of cut difficult. Lesion restrictions can be accurately determined in pre-contrast images in this proposed method. The advantages of this approach is that, in equally pre difference and post difference images it is capable to segment cuts of several types and scopes and also improves investigation and radiological analysis. Neighboring abdominal organs will be removed with histogram threshold conclusion technique. To progress the excellence of segmentation of the liver a binary morphological filter is used. For Liver Segmentation 3D Anisotropic Diffusion proposed in [10]. The peak concentrations values are represented as liver areas with liver capacity segmentation using threshold-based approaches.

(5) Clustering based

For liver segmentation boosted k-means collecting algorithm is applied in [11]. A specified word processing file is classified into certain quantity of groups by a K means unsupervised learning algorithm. The core awareness to explain K centroids for each group. Cyst area was not pulled appropriately it is the downside of K means clustering. Morphological inaugural by renovation procedure is applicable on the K mean grouping procedure yield to improve its performance. For swelling area segmentation in liver images, boosted K means grouping technique performance is better than area increasing. For liver tumor segmentation with loud or remote opinions along with collections of dissimilar size and unequal model dimensions Fuzzy C means FCM grouping method is not very effective. These problems, can be overcome by using an alternative FCM clustering algorithm. Grouping alike pixels in a repeated way can be achieved using Substitute Fuzzy C Means (AFCM) segmentation procedure, where aimed at all iterations the cluster centers are adjusted.

(6) Statistical shape model

In grand challenge workshop, a statistical form clone-based technique has the top performance between all the procedures [12]. Majority clone-based tactics exploit the Statistical form clone (SSM) that comprises shape resemblance, shape resemblance, and exploration procedures. Between all forms of training sets the shape-clone construction process, launches milestone point's correspondence. Lamecker made the *Statistical shape model* of liver from twenty physically segmented specific CT datasets. A regular tactic is proposed for a limited operator- defined feature arguments wherever a operator describes the feature opinions by disintegrating the surface into areas grounded on diminishing the alteration of the mapping given. Agreeing only a limited point on the surface and then calculating the direct path between them in which patch boundaries were constructed. Using a mere translation, the unkind of the two 3D-shapes, were calculated to make straight the gravity cores of the shapes and a mean least squares (MLS) are used to estimate firm transformation. If the amount of exercise datasets is very small, then SSM

method does not promise good results. Similarly, active contour technique used in many applications of computer vision and some of them achieved good results, but it wants a lot of time to accomplish the request where segmentation attends a pre-processing phase, such as wherever it is castoff for CBIR. To model the expected shape and appeal for the dissection of liver CT measurements Hermann accomplished the *Statistical shape model* on thirty-five training datasets. 2,500 landmarks will be present in underlying SSM. Later, to set the core components of this tactic a limited search alike to the Active Shape technique was used that endeavors for evenness sandwiched between inside and outside forces which to was a deformable mesh. The unconventionality of the net from the fundamental SSM is described by the internal forces, whereas the exterior forces model the fitness to duplicate information. Within the estimation of the external forces they also hired a graph-based finest surface recognition where this technique is depending on image. A statistical form clone with finest surface recognition technique combines three phases for Automatic liver segmentation (1) with a Three-D Comprehensive Hough Transform and identical climbing for localization of liver form clone. (2) with concentration and incline profile for subspace initialization of the statistical form clone. (3) a finest surface recognition tactic created on graph scheme is used to warp the form clone to adjust liver contour. Range and revolution of things are touched in a visceral strength style which needs six-D parameter area and immense Computational charge it is the disadvantage of 3D-GHT. The aspirant ideas probing proceeds repeatedly which takes utmost of the time in subspace initialization of the phase. Graph nodes are appraised in entirety columns through equivalent sampling range in final optimal surface detection step. A divergence boosted CT pictures with Context Based Voting defines section and a region-based features is used to identify the liver vasculature and vessels are arranged by numerous feature point elective operation for Segmentation of liver Vasculature [13].

(7) Machine learning

Compared to traditional methods machine learning is more efficient. With minimal human interaction machine learning containing an established approach, consents a machine to pick up meaningful designs from data straight. The machine learning performance strength is reliant on human awareness. With practices like proper feature preference, transfer learning, and multitask skill a machine can learn more efficiently using human knowledge. Through this co-operation, many applications use machine learning and also state-of-the-skill concert is achieved. More freshly, machine-learning performances have been useful to the medical imaging field [14]. Since deep learning can pick up more sophisticated patterns then conventional machine-learning techniques, deep learning [15] has turn out to be default machine-learning system with reckless enlightening computational authority and the accessibility of massive quantities of information.

Segmentation of CT Images to Extract Liver using Algorithms

Deep learning actions significantly shorten the feature engineering progression and nearly have smooth been applied to raw data straight unlike conventional machine-learning methods. Expertise for appropriate feature determination, specifically significant for the arena of medical imaging investigation hence it takes centuries of exercise to get acceptable area. Therefore, it rents additional investigators to adventure novel designs calmer and quicker. CNN are of special interest among all deep learning methods. Those operated in the ImageNet struggle has rapidly developed a state-of-the-art technique for image processing by manipulating limited connectivity designs professionally with combined mass, CNN. CNN on medical image analysis was applied by many recent works [16]. Deeper prototypes can be competent more efficiently therefore pushing deep learning to one more on a line with procedures rectified linear component and deep residual learning easing problems such as endangered gradient setback. Conflicts in facts presentations and absence of consistent training information, need to be handled these are the challenges remaining. In what way to enhance the allocation of human information to a machine-learning model is an energetic exploration topic. Employing machine-learning performances to medical image information is a special focusing issue and traditional machine learning techniques topics are covered.

(8) Deep learning

The scripts that are able to acquire data depictions on their personal is called a deep learning, where deep learning is a portion of the larger arena of machine learning. To acquire configurations from dataset by exposing hard irregular relationships more precisely, deep learning practices deep neural network designs through a unseen layers. Unseen data can be improved using deeper networks. Superhuman performance in some tasks is achieved using deep architectures with advanced algorithms. Likewise, to hurry up computations has powered the field onward for the application of GPUs. In computer vision challenges study the filters that remained before planned for use in out-of-date tactics as CNN is of particular interest. To reconstruct the input into the favorite output these designs are generally molded by assembling numerous types of layers Providing limited connectivity sandwiched between neurons of head-to-head layers manipulating spatially local associations is a significant feature of convolutional layers. To notice delicate distinctions in the input data, networks are acceptable to study features mutually universally and locally, as identical complications are computed numerous times due to the huge intersection within input areas from neighboring pixels. FCNs started by Long et al. to restrain cost of geometrical evidence following from application of completely allied layers as ultimate layers of sorting CNNs. FCNs own mutually encoding and decoding tracks. FCNs remain mostly accomplished with controlled learning

description that individually input image has a equivalent labelled productivity. Back-propagation Algorithm used for learning process (17). Different methods adapted from computer vision for FCNs is on two-D or three-D variants which are revised for medical image segmentation. These methods are extended to three-D imaging due to the enhancements in three-D convolution calculation proficiency and hardware. These bottlenecks are addressed by presenting Area wise designs, those using two-D or three-D areas adjusted about the voxels in an image.

(9) Pattern Recognition

Classifier tactics are design gratitude performances that seek to segregate a feature space resulting from the image via data with recognized labels. The range space of any activity of the image is the feature area, where image concentrations themselves is the most common feature space. Supervised methods are known as classifiers since manual segmentation of practicing data is required and then it is adapted as orientations for automatically segmenting innovative data. Training facts can be useful in number of ways using classifier methods. Nearest-neighbor classification is a simple classification, with the closest intensity, respective pixel or voxel is classified in the identical class as the training detail. Pixel is classified conforming to the widely held vote of the k contiguous training data that is k-nearest-neighbor (KNN) classification which is a overview of nearest neighbor tactic. A distribution free classification which makes no fundamental guess about the statistical assembly of the facts is known as KNN classifier. The classification is made conferring to the mainstream vote within a predefined space of the feature space positioned at the unlabeled pixel concentration which is the Parzen window a nonparametric classifier. A ML or Bayes classification is the commonly-used parametric classification. It accepts that generally Gaussian the pixel concentrations are self-governing trials from a combination of possibility distributions. Typical classification needs that the assemblies to be segmented hold separate computable features.

Since training data can be considered, as long as the feature area adequately discriminates individually label classifiers can transfer these labels to new data. They are relatively computationally efficient even though being non-iterativ and they can be useable to multi-channel images, unlike thresholding methods. Generally, the shortcoming of classification is that they do not accomplish any spatial modeling. In recent work this softness has been spoken that is outspreading classification approaches to segmenting images that are tarnished by concentration inhomogeneities. Into a classifier approach locality and geometric statistics were also unified. Prerequisite of manual interface for obtaining training data is another disadvantage. For apiece image that desires segmenting, training sets can stay acquired which is a time gripping and problematic.

Table 1: Comparative study on segmentation techniques

Publishers	Year	Used Method	Datasets	Outcome	Constraints
Lu zhang et al. [18]	2018	Fully CNN based on improved u net	ISBI 2017-LITS	U-net Accuracy 0.9983 Recall ratio 0.9329 Improved u net (28) Accuracy 0.9972 Recall ratio 0.9170 Improved u net (35) Accuracy 0.9984 Recall ratio 0.9346	As number of convolutional layers increases complexity of the circuit increases
Shima rafiei et al.[19]	2018	FCN based network (3D-2D-FCN) 3D-2D-FCN+CR	MICCAI 2015	Dice score 92.80 Time 42.72 Dice score 93.52 Time 55.59	Should try for a greater number of datasets
Changjian [20]	2017	MC-FCN (Multi-channel fully convolutional network)	3D-IRCADb And JDRD-M	Accuracy (92%) is better than FCN	Complexity is more, Dataset size only 58
Xinyu jin et al.[21]	2017	Fully convolutional network using DBA (Deeper bottleneck architecture) U-Net 53	3D-IRCADb	Dice= 95.70 VOE= 6.50% RVD= 1.00% ASD= 1.20mm MSD =18.30mm	Computational cost is more
P. Hu et al. [22]	2016	3D CNN and Globally Optimized Surface Evolution	Sliver seven plus local hospitals	VOE = 6.58% ,4.12% RVD = -1.17%, -1.51% ASD = 1.09 mm,0.59mm RMSD = 2.34mm,1.22mm MSD = 22.65 mm,16.51mm overall score = +80.34.5,-80.34.5	To calculate data term computation time spent is 80%
W. Wu et al.[23]	2016	Super voxel Based Graph Cuts	Sliver07	VOE = 7.87% RVD = 1.31% ASD = 1.286 mm RMSD = 2.498 mm MaxD = 23.563 mm	Adjoining the liver borders, at the peak of the liver and at the scene of vena cava there exist a Small segmentation error
L. Huang et al. [24]	2016	SBLDA	3D-IRCAD	sensitivity =0.9659 accuracy= 0.9865 specificity = 0.9903	In case of small liver regions segmentation error occurs In the edge of the liver tumor extraction is failed
J. Peng et al.[25]	2015	A Novel Region Appearance and Graph Cuts.	MICCAI + MICCAI 2007 + local hospitals	VOE = 5.09%,4.07% RVD = 1.88%,0.28% ASD = 0.82mm,0.54mm RMSD = 1.81mm,1.09mm MSD = 20.58mm,13.2mm computation time = 2-3 min total score = 86.5,80.3	It will segment only liver
W. Huang et al. [26]	2013	Fast learning algorithm Extreme Learning Machine.	Different hospitals	VO mean =67.15% VD mean=14.16% ASD mean =2.27mm RMSD mean=2.47mm MSD mean= 8.46mm	It will segment only tumor fail to segment liver
L. Massoptier et al. [27]	2008	Statistical Model Based Approach and Active Contour Technique	Different hospitals	VO = 94.2% Sensitivity= 0.826 Specificity =0.875 Accuracy of liver surface segmentation = 3.7 mm Processing time = 11.4 sec for a 512x512-pixel slice	Detachment of the liver from the heart is failed
D. Wong et al. [28]	2008	2D region growing with knowledge-based constraints	Different Hospitals	AOE =39.40 % AVD= 24.20% ASD=2.20mm RMSD =3.02mm MSD=12.69mm Total Score =64	Due to short dissimilarity visibility and non-uniform lesion texture results in poor segmentation

III. CONCLUSION

Different liver segmentation methods along with the various semi-automated and automated techniques with their merits and demerits in detail have been discussed in this paper. For various applications the aptness of the techniques is also discussed in this paper. Here we also shown the results and

limitations of some of the important liver segmentation methods in table 1. Several best algorithms can be developed through the concepts expressed in this paper. This paper also aids in stressing the importance of engineering contributions in the medical field.

REFERENCES

1. R.S. Moni, S.S. Kumar, J Rajesh, "Automatic Segmentation of Liver and tumor for CAD of Liver", Advances In Information Technology Journal, Vol. 2, No. 1, February 2011
2. "Fully automatic liver segmentation for contrast-enhanced CT image", in the clinic 3D Segmentation: A grand challenge, pp.143-ISO,2007.
3. Pohle, R., Toennies, K.D, "Segmentation of medical images using adaptive region growing", Proc. SPIE Medical Imaging, 2001.
4. Gui T., Huang L.L. and Shimizu A, "Liver Segmentation for CT Images Using an Improved GGVF-Snake", SICE Annual Conference 2007, pp. 676-681,2007.
5. Platero, c.; Pponcelaj.m.; Ggonzalez, p.; Tobar, "liver segmentation for hepatic lesions detection and characterization", bio medical imaging international symposium on 2008.
6. Y.Y. Boykov, M.P. Jolly, Interactive graph cuts for optimal boundary and region segmentation of objects in N-D images, in: Proc. of Int. Conf. on Comp. Vis., vol.1, 2001, pp. 105–112.
7. A. Eslami, A. Karamalis, A. Katouzian, N. Navab, Segmentation by retrieval with guided random walks: application to left ventricle segmentation in MRI, Med.Image Anal. 17 (2013) 236–253 (Elsevier).
8. M.Usman Akram, Aasia Khanum and Khalid Iqbal, "An automated System for Liver CT Enhancement and Segmentation", ICGSTGVIP Journal, Volume 10, Issue IV, October 2010.
9. S.S. Kumar, R.S. Moni, "Diagnosis of liver tumor from CT images using Fast Discrete Curvelet Transform", DCA Special issue on "Computer Aided Soft Computing Techniques for Imaging and Biomedical Applications" CASCT,2010.
10. Wan Nural Jawahir Wan Yussof and Hans Burkhardt, "3D Anisotropic Diffusion for Liver Segmentation", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology 2009.
11. Ramanjot Kaur, Lakhwinder Kaur and Savita Gupta, "Enhanced KMean Clustering Algorithm for Liver Image Segmentation to Extract Cyst Region", IJCA Special Issue on Novel Aspects of Digital Imaging Applications (DIA) (1):59-66, 2011.
12. D. Kainmueller, T.Lange, and H.Lamecker, "Shape constrained automatic segmentation of the liver based on a heuristic intensity model", in Proc. MICCAI Workshop 3-D Segmentation Clin.: Grand Challenge, 2007.
13. Yanling Chi, Jimin Liu, Sudhakar K.Venkatesh, "Segmentation of Liver Vasculature From Contrast Enhanced CT images Using Context based Voting", IEEE Transaction on Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 58, No.8, August 2011.
14. X. Chen, Z. J. Wang, and M. McKeown, "Joint blind source separation for neurophysiological data analysis: multiset and multimodal methods," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 86–107, 2016.
15. Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, "Deep learning," Nature, vol. 521, no. 28, pp. 436–444, 2015.
16. S. Pereira, A. Pinto, V. Alves, and C. A. Silva, "Brain tumor segmentation using convolutional neural networks in MRI images," IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1240–1251, 2016.
17. Rumelhart DE, Hinton GE, Williams RJ: Learning representations by back-propagating errors. Nature 323:533-536, Oct. 1986.
18. Lu Zhang, Li Xu " An Automatic Liver Segmentation Algorithm for CT Images", 3rd IEEE International Conference on Image, Vision and Computing, 978-1-5386-4991-6/18/\$31.00 ©2018 IEEE
19. Shima Rafiei1, Ebrahim Nasr-Esfahani1, Kayvan Najarian, Nader Karimi1, Shadrokh Samavi1, S.M.Reza Soroushmehr, " LIVER SEGMENTATION IN CT IMAGES USING THREE DIMENSIONAL TO TWO DIMENSIONAL FULLY CONVOLUTIONAL NETWORK ", ICIP 2018, 978-1-4799-7061-2/18/\$31.00 ©2018 IEEE.
20. Changjian "Automatic segmentation of liver tumours from multi-phase contrast-enhanced CT images based on FCNs"2017", Artificial Intelligence in Medicine Volume 83, November 2017, Pages 58-66.
21. J Xinyu Jin, Huimin Ye, Lanjuan Li, Qi Xia, " Image Segmentation of Liver CT Based on Fully Convolutional Network ", 2017 10th International Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Design, 2473-3547/17 \$31.00 © 2017 IEEE DOI 10.1109/ISCID.2017.49
22. P. Hu, F. Wu, J. Peng, P. Liang, and D. Kong, "Automatic 3D liver segmentation based on deep learning and globally optimized surface evolution," Phys. Med. Biol., vol. 61, no. 24, pp. 8676–8698, 2016.
23. W. Wu, Z. Zhou, S. Wu, and Y. Zhang, "Automatic Liver Segmentation on Volumetric CT Images Using Supervoxel-Based Graph Cuts," Comput. Math. Methods Med., vol. 2016, no. April, 2016.
24. L. Huang, M. Weng, H. Shuai, Y. Huang, J. Sun, and F. Gao, "Automatic Liver Segmentation from CT Images Using Single-Block Linear Detection," Biomed Res. Int., vol. 2016, 2016.
25. J. Peng, P. Hu, F. Lu, Z. Peng, D. Kong, and H. Zhang, "3D liver segmentation using multiple region appearances and graph cuts," Med. Phys., vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 6840–6852, 2015.
26. W. Huang, N. Li, Z. Lin, G. Huang, W. Zong, J. Zhou, and Y. Duan, "Liver Tumor Detection and Segmentation using Kernel-based Extreme Learning Machine *," 35th Conf. IEEE, vol. 138632, pp. 3662–3665, 2013.
27. L. Massoptier and S. Casciaro, "A new fully automatic and robust algorithm for fast segmentation of liver tissue and tumors from CT scans," Eur. Radiol., vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 1658–1665, 2008.
28. D. Wong, J. Liu, Y. Fengshou, Q. Tian, W. Xiong, J. Zhou, Y. Qi, T. Han, S. K. Venkatesh, and S. Wang, "A semi-automated method for liver tumor segmentation based on 2D region growing with knowledge-based constraints," Midas J., no. January, 2008.

AUTHORS PROFILE



R V Manjunath Be, Mtech, (Ph.D.) Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering, DSATM, Bangalore-82. His areas of research interest are mainly focused on Machine learning and Artificial intelligence.



Dr. Karibasappa Kwadiki Ph.D. Professor, Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering, DSATM, Bangalore-82. His areas of research interest are mainly focused on Machine learning and Artificial intelligence.