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Abstract: Visual frame quality is of utmost significance and is relevant in numerous computer vision applications such as object detection, video surveillance, optical motion capture, multimedia and human computer interface. Under controlled or uncontrolled environment, the video visual frame quality gets affected due to illumination variations. This may further hamper the interpretability and may lead to significant loss of information for background modeling. An excellent background model can enhance good visual perception. In this work, local enhancement technique with improved background modeling, Clipped Adaptive Histogram Equalization (CLAHE) is explored with Kekre’s LUV color space to reduce the illumination inconsistency especially with darker set of video frames and a significant improved average entropy of 7.7225 has been obtained, which is higher than the existing explored variations of CLAHE.
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I. INTRODUCTION
In the field of digital videos, the quality of visual frame is very crucial. Non uniform illumination is one of the major factors hampering the quality of video frame [1]-[3]. Illumination change can occur due to climate changes, sudden alterations of light etc. Inconsistent illumination can affect several applications in the areas of medical diagnosis using imaging, remote sensed imaging, aerial imaging and underground imaging [4]-[10]. There are occurrences of sudden on-off variations in light or sometimes those can be even gradual. There is a need to swiftly adapt to such illumination inconsistencies [2]-[5],[9]. Illumination inconsistency can be present in the overall video frame or in the foreground and also in the background. The irregular illumination in video frames have to be regularized for the visual enhancement of finer details [1]-[7]. In the recent time, there has been significant contribution by researchers to address the background modeling challenges [1]-[8]. Acquiring a background frame that does not include any illumination inconsistency is very crucial. There has been a breakthrough with computer vision to design systems that address the light variations in outdoor scenes in dynamic environment, however a background modeling method robust enough to handle illumination inconsistencies is needed.

There is evergrowing requirement to devise the methods for interpretation of video frames for better understanding of the perceptions; this makes it absolutely essential to have the video frames free from irregularities like noise or uneven illumination [1]-[9]. Therefore there is a dire need to build a robust and adaptive model for both controlled and uncontrolled environment [3]-[5].

Fig.1. Glimpse of Illumination Inconsistent Video Frames
As seen in Fig.1, a glimpse of illumination inconsistent video frames is presented. Through this work an exploration of local enhancement techniques has been carried out for such video frame illumination inconsistency reduction. The further paper is organized as in the Section II Literature Survey is presented, in Section III Proposed Method is discussed, Section IV focuses on Results and Discussion followed by Section V that summarizes the Concluding Remarks.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY
Illumination variations in the video frames needs to be robustly handled through Background Modeling methods. In literature several approaches exist addressing the area of correcting inconsistent illumination. In digital image processing, Histogram Equalization (HE) [10]-[20] has been a simple prevalent method for contrast improvement in images. It uses cumulative density function to obtain the result. Fundamentally, the HE technique can be categorized in mainly classes such as Global enhancement methods and Local enhancement methods. The GHE (Global Histogram Equalization) methods, globally updates the image histogram. These procedures are simpler for implementation and delivers substantial visual perceptibility, however with contrast loss for few sections in images at times. In particular frames, local areas are left...
unenhanced in case of global enhancement methods. Over enhancement and significant contrast loss for image regions is seen in case of global enhancement methods. Ketcham [17] put forth the limitation of global histogram equalization that it is inadequate for enhancement of minor local details and also further suggested “LAHE” (Local Area Histogram Equalization). It is also known as AHE (Adaptive Histogram Equalization). The “LHE” (Local Histogram Equalization) methods are put forth as in Fig.2.

![Fig.2. Local Histogram Equalization Methods](Image)

In “AHE” [9],[15]-[17] a contextual region around a center pixel is defined using a small window. Calculation of the CDF is based on this contextual region pixels. The data of neighbour pixels in contextual region is used for transform function in LHE. It makes good use of local information. It depicts the full dynamic intensity range as required in many display devices. However the processing time is more and there is a problem of over enhancement seen in few cases. The local methods can also be broadly categorized as LAHE [12],[15]-[18], “LHM” (Local Histogram Matching) [12],[16]-[19] and “LNORM” (Local Normal Distribution) [12],[16]-[18]. LAHE is further extended to “CLHE” (Contrast Limited Histogram Equalization). This got eventually extended to “CLAHE” (Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization). In [21]-[24], the CLHE is based on histogram chopping at specific threshold values to further equalize to improve frame’s local contrast. The over enhancement can be avoided by clipping the histogram peak in each tile. Certain concepts of AHE and CLHE are used in CLAHE (Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization). The noise amplification is limited in CLAHE, hence more natural output is obtained. It is highly useful in medical imaging and video broadcasting where the brightness requirement is vital. The hidden features of particular frames can be visible with the brightness level enhancement. Easier calculation, good outputs and simplicity are vital features of CLAHE. However in few cases, time consumption can be more.

In “ANHE” (Adaptive Neighbourhood Histogram Equalization) [12],[15]-[18], a window with no particular dimensions or shape is used for the histogram computation for the region. ANHE is additionally adaptive method for contrast enhancement as compared to LAHE, as the edge as well as window artifacts present in outcome of LAHE, are overcome by ANHE. In “BOHE” (Block Overlapped HE) [12],[16]-[18] method, HE is performed on the histogram of rectangular sub block of the frame. Then, HE is performed on the center pixel and later this center is moved to the adjacent pixel and the process is repeated to obtain uniformly illuminated regions. The computational complexity is however high. In “POSHE” (Partially Overlapped Sub-Block HE) [12],[17]-[19] computation complexity is reduced as there is no over lapping of sub blocks on which HE is applied. Shape differences might appear in this process which can be overcome using weighted sum of histograms obtained from neighbouring sub blocks. “Local Normal Distribution” [12],[16]-[18], are similar to their global counterparts but are applied locally for noise removal. Solving uneven lighting issues in frames and a mathematical model invention for the same is indeed a big challenge. The illumination inconsistencies in a video frame once reduced can be further applicable for real life applications as subjectively and objectively perception would be improved.

### III. PROPOSED METHOD

As per the literature, Local enhancement algorithm, CLAHE [21]-[24] has better prospect and thus in earlier work CLAHE is explored with the different color spaces such as CLAHE_Lab [21],[22] and CLAHE_YCbCr [23]. The CLAHE has been further extended in this work with Kekre’s LUV [25],[26]color space and referred as CLAHE_LUV. Here in Kekre’s LUV color model, L gives luminance and U, V gives chromaticity values of color video frame. Negative value of U indicates prominence of red component in color image and negative value of V indicates prominence of green component over blue. The novel extension with Kekre’s LUV color space is implemented with CLAHE for subjective and objective evaluation. The results obtained are significantly improved with reference to earlier explorations CLAHE_Lab [21],[22] and CLAHE_YCbCr [23]. The flowchart as in Fig.3, states the methodology used for the extension of CLAHE with Kekre’s LUV color space. As seen in Fig.3, the input video frame is in RGB color space with inconsistent illumination. Here the ‘KSD color space’ is a generic luminance-chromaticity color space model considered where K is the luminance component and S,D are the chromaticity components. These S,D chromaticity components in Lab color space represent a, b and in YCbCr color space the chromaticity components are Cb and Cr. In proposed work of CLAHE with Kekre’s LUV color space, the chromaticity components are U and V. The clipped regions of luminance plane ‘K’ are distributed with uniformity in CLAHE. The major limitation of global enhancement methods being unable to address all regions uniformly is very well overcome with CLAHE using Kekre’s LUV color space.
In literature, the luminance chromaticity color spaces CIE-Lab and YCbCr explored with CLAHE as CLAHE_Lab [21],[22] and CLAHE_YCbCr [23]. The testbed used for proposed work are 100 sample frames obtained from one of the 21 video sequences in MOT17 dataset. The selective frames have been putforth as in Fig.4. The enhanced output frames as shown reflect better visual perception with reduced illumination inconsistency.

Table-II: Sample Entropy of Video Frames RGB to CLAHE_Lab, CLAHE_YCbCr, CLAHE_LUV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Sample Frame 1</th>
<th>Sample Frame 2</th>
<th>Sample Frame 3</th>
<th>Average Entropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RGB</td>
<td>7.1277</td>
<td>7.1012</td>
<td>7.1169</td>
<td>7.1153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAHE_Lab</td>
<td>7.7119</td>
<td>7.6852</td>
<td>7.6832</td>
<td>7.6935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAHE_YCbCr</td>
<td>7.7382</td>
<td>7.7092</td>
<td>7.7075</td>
<td>7.7183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAHE_LUV</td>
<td>7.747</td>
<td>7.7186</td>
<td>7.7288</td>
<td>7.7315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Table II, putforth further illustrates the entropy values for selective frames with existing illumination inconsistency reduction methods RGB, CLAHE_Lab[21,22], CLAHE_YCbCr[23] and also the proposed method CLAHE_LUV. Further as seen in Table III, the average entropy each for the input RGB method, CLAHE_Lab, CLAHE_YCbCr and CLAHE_LUV color space is putforth. Here as seen CLAHE_LUV has shown significant improvement with 7.225 over CLAHE_Lab and CLAHE_YCbCr color space.
Table-III: Average Entropy of 100 sample frames with RGB, CLAHE_Lab, CLAHE_YCbCr and CLAHE_LUV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>CLAHE_Lab</th>
<th>CLAHE_YCbCr</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RGB</td>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>[21],[22]</td>
<td>CLAHE_LUV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.1012</td>
<td>7.6412</td>
<td>7.7225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graphical visualization of the average entropy on the testbed of 100 sample frames is putforth in Fig.6.

![Graphical visualization](image)

Fig.6.Average Entropy of Video Frames RGB, CLAHE_Lab, CLAHE_YCbCr and proposed CLAHE_LUV

Through this work, significant results are achieved with Local Enhancement method, CLAHE. There is good scope for the exploration of fusion of local enhancement methods with global enhancement methods for improved background modeling with more consistent illumination.

V. CONCLUSION

The visual perceptual quality that gets hampered due to the inconsistent illumination and leads to significant loss of information for background modeling needs to be overcome. The improved visual quality of the frame can empower subjective perception and recognition tasks. Many techniques have been proposed for video frame quality enhancement with improved background modeling for reducing the inconsistent illumination. CLAHE is a good HE method comparatively among the local contrast enhancement methods and in this work, CLAHE_LUV has shown significant results for the illumination inconsistency especially with darker set of images with an average entropy of 7.7225; which is better than existing methods RGB, CLAHE_Lab and CLAHE_YCbCr.

There is ample amount of scope where the local contrast enhancement techniques can be fused and novel methodologies be developed to overcome the grey areas of both, for enhanced visual perception and illumination inconsistency reduction.
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