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Abstract: Of late, Cloud Computing is visibly seen to reduce infrastructure costs with high data availability and performance conforming to service level agreement for both the service providers and the users. With the rapid and explosive growth of the number of cloud users, Cloud Data Management System must serve an array of different analytical and transactional workloads. Hence, to ensure the scalability in a multi-tenant system, replica placement algorithms always come into the picture appropriately. In our work, we have vividly analyzed various replica placement algorithms in terms of their performance and tried to find the beneficial aspects to be the fittest one to tackle the situation when the actual observed workloads are immensely deviated from the estimated workloads.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the predominant implementation of cloud computing, nowadays, many service providers have started offering Database-as-a-Service (DBaaS) [1], [2] to their customers, such as Amazon Relational Database Service (RDS), Microsoft SQL Azure, salesforce.com, database.com, Xeround cloud database, Oracle cloud etc. [3]. Primarily, DBaaS is a paradigm where the end users can request database services, consume it for one’s own purpose as per the contractual agreement and then the service is automatically de-provisioned and returned to the resource pool. DBaaS provides flexible resource offering and pricing model. Moreover, multi-tenancy is one of the prime features of DBaaS. Multi-tenant data management is a key application of Software as a Service (SaaS) in advanced form, where a third-party service provider hosts database as a service and provides its customers as on-demand services. SaaS applications are deployed on a shared environment that can be accessed by the users from client-end software via Internet. Multi-tenancy is a software architectural principle where a single instance of the software runs on a server, serving multiple tenants as and when required. Multiple tenants can interact with the main software with a common user interface (UI) and they can save data in a single database to reduce the total infrastructural cost of ownership.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY AND REVIEW

There are several research activities have been published on the various aspects of Database Management System in Cloud. In [1], we have studied on Oracle Database Cloud Service. In [2], we have studied on Database as a Service (DBaaS) using Oracle. In [3], we have seen the intelligent database placement in cloud environment. In [4], the research work has been carried out on the database workload management. The research work pertaining to the high-performance cloud data management has been published in the paper [5]. In [6], we have seen the necessity of the cloud DBMS which is designed explicitly for...
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Authors explained here the architecture of the cloud DBMS. Authors in [7] described the data management system to improve security and availability in Cloud Storage. We have gone through the research work on the several aspects of Bin Packing Algorithm in [8], [9], [10] and [11]. In [12], a survey on Replica Server Placement Algorithms for Content Delivery Networks has been carried out by the authors thoroughly. A comparative study has been done on heuristic procedures in [13] to solve Bin Packing Problems.

III. OVERVIEW OF BIN PACKING ALGORITHM

The Bin Packing Problem is NP Complete. More illustratively, we can consider the classical bin packing problem as following.

We are given a set $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of items, where item $i \in I$ has size $s_i \in (0, 1)$ and a set $B = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of bins with capacity one. Find an assignment $\alpha : I \rightarrow B$ such that the number of non-empty bins is minimal. However, we can write, $S(I) = \sum_{j \in I} s_j^*$ for any $j \subseteq I$.

First Fit Bin Packing algorithm says to scan the bins according to the order and place the new item in the first bin that is large enough to hold it. A new bin is created only when an item does not fit in the previous bins. Let $N$ be the total number of bins required to fit all items and $N_0$ be optimal number of bins required so mathematical derivation of bin packing algorithm,

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_i > 1$$
$\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_j > 1$
$\ldots$
$$\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_m > 1$$

If, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots = \sum$ then, $2\sum > N - 1$

thus, $2\sum \geq N$

implies, $N_0 \geq \sum \geq \frac{N}{2}$

Next Fit Bin Packing algorithm says if the current item fits in the current bin. If so, then place it there, otherwise start a new bin. Again, according to Best Fit Bin Packing algorithm a new item is placed in a bin where it fits the tightest. If it does not fit in any bin, then start a new bin.

The Next Fit algorithm works as follows:
1. Initially all bins are empty, and we start with bin $j = 1$ and item $i = 1$.
2. If bin $j$ has remaining capacity for item $i$, assign item $i$ to bin $j$, i.e., $\alpha(i) = j$, and consider item $i + 1$. Otherwise consider bin $j + 1$ and item $i$.
3. Repeat step 1 to 3 until item $n$ is assigned.

Now, let $N$ be the number of non-empty bins in the assignment a found by Next Fit. Let $N_0$ be the optimal number of bins. We show the slightly stronger statement that $N \leq 2N_0 - 1$. New item is placed in a bin where it fits the tightest. If it does not fit in any bin, then start a new bin. If optimal algorithm uses $M$ bins, then Best Fit uses at most $1.7M$.

It can be shown that

$$\frac{FF(N)}{N_0} \geq 17N/(10N + 17) > 1.72/N_0$$
$$\frac{BF(N)}{N_0} \geq 17N/(10N + 17) > 1.72/N_0$$

IV. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHM

The asymptotic approximation ratio for next fit is less than or equal to 2 which is 1.7 in case first fit and best fit algorithm. The next fit algorithm runs in linear time i.e. $O(n)$ whereas first fit and best fit algorithm runs in logarithmic time i.e. $O(n \log n)$.

![Fig. 1. Performance comparison between $O(n)$ and $O(\log n)$](image1)

![Fig. 2. Asymptotic polynomial time approximation ratio](image2)

V. RESULT

We have conducted our experiment with a suitable program which can identify whichever Bins are allocated or not allocated based on the size of the Bin on completion of the algorithms case wise. Here, we have taken the following Bin size and Item size as the input.

**Case 1: First Fit**

Input: Bin Size $[] = \{210, 450, 300, 420, 675\}$; Item Size $[] = \{235, 578, 105, 426\}$;
We have found some of the items are allocated in the bin after applying ‘First Fit Algorithm’ and at the same time we have identified which item is not allocated on completion of the algorithm.

Output:

### Table- I: Demonstration of the First Fit algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Items</th>
<th>Size of the Item</th>
<th>Allocated Bin or Not Allocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>Not Allocated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, we have taken the following Bin size and Item size as the input.

**Case 2: Best Fit**

Input: Bin Size [] = {210, 450, 300, 420, 675};
Item Size [] = {235, 578, 105, 426};

We have found all the items are allocated in the bin after applying ‘Best Fit Algorithm’.

Output:

### Table- II: Demonstration of the Best Fit algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Items</th>
<th>Size of the Item</th>
<th>Allocated Bin or Not Allocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, we have taken the following Bin size and Item size as the input.

**Case 3: Worst Fit**

Input: Bin Size [] = {210, 450, 300, 420, 675};
Item Size [] = {235, 578, 105, 426};

We have found some of the items are allocated in the bin after applying ‘Worst Fit Algorithm’ and at the same time we have identified some of the items are not allocated in the bin on completion of the algorithm.

Output:

### Table- III: Demonstration of the Worst Fit algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Items</th>
<th>Size of the Item</th>
<th>Allocated Bin or Not Allocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>Not Allocated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>Not Allocated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, we have taken the following Bin size and Item size as the input.

**Case 4: Next Fit**

Input: Bin Size [] = {210, 450, 300, 420, 675};
Item Size [] = {235, 578, 105, 378};

We have found all the items are allocated in the bin after applying ‘Next Fit Algorithm’.

Output:

### Table- IV: Demonstration of the Next Fit algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Items</th>
<th>Size of the Item</th>
<th>Allocated Bin or Not Allocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, we have taken the following Bin size and Item size as the input.

**Case 5: First Fit Decreasing**

Input: Bin Size [] = {210, 450, 300, 420, 675};
Item Size [] = {235, 578, 105, 378};

We have found all the items are allocated in the bin after applying ‘First Fit Decreasing Algorithm’.

Output:

### Table- V: Demonstration of the First Fit Decreasing algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Items</th>
<th>Size of the Item</th>
<th>Allocated Bin or Not Allocated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSION

We have analyzed various Bin Packing Algorithms here in our research work. Although, Bin Packing Algorithm is truly a heuristic technique, it is guaranteed that this problem-solving methodology cannot produce the full-proof optimal result to find the optimal scalability as a best replica placement process in a multi-tenant cloud system, still the Best Fit Algorithm is said to be chosen as the best one in this context from our derived and proved experimental result.

Further research work can be carried out for the speed up factor of the Bin Packing Algorithm by proposing a modified algorithm which could make the algorithm faster. In that case, we can analyze and compare the overall Throughput of the modified algorithm versus the existing one.
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