Abstract: Privacy preserving data mining is a growing field with advancements reported frequently. In this paper, for maintaining privacy of medical data of patients, a novel visual crypto technique of peeling with modular scheme is proposed. In this work, using the concept of group theory, PPDM for medical data is done using Verilog.
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I. INTRODUCTION

An algorithm in data mining deals with creating a suitable model that maps the input and output attributes appropriately. Using the data provided, the algorithm creates patterns depending on the iterations thereby finding parameters for the model to be created. These created model is further optimized using all the input data which is applied on it. Data-mining algorithms which is the core of the data-mining process provide knowledge based decision-making capabilities for many operations in data mining. The algorithm creates a model from the input data which can be clustered and classified for better accuracy.

Privacy Preservation Data Mining (PPDM) is very important for medical data as the identity of persons having specific disease must be kept secure. Many techniques are proposed in literature and the paper is organized as follows: section 2 deals with literature survey, section 3 with proposed algorithm, section 4 with experimental results and section 5 ends with conclusions and future work.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Private data are used for research purpose but anonymization has to be maintained to limit the disclosure risks. Privacy preservation in data mining has become an important research area with many literature surveys. In [1-5] the authors describes various proposed methods in visual cryptography. In [6-9], various data mining techniques with privacy preservation is discussed along with various privacy disclosure problems.

III. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

The three processes done in this work is as follows:

1. The messages must be represented in the range between 0 and (n-1).
2. Create cipher text by encryption.

Decrypt the cipher text.

The four rounds involved for encryption are as follows:

1. Frames formation: Frames are formed by subdividing the images.
2. Frame Slicing: In this step, the frames are further divided into number of slices.
3. Randomization of the slice positions: In this step four bytes in each column are taken as input and generated as output.
4. Exploiting Concurrency with hardware: In this process the slices are processing concurrently in multiple partitions.

The steps involved in decryption are as follows:
1. Reverse shift slice positions
2. Combine frames
3. Reconstruct image

The entire process of PPDM using visual cryptography is shown in figure 1.

For assigning different levels of slicing, the randomized slice positions for eight slices are shown in Table I.

Table 1: First level slices

| 7 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 8 |

1. Frames formation: Frames are formed by subdividing the images.
2. Frame Slicing: In this step, the frames are further divided into number of slices.
3. Randomization of the slice positions: In this step four bytes in each column are taken as input and generated as output.
4. Exploiting Concurrency with hardware: In this process the slices are processing concurrently in multiple partitions.
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(b) Decryption

Figure 1 Privacy preserving data mining using visual cryptography with concurrent slice tracking. From Table I, it can be seen that image sub-frame of M x M of total size N x N is divided into eight frames. The split slices of a sub-frame are rearranged randomly in Table I [i.e. 1st position is occupied by 7th slice, 3rd position is occupied by 4th partitioned slice and so on]. Using Table I and the positional information, the destegano operation is performed. The recovered slice are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Recovered slices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slice position</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st partition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd partition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd partition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th partition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Slice position tracking algorithm is shown below. Initialize array1, array2; p=j=1; /* p & j are array indices */

Start:
if array1[p] == j;
{  
  array2[j] = p; j = j+1; p = 1;  
}
else p = p + 1;

The procedure of destegano algorithm is implemented using image of size N x N, is taken which is sliced as in figure 2.

Figure 2 N x N image with four partitions
For p=3; the 3rd slice in 1st partition will be of size $M_{13}^{(1)} = Row 0$ to $Row 31$ and $M_{32}^{(1)} = Col 8$ to $Col 11$ and so on as shown in figure 3.

Figure 3 Partitions and slices
For concurrent processing, the sequential search is done as shown in figure 4.

Figure 4 sequential search
The timing and task handled relation is given in Table 3.
Table 3: Time and task handled relation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Tasks handled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t_1$</td>
<td>Slice$^{(p_1)}$, Slice$^{(p_2)}$, ……….Slice$^{(p_m)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_2$</td>
<td>Slice$^{(p_1)}$, Slice$^{(p_2)}$, ……….Slice$^{(p_m)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_N$</td>
<td>Slice$^{(p_1)}$, Slice$^{(p_2)}$, ……….Slice$^{(p_m)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The concurrent operation timing diagram is shown in figure 5.

![Timing diagram for slice searching](image)

**Figure 5 Timing diagram for slice searching**

Visual crypto being the process of peeling the partitions in original image and grouping the portions, structure theorem can be stated as follows.

**A. Structure Theorem:**

The individual elements in a group are commutative and abelian. The complete image is the addition of the individual expressed as $G \cong Z_{n_1} \oplus Z_{n_2} \oplus Z_{n_3} \ldots \oplus Z_{n_k}$.

If there are five elements in each sub group, then it corresponds to $Z_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the natural numbers up to ($n_1$-1) and totally there are five elements in $Z_5$. Similarly, $Z_5$ consists of 0’s and 1’s and $Z_4$ consists of 0, 1, 2 and 3.

Thus, size of elements in $G$ is the product i.e. $n_1 \cdot n_2 \ldots \cdot n_k$.

The original image and the visual crypto subgroups also satisfy the isomorphism property:

**Isomorphism (Equality of groups)**

If there exists a bijection: $G \rightarrow H$ one-one correspondence between $G$ & $H$ and $\cong$ is the isomorphic operator. The satisfied properties include $\varphi (g_1 \cdot g_2) = \varphi (g_1) \cdot \varphi (g_2)$.

where the multiplication in left (right) side is in $G$ (H).

**B. Transformation method:**

Totally ‘N’ frames exist and morphism from one group to another is done. For example, $Z_{12} = Z_2 \oplus Z_3$.

1st frame classified as $Z_2 = \{0, 0, 0, 1\}$ (0) and (1, 1) i.e. 4 sub frames

In $Z_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, 0 = mod(4) and also 3+3+3+3 = 0 mod(4)

In $Z_6$ d(1) = $d(3) = 4$ i.e. the minimum number of times ‘1’ should be added to get 0 after mod(4).

Similarly, (d(2)) = 2 i.e. 2+2 = 4 and mod(4) = 0. The identity elements is obtained by adding two terms of element ‘2’ to get the identity element in $Z_4$ i.e. ‘0’. Three transformations applied to visual crypto with original image in 12 partitions are.

**Case (i) Subgroups in $Z_4$ and cosets in $Z_2$**

$P_1 = \{0, 3, 6, 9\} \cong Z_4$

And cosets are $P_1, P_2, P_3 \cong Z_4$

The crypto frames are $\{0, 3, 6, 9, 1, 4, 7, 10, 2, 5, 8, 11\} \cong Z_{12}$

**Case (ii) Subgroups in $Z_6$ and cosets in $Z_2$**

$L = \{0, 4, 8\} = Z_2$

The cosets are $L, L + L, L + 2L, L + 3L \cong Z_4$

The crypto frames are $\{0, 4, 8, 1, 5, 9, 2, 6, 10, 3, 7, 11\}$

**Case (iii) Subgroups in $Z_6$ and cosets in $Z_2$**

$M = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10\} \cong Z_6$

Cosets are $M, 1 + M \cong Z_{12} / M \cong Z_2$

The crypto frames are $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11\}$

Figure 6 shows the destegano method.

**Figure 6 The destegano process**

**IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

The execution time of the proposed algorithm is compared with standard algorithms like DES and AES and the results are tabulated in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 Execution time comparison for Encryption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>original file size(kb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that the proposed algorithm reduces the execution time(ms) compared to standard algorithm like AES and DES.
Table 5 shows the encrypted file size for the proposed algorithm which is compared with AES and DES. It can be seen that the encrypted file size has reduced as only size of image share are considered. The verilog implementation results are shown in figure 7. Encryption process execution time comparison

Table 5 Encrypted file size comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>original file size(kb)</th>
<th>Image share size of proposed algo.(kb)</th>
<th>Encrypted file size for DES(kb)</th>
<th>Encrypted file size for AES(kb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 Verilog Implementation

VI. CONCLUSIONS

An algorithm for PPDM using visual cryptography was proposed and implemented in this work. The step by step procedure of the proposed algorithm is discussed and implemented. Verilog implementation of the algorithms has been done. Proposed future work is to implement these algorithms in mobile phones.
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