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Abstract: Spread spectrum communication is a communication method that deliberately makes the bandwidth of the transmitted waveform larger than would be required to transmit the data over the channel. One of the most encouraging multiplexing techniques for present and forthcoming telecommunications services, such as private communications, ad-hoc wireless communications, third-generation cellular telephony and sensor networks, is the CDMA (code division multiple access) implemented with direct-sequence (DS) signaling. DS-CDMA’s benefits include superior operation in multi-path settings, flexibility in channel allocation, enhanced capacity in fading settings, and the capability to share bandwidth with narrowband communication technologies without deteriorating the efficiency of either system. In our work, we are proposing a new know-ba strategy to dismissing interference where originally monitoring signal environment with high precision is called from the library and subsequently based on data that is appropriate for dismissing interference. Various interference scenarios are simulated using computer simulations to show the efficiency of the method.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Higher and greater information requirements and communication system specifications are being suggested with the growth of contemporary society[1]-[6]. However, it is hard and sometimes even impossible to meet these needs and specifications with standard modulation and coding schemes[7]. Therefore, in reaction to these circumstances and requests, some more sophisticated transmission methods using Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiplexing (OFDM) are increasing [8]-[10]. Spread spectrum signaling, other than OFDM, is one of the most extensively used communications techniques. Specifically, in comparison with its data rate[7 ], it is a signaling system that uses a very large transmission bandwidths. Bandwidth sacrifice[11] is a trade-off for reduced transmitter energy, privacy, and security. Therefore, Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) is the most frequently implemented signaling of the spread spectrum[12 ]. All these above-mentioned merits and characteristics can not be accomplished through standard transmission methods and therefore the spreading of spectrum signaling maintains a significant place in modern communication engineering research[12]-[15 ]. Spread spectrum communication is a technique of communicating whereby the bandwidth of the transmitted waveform is purposely made broader than would be necessary to communicate the data over the channel. Spread communication with spectrum has excellent anti-noise and anti-multipath fading capabilities. It can efficiently decrease deliberate and unintentional interference. The spreading is accomplished in one of two possible manners

i) Direct sequence spread spectrum, there is data bits, superimposed upon wide bandwidth spreading sequence. This sequence is frequently produced from a linear feedback shift register and is often called pseudorandom noise sequence.

ii) Frequency hopping: The spectrum is spread by taking the original signal and periodically changing its carrier frequency in a seemingly random manner. Interference rejection schemes are very much essential for smooth functioning of systems.

II. ESSENTIAL CONCEPTS AND ADVANTAGES OF SPREAD SPECTRUM SIGNALING

This Spread spectrum signals are defined by the fact that the signals with the necessary bandwidth W are much bigger than the information rate R[7 ]. To evaluate this feature, we can identify the bandwidth expansion[7 ].

\[ B_s = \frac{W}{R} = \frac{T_s}{T_c} \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \]

Usually, \( B_s = 1 \), should be an integer for practical applications for spreading spectrum signals[7 ]. As we learned from the review of literature[17]-[18 ], we understand that bandwidth is very valuable and could a huge amount of dollars[19 ]. Therefore, it is needed to explore why it is worth implementing such a bandwidth-efficient method and how it can be used to enhance a communication system’s efficiency. In short, the immunity against a multitude of interference can be considerably enhanced by using a big quantity of bandwidth and high consistency is achieved[6]. This is essential for certain unique channels of communication used for rescue, army and other crises[20]-[21]. Also, as the bandwidth is sufficiently big, it is possible to reduce the respective transmitter power, which is more energy-efficient and suitable to be enforced for some unique occasions when a rigorous transmitter energy threshold is set[23 ]. In addition, the safety may also be improved along with the low power characteristic, since the truncation of the spread spectrum signals acts as noise for the band-limited receivers and cannot be intentionally intercepted and blocked without the prior information about the spread spectrum signaling scheme. This type of signal is therefore categorized as a signal with low probability of interception (LPi)[24 ]. The principle of immunity from interference with inter-symbols (ISI) brought about by signaling the spread spectrum. Although various transmitters share the common bandwidth, they encode their...
data using distinct coding systems and so only the expected receivers can decode the respective received signals. Therefore, indistinguishable and discarded signals coded by some other codes[25]. The ISI can thus be reduced. Since the codes used to distribute sequences are a sequence of distinct pseudorandom patterns, this method is called Code Division Multiple Access, the most frequently used spread spectrum signaling technique[26].

III. KNOWBA (KNOWLEDGE BASED) FILTER DESIGN[27]

This section provides simulation outcomes for two distinct situations to show the performance improvement obtained by our knowledge-based cancellation of interference over the adaptive transversal filter. In terms of bit error rate (BER), performance is defined. For perfect synchronization, the BER curves were obtained. Different combinations of jamming signals are used in the two situations where each jammer's strength alone is of a magnitude big enough to override the spread-spectrum system's processing gain, resulting in important demodulator output mistakes.

Fig 1 :Block diagram adaptive knowledge based filter

Use gradient and genetic algorithm to use the block diagram for HOS (Higher Order Statistics). Let \( x(k) \) and \( z(k) \) denote the sequence of the received signal and the sequence of the interference signal, both of which are divided into samples in chip rate.

\[
x(q) = s(q) + I(q) + n(q)
\]

\[
z(q) = w(q) + n(q)
\]

where \( s(q) \) is DS signal, \( I(q) \) is narrow band interference signal, \( w(q) \) is a zero-mean, non-Gaussian reference signal highly correlated with the interference.

Let \( C_{xxzz} = \langle m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \rangle \) means the nth order crosscumulants between the reference and received signal, \( C_{yyzz} = \langle m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \rangle \) means the nth order crosscumulants between the reference signal and filter out. Then,

\[
C_{xxzz} = \sum_j h_j C_{zzzz}(j + m_1, j + m_2, \ldots, j + m_n - 1)
\]

The error is expressed as

\[
\xi = \sum_{m1} \cdots \sum_{m_n} [C_{xzzzz}(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) - C_{xzzz}(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)]^2
\]

The gradient algorithm is used to update the filter coefficients. Its convergence rate is quicker compared to SOS-based filter, and it is very insensitive to Gaussian noise, but its output is sometimes not very stable. Thus, base filtering of genetic information is adapted. The search for the optimum solution is based on the concept of natural selection. It consists of three major activities: aberrance, inheritance, and cross-breed. The excellent solution is kept through the above three activities and the bad one is discarded. The chromosome's original state is not very essential because the genetic algorithm can check the entire room. We can define the algorithm as follows:

1. Coding of Chromosome
2. Computing the aim function.
3. Performing the correlation operations.

Simulation:
In our simulation the MA model interference is measured and we used the fourth-order cumulant. The assessment of fourth-order cumulant is expressed as

\[
\hat{C}_{xyz}(q, m_1, m_2, m_3) = \hat{R}_{xz}(q, m_1, m_2, m_3) - \hat{R}_{xx}(q, 0, m_3)\hat{R}_{xz}(q, m_2, m_3) - \hat{R}_{xz}(q, 0, m_2)\hat{R}_{xz}(q, m_1, m_3) - \hat{R}_{xz}(k, 0, m_3)\hat{R}_{xz}(k, m_1, m_2)
\]

Where

\[
\hat{R}_{xzzz}(q, m_1, m_2, m_3) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} x(i)z(i + m_1)z(i + m_2)z(i + m_3)
\]

\[
\hat{R}_{xxz}(q; l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} x(i + l_1)z(i + l_2)
\]

Simulation parameters:
The training of filter coefficients is done using two methods:

1. gradient algorithm
2. genetic algorithm

The signal of concern in computer simulation is a DS signal, the reference signal is produced by a sine wave excited MA scheme, the MA parameter is given as \[1; -0.2, 0.2, 0.7; -0.65, 0.3; -0.25\]. The JSR is 20dB, the adaptive filter order is 16, and randomly chosen its original state.

Frequency selection:
It is selection by the Pseudo noise which is sent by the sender to the receiver. Based on the PN code filter frequency is selected.

Filter algorithm:
Spread spectrum:
Transmitter (M, S)

P \leftarrow M

For \( i = 1, \ldots, s \) do

\[ S_i[i \ldots S] \leftarrow S[i \ldots S] \]

\[ S_i[1 \ldots i-1] \leftarrow C[1 \ldots i-1] \]

\[ M_i \leftarrow P_i[1 \ldots i-1] / 2 \]

PN \leftarrow PN(S_i)

Spread M_i with PN_i

\[ P_{i+1} \leftarrow P_i[M_i + 1, \ldots, P_i] \]
End for
Where :
M – Message
S – Secret key
P – left message
PN – Pseudo Noise
Receiver :
RECEIVER(S, R, n, l)
OldCache $ GetCache (S)
a $ MACAddress (R)
for all CurrentBuffer $ GetBuffer (S)
   Corr [1, . . . ,nl] $ FastCorrelate (CurrentBuffer, a)
   for all j ! {1, . . . ,nl} do
      if Corr[j] > threshold then
         push j into PEoM[]
      end if
   end for
Empty Cache:
For
   if PEoM[] is empty then
      OldCache $ CurrentCache
   else
      Cache $ concat (OldCache, CurrentCache)
      KeyInfer (Cache, PEoM)
   end if
end for
end procedure
Where:
PEoM – Possible end of message
KeyInfer – Infer key function
S – Sampled data
l – length of message
R – rate of transfer
n – number of bits in key

Parameter used for simulation:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spread Spectrum factor</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Packet size</td>
<td>1024 bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of key</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jammer signal range</td>
<td>-30dB to 20dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>2.4GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation</td>
<td>BPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise power</td>
<td>-20dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. RESULTS

The figure above shows the appropriate frequency spectrum of the filter after application. The figure above shows after application and after application of the filter. The energy corresponding to the 50 Hz is -26.29dB from the frequency spectrum for the pre-filtration. When the notch filter is applied, the energy is decreased to -35.75dB by the 50 Hz signal. It shows that the filter decreases the interference of the power line in the signal.

Fig 1 : Spectrum frequencies after filtering
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Fig 2 Signal Interference to Noise Ratio improvement
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Fig 3 Bit Error Ratio vs SNR

Above figure shows the Improvement over the Bit Error Ratio vs Signal to Noise ratio (dB). We compared the proposed Knowba results with the Recurrent Neural Network based (RNN) predictor and Liner predictor based low pass filter (LPF).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we propose a new knowledge based strategy to reject interference in narrowband Direct sequence spread spectrum system. It is shown that this system achieves significantly better SINR and BER performance than system based on Recurrent neural network and low pass filter. The energy is decreased to -35.75dB by the 50Hz signal when filter is used.
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