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Abstract: Corners of an object are important as features for the representation and analysis of its shape in computer vision. Corner detection, particularly in real scenes, is still a challenge. Most of the corner detectors found in the literature generate a number of false corners, which is not acceptable in real-life applications. In this paper, an improvement to a class of corner detection algorithms is presented using image fission/fusion. In this approach, a grayscale image is first divided into several bit-planes. A corner detector is applied on all the bit-planes simultaneously and a threshold (bit-plane) is obtained using the concept of information gain. Finally, all the higher bit-plane corners are recombined (up to some thresholded bit-plane) to obtain the final set of corners. Here the corner detection algorithm is considered as a binary classification problem. Experimental results show that this improved approach reduces the number of erroneous corner detection relative to existing spatial domain corner detection algorithms. The improvements are established with the help of a number of performance measures proposed by various researchers. The proposed approach works better with respect to computational time also. This approach can easily be utilized in different low-level image processing applications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Image corner detection is crucial in various tasks in computer vision which include image registration, object recognition, image matching, structure from motion analysis, scene analysis, and many others. A corner in an image shows a two-dimensional intensity change which makes it distinguishable from its adjacent pixels. In the literature, there exist a considerable number of algorithms to detect corners from digital images suggested by vision researchers. These algorithms are classified into two main groups: intensity-based and boundary-based [1][2]. The first group of methods estimates some cornerness measure directly from the image gray values, whereas the second group first finds the image boundaries and then calculates the maximum curvature or inflection points along those boundaries. Different researchers provided excellent literature surveys on corner detection algorithms [1]–[6]. Here, an improvement to corner detection using image fission/fusion (bit-plane decomposition and recomposition) is proposed, which improves performance of existing corner detection algorithms. Several researchers utilized corner detection algorithms in different applications [7]–[9]. The motivation of the present work is as follows. Even better and popular corner detectors fail to detect proper corners in the presence of noise and low contrast images [10]. In this present improvement, which may be applied to any corner detectors, this problem can be resolved. Moreover, application of bit-plane decomposition is a new approach in the area of corner detection. Possibly, this approach has not yet used in corner detection.

The structure of remainder of this paper is as follows. Section 2 includes a short review of popular corner detectors and discusses different performance measures of corner detection algorithms. Section 3 deals with computation of information gain across different bit-planes. An improved approach to corner detection using image fission/fusion is discussed in section 4. Section 5 provides experimental results which prove the superiority of the proposed approach over the original algorithms are presented. Finally, section 6 provides the conclusion of the entire work.

II. RELATED STUDIES

A. Existing Algorithms

Moravec [11] proposed his idea of “points of interest” for corner detection from digital images. Since then researchers in this field have proposed a significant number of corner detectors. In this section, a short review of some of them is presented.

• Intensity-based Corner Detectors

Bae et al. [16] used two oriented cross operators, COP to present a corner detector. Mikolajczyk and Schmid [17] gave two methods to detect corners which are scaling and affine transformations invariant. Alkaabi and Deravi [18] gave an idea of a corner detector on the basis of pruning candidate corners. The algorithm is computation efficient. Rosten and Drummond [19] used machine learning based approach to detect high quality corners in images. Dutta et al. [20] applied tri-modal histogram for window-based corner detection. From each of these algorithms explained in this subsection, it is observed that intensity based corner detectors are heavily dependent on a threshold of the cornerness measure. Zeng et al. [21] combined Harris and SUSAN corner detector to propose a more accurate corner detector. Liu et al. [22] improved Harris corner detector, on the basis of second difference, termed as Sharris, to detect text corners for the extraction video caption region. Dutta [23] proposed a corner detector using local information. The author first divided the image into a number of blocks and instead of detecting corners from the entire image, corners are obtained from those blocks which has high information content.

- **Boundary-based Corner Detectors**

Davies [24] presented a corner detector using the concept of generalized Hough transform which is robust, accurate and efficient. Ji and Haralick [25] detected corners from chain-encoded digital arcs using a two-step statistical technique which included corner detection and optimization. Mokhtarian and Sounema [26] proposed a curvature scale space (CSS) based approach for corner detection which was operated in two steps – at first Canny edge detector [27] was used for edge detection from the original edge, and then corners are obtained from the edge image at those locations which exhibits a local maximum of absolute curvature. Shen and Wang [28] applied modified Hough transform for corner detection. Guru et al. [29] measured “cornerity index” in order to measure the importance of a corner point to propose a fast and efficient corner detection algorithm. The proposed algorithm is translation, rotation and scaling invariant. Arrebola and Sandoval [30] used the hierarchical computation of a multi-resolution structure to detect corners. Sarifraz et al. [31] applied the change of sign of slope of the curve along the contour to present a simple, robust with respect to noise, accurate and efficient corner detector which works for smooth and irregular planar shapes. Mokhtarian and Mohanna [2] extended curvature scale space corner detector [26], which also worked on multiple scales. The authors also compared the proposed algorithm with some standard corner detectors. Awrangjib et al. [32] applied the affine-length parameterized curvature to improve curvature scale space corner detector which is robust with respect to affine transformation. Zhang et al. [33] presented a scale multiplication based corner detector which worked in two stages. The first stage consists of the contour extraction from the object of interest which is followed by the computation of the curvature of the contour using Gaussian derivative filters at various scales. Pedrosa and Barcelos [34] detected shape corner points useful for motion tracking and object recognition. Zhang et al. [35] used the determinant of gradient correlation matrices as a cornerness measure of planar curves to detect corners. Shui and Zhang [36] combined the concepts of the boundary-based and intensity-based detection using anisotropic directional derivative representation to extract simple and higher-order corners effectively. They used Canny [27] edge detector for the extraction of image contours.

**B. Performance Measures**

For the comparison of the performance of corner detection algorithms, a number of performance measures have been proposed by different researchers. Each of these measures requires exact number of corners present, number of corners detected correctly, number of missed and wrongly detected corners. In this paper, in order to validate the efficiency of the proposed approach, four three performance measures are used which includes detection gradient (DG) [1], detection rate (DR) and error rate (ER) [37], accuracy (ACCU) measure [2] and two other popular measures – precision and recall. For the efficient corner detector, the values of DG, DR, ER and ACCU measures should be as close as 0, 1, 0 and 100 respectively.

**III. INFORMATION GAIN COMPUTATION**

In this section, a brief description on image fission/fusion and information gain computation is presented on which the present improvement is relied upon.

**A. Image Fission/Fusion**

The image fission process decomposes the image into a number of bit-planes. A bit-plane may be defined as a set of bits at the same position in the binary representation of a set of decimal numbers as now described. The leftmost (MSB) bit-plane of an image gives the most critical approximation of values in it (in this case). The lower the index number (‘grayness’) of a bit-plane, the less is its contribution to the process. In fission of a grayscale image containing a maximum of N gray levels, or indices, the decomposition yields log(N) bit-planes, where N is a power of 2. If I(x, y) denotes the grayscale value of an image I at the pixel (x, y), where 0 ≤ I(x, y) ≤ N − 1, then

\[ b_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } I(x, y)^{\cap 2^i} \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } I(x, y)^{\cap 2^i} = 0 \end{cases} \]

where \( b_i(x, y) \) is the i-th bit-plane of \( I \). The binary AND operator. The image fission process decomposes the image into a number of bit-planes. A bit-plane may be defined as a set of bits at the same position in the binary representation of a set of decimal numbers as now described. The leftmost (MSB) bit-plane of an image gives the most critical approximation of values in it (in this case). The lower the index number (‘grayness’) of a bit-plane, the less is its contribution to the process. In fission of a grayscale image containing a maximum of N gray levels, or indices, the decomposition yields \( \log(N) \) bit-planes, where N is a power of 2. If \( I(x, y) \) denotes the grayscale value of an image \( I \) at the pixel \( (x, y) \), where \( 0 \leq I(x, y) \leq N - 1 \), then

\[ b_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } I(x, y)^{\cap 2^i} \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } I(x, y)^{\cap 2^i} = 0 \end{cases} \]

where \( b_i(x, y) \) is the i-th bit-plane of \( I \). Here \( ^{\cap} \) is the binary AND operator. Thus, the grayscale image is a fusion of a number of bit-planes, weighted by their respective grayness.

**B. Information Gain Computation**

Let there be two attributes X and Y. The information gain, denoted by \( H(Y; X) \), of X with respect to Y is defined as the reduction in uncertainty about the value of Y when the value of X is known. The entropy \( H(Y) \) measures the uncertainty about the value of Y. When Y is a discrete variable that assumes the values in \( y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \), then the entropy of Y is given by:

\[ H(Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(Y = y_i) \log_2 P(Y = y_i) \]

The information gain of X with respect to Y may be represented as:

\[ I(Y; X) = H(X) + I \]
The joint entropy of X and Y is denoted by $H(X, Y)$ and is defined as

$$H(X, Y) = -\sum_{i,j} P(x_i, y_j) \log_2 P(x_i, y_j)$$

In this paper, the corner detection is considered to be a binary classification problem. Let us denote the original image by $H$ and i-th bit-plane of the image by $H_i$. A random variable $Y$ is defined as follows.

$$Y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if a pixel } (x, y) \text{ is classified as corner} \\ 0, & \text{if } (x, y) \text{is not a corner pixel} \end{cases}$$

A random variable $X_i$ is defined for each bit-plane $i$, $(i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 8)$, of the image $H$ as follows:

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if a pixel } (x, y) \text{is a corner in } i - \text{th bit-plane} \\ 0, & \text{if } (x, y) \text{is not a corner in } i - \text{th bit-plane} \end{cases}$$

Initially, the corners in the original image $H$ are labelled. Then the expected information gain $I(Y; X_i)$ is computed in each of the bit-plane $i$, where $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 8$, in $H$ by

$$I(Y; X_i) = H(X_i) + H(Y) - H(X_i, Y)$$

**IV. PROPOSED ALGORITHM**

In the literature, there exist a number of corner detectors that would give multiple responses in a small neighborhood of the actual corner. This is basically due to the inherent digital nature of the image. Since corners in an image represent those image pixels with high information content, they correspond to higher bit-planes. Therefore, the pixels belonging to the lower bit-planes only can be treated as noise. This is the underlying philosophy of our approach. After fission of a grayscale image into eight bit-planes (binary images), a specific gray-level corner detector on all the bit-planes is used simultaneously. The fission/fusion technique can be used in conjunction with any corner detection algorithm in order to reduce the number of erroneous corners.

**Table 1: Training data (Average threshold for different types of images)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image types</th>
<th>Number of images considered</th>
<th>Average thresholded bitplane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Scene</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6.22 (approx 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Scene</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6.03 (approx 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Face</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.83 (approx 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Image</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.23 (approx 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The detailed algorithm is given below:

1. Decompose an input gray-level image into several bit-planes (binary images each weighted by grayness).
2. Perform corner detection in each bit-plane simultaneously to obtain corners in all of the bit-planes.
3. Compute the information gain from individual bit-planes and observe that the gain gradually decreases as we traverse from higher towards lower bit-plane.
4. Count the number of missed corners identified after fusing the highest bit-plane with a bit-plane with next highest information gain and find that after a particular bit-plane, the fusion of lower bit-planes is not detecting any extra corners, i.e., after a certain bit-plane, we are not gaining in terms of missed corners.
5. Threshold at this point so as to ignore all the lower bit-planes.
6. Perform a fusion of all the higher bit-plane corners.
7. Corners surviving after non-maximum suppression form the resultant set of corners.

**V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

In order to validate the efficiency of the proposed approach, the improvement of the proposed extension is shown to five popular corner detection methods, namely those proposed by Moravec (hereafter Mor77), Kitchen and Rosenfeld (KR82), Harris and Stephens (HS88), Laganiere (Lag98) and Alkaabi and Deravi (AD04) using image fission/fusion approach. The Moravec detector is selected for its historical importance and the remaining four performed well on different types of images. For testing, a large number of images are used, out of which a set of six test images (Fig. 2) are given in the paper as a representative test images. In each of the test images we have assumed the sixth bitplane as the thresholded bit-plane.
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In figure Fig. 3, the mean of different performance measures is plotted mentioned in section 2.2 over the set of test images. Experimentation was done on a desktop computer with Windows 7 operating system, Pentium 4 processor with 3.2 GHz clock speed and 4GB RAM.

The threshold is obtained by calculating the information gain across different bitplanes and observing the number of missed corners after fusion higher bit-planes in sequence. This approach is fast because it is operated on binary images instead of grayscale images. First with the help of a training process with the help of different types of images the thresholded bitplane is obtained. Then testing is performed with the threshold obtained from the training phase on various kinds of test images – indoor, outdoor, human face, building images etc. The mean of different performance measures is plotted for different test images.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Corner detection from digital images is crucial in various tasks in computer vision. In this paper, a novel fission/fusion based approach to improve the performance of the original corner detection algorithms is presented. Here the corner detection is considered as binary classification problem. Since a grayscale image is first decomposed into (eight) bitplanes, each of which is a binary image, an existing corner-detection algorithm can be applied to all bitplanes simultaneously to extract corners from the bit-planes and finally the higher bitplanes up to some thresholded limit are recombined, with extracted corners followed by non-maxima suppression giving the final set of corners. Results using a representative subset of five corner detectors show that our approach improves the existing corner detection algorithms significantly. Moreover, since for most of the cases the fusion of lower bitplanes is ignored to obtain the final set of corners, the effect of noise components on corner detection is automatically eliminated. This fission/fusion process for corner detection is applicable to other low-level image processing activity such as segmentation, pre-processing etc.
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Fig. 3. Improvement of bit-plane extension with respect to different performance measures
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Fig. 4. Improvement of the proposed algorithm with respect to different transformations and noise
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Fig. 5. Improvement of the proposed algorithm with respect to computational time
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Fig. 6. Values of different performance measures with different bit-plane combinations
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