

Group Replacement Strategy under Fuzzy Methods

P. Kannagi, G. Uthra

Abstract: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers play an active role in finding an optimal solution for replacement problems under vague and uncertain situations. This paper gives a group replacement policy under fuzzy environment. Here all the costs and the number of units are taken as Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers (TIFNs). An example is used for illustration of the policy.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy set, Triangular Intuitionistic fuzzy Numbers, Group replacement policy.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set theory was introduced in 1965 by Zadeh [1]. Since then modifications and generalizations of the theory were developed in different directions. Intuitionistic fuzzy set is one such generalization and was introduced by P. Burillo [3] and then modified by Atanassov [5]. Fuzzy Replacement problem to determine the optimum replacement time was dealt by Pranab Biswas et al [8]. Replacement Problem with change in money value along with time in fuzzy environment was introduced by Pranab Biswas and Surapati Pramanik [9]. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets include the degree of hesitation along with the degree of acceptance while the fuzzy sets include only the degree of acceptance. Seikh et al [11] defined the basic arithmetic operations of generalized TIFNs and the (α, β) -cut sets. G. Uthra et al. [17], [18] considered replacement models in intuitionistic fuzzy environment and obtained optimum results.

Replacement problems deal with items that need replacement. Items may be machines, electric bulbs or men. The need may arise due to failure, poor efficiency or breakdown. The breakdown or failure may occur suddenly or gradually. The problem is to find the best time of replacement. Replacement problems are divided into two categories:

- (1) When the efficiency of the item deteriorates.
- (2) When the items fail completely.

Individual replacement policy demands immediate replacement of the item on its failure. In Group replacement, we replace all items at a particular time whether it has failed or not. Meanwhile if any item fails we can replace it individually.

Revised Manuscript Received on December 15, 2019.

P. Kannagi, Research Scholar and Assistant Professor, Pachaiyappa's College, Chennai-600030, India.
E-mail: kannagi1011@gmail.com

G. Uthra, P.G and Research Department of Mathematics, University of Madras, India. E-mail: uthragopalsamy@yahoo.com

In this paper, A Replacement problem where

During time 't', \tilde{n}_t is the number of units failing ;

and \tilde{n} is the number of units in the system ;

After time 't', $\tilde{p}(t)$ is the group replacement cost ;

On failure of an unit, \tilde{p}_1 is the cost of individual replacement ;

The replacement cost per unit in group is \tilde{p}_2 . All units are assumed to be imprecise. These imprecise quantities are considered to be TIFNs.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. Fuzzy set: Let F be a classical set. A fuzzy set \tilde{F} is defined by $\tilde{F} = \{(f_i, m_{\tilde{F}}(f_i)) / f_i \in F\}$, where $m_{\tilde{F}}(f_i): F \rightarrow [0,1]$ is the membership function of \tilde{F} and $m_{\tilde{F}}(f_i)$ is the degree of membership of f_i in \tilde{F} .

Definition 2.2. Intuitionistic fuzzy set: Let F be a classical set. then an intuitionistic fuzzy set \tilde{F}_I in F is given by $\tilde{F}_I = \{(f_i, m_{F_I}(f_i), \bar{m}_{F_I}(f_i)) / f_i \in F\}$ where $m_{F_I}(f_i): F \rightarrow [0,1]$ & $\bar{m}_{F_I}(f_i): F \rightarrow [0,1]$ are the membership function and the non-membership function such that $0 \leq m_{F_I}(f_i) + \bar{m}_{F_I}(f_i) \leq 1, \forall f_i \in F$. For $f_i \in F$, $m_{F_I}(f_i)$ is the degree of membership and $\bar{m}_{F_I}(f_i)$ is the degree of non-membership respectively.

Definition 2.3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number: An intuitionistic fuzzy subset $\tilde{F}_I = \{(f_i, m_{F_I}(f_i), \bar{m}_{F_I}(f_i)) / f_i \in F\}$ of the real line R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy number if the following holds:

(i) There exists $\mu \in R$, $m_{F_I}(\mu) = 1$ and $\bar{m}_{F_I}(\mu) = 0$.

Here μ is called the mean

value of \tilde{F}_I .

(ii) m_{F_I} is a continuous function from $R \rightarrow [0,1]$ and for every element 'r' of R, $0 \leq m_{F_I}(r) + \bar{m}_{F_I}(r) \leq 1$ is true.

The membership function and the non-membership function of \tilde{F}_I are given by

$$m_{F_I}(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & -\infty < r \leq \mu - \alpha \\ f_1(r), & \mu - \alpha < r < \mu \\ 1, & r = \mu \\ h_1(r), & \mu < r < \mu + \beta \\ 0, & \mu + \beta \leq r < \infty \end{cases} \quad \bar{m}_{F_I}(r) =$$

$$\begin{cases} 1, & -\infty < r \leq \mu - \alpha' \\ f_2(r), & \mu - \alpha' < r < \mu \text{ \& } 0 \leq f_1(r) + f_2(r) \leq 1 \\ 0, & r = \mu \\ h_2(r), & \mu < r < \mu + \beta' \text{ \& } 0 \leq h_1(r) + h_2(r) \leq 1 \\ 1, & \mu + \beta' \leq r < \infty \end{cases} \quad \text{Here}$$

μ is the mean value of \tilde{F}_I , α & β are the left & right spreads of membership function $m_{\tilde{F}_I}(r)$ and α' & β' represent left & right spreads of non-membership function $\bar{m}_{\tilde{F}_I}(r)$ respectively.

Definition 2.4. : Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number: A TIFN $\tilde{a}_1 = (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; \alpha'_1, \beta_1, \gamma'_1)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subset in R with following $m_{\tilde{a}_1}(r)$ as the membership function and $\bar{m}_{\tilde{a}_1}(r)$ as the non-membership function .

$$m_{\tilde{a}_1}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r - \alpha_1}{\beta_1 - \alpha_1}; & \alpha_1 \leq r \leq \beta_1 \\ \frac{\gamma_1 - r}{\gamma_1 - \beta_1}; & \beta_1 \leq r \leq \gamma_1 \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \bar{m}_{\tilde{a}_1}(r) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\beta_1 - r}{\beta_1 - \alpha'_1}; & \alpha'_1 \leq r \leq \beta_1 \\ \frac{r - \beta_1}{\gamma'_1 - \beta_1}; & \beta_1 \leq r \leq \gamma'_1 \\ 1; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where $\alpha'_1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \gamma'_1$ and $0 \leq m_{\tilde{a}_1}(r) \leq 1$; $0 \leq \bar{m}_{\tilde{a}_1}(r) \leq 1$; $0 \leq m_{\tilde{a}_1}(r) + \bar{m}_{\tilde{a}_1}(r) \leq 1$ for all $r \in R$.

Operations on Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number:

Let $\tilde{a}_1 = (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; \alpha'_1, \beta_1, \gamma'_1)$ and $\tilde{a}_2 = (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2; \alpha'_2, \beta_2, \gamma'_2)$ be two TIFNs. The arithmetic operations

Addition : $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; \alpha'_1, \beta_1, \gamma'_1) + (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2; \alpha'_2, \beta_2, \gamma'_2)$

=

$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2; \alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2, \gamma'_1 + \gamma'_2)$

Subtraction : $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; \alpha'_1, \beta_1, \gamma'_1) -$

$(\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2; \alpha'_2, \beta_2, \gamma'_2)$

=

$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2, \gamma_1 - \alpha_2; \alpha'_1 - \gamma'_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2, \gamma'_1 - \alpha'_2)$

Multiplication : $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; \alpha'_1, \beta_1, \gamma'_1) \times$

$(\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2; \alpha'_2, \beta_2, \gamma'_2)$

= $(\alpha_1 \alpha_2, \beta_1 \beta_2, \gamma_1 \gamma_2; \alpha'_1 \alpha'_2, \beta_1 \beta_2, \gamma'_1 \gamma'_2)$

Scalar Multiplication : $k (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; \alpha'_1, \beta_1, \gamma'_1) =$

$$\begin{cases} (k\alpha_1, k\beta_1, k\gamma_1; k\alpha'_1, k\beta_1, k\gamma'_1), & \text{if } k > 0 \\ (k\gamma_1, k\beta_1, k\alpha_1; k\gamma'_1, k\beta_1, k\alpha'_1), & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases}$$

Defuzzification:

The defuzzification of the TIFN

$\tilde{a}_1 = (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; \alpha'_1, \beta_1, \gamma'_1)$ is done by the

accuracy function

$$H(\tilde{a}_1) = \frac{\alpha_1 + 2\beta_1 + \gamma_1 + \alpha'_1 + 2\beta_1 + \gamma'_1}{8}$$

III. GROUP REPLACEMENT POLICY UNDER INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY ENVIRONMENT

Let us consider problem of replacement of all items at fixed intervals τ along with replacing failed items on their failure.

Let During time τ , \tilde{n}_i be the number of units failing ; where \tilde{n} is the number of units in the system.

After time τ , $\tilde{p}(\tau)$ be the group replacement cost ;

On failure of an unit, \tilde{p}_1 be the cost of individual replacement ;

\tilde{p}_2 be the replacement cost per unit in group.

Then $\tilde{p}(\tau) = \tilde{p}_1[\tilde{n}_1 + \tilde{n}_2 + \dots + \tilde{n}_{\tau-1}] + \tilde{p}_2\tilde{n}$

Average cost is

$$\tilde{C}(\tau) = \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau} = \frac{\tilde{p}_1[\tilde{n}_1 + \tilde{n}_2 + \dots + \tilde{n}_{\tau-1}] + \tilde{p}_2\tilde{n}}{\tau}$$

If $\tilde{C}(\tau)$ is minimum ' τ ' is the best age for replacement.

Minimum $\tilde{C}(\tau)$ is obtained if

$$\tilde{C}(\tau+1) - \tilde{C}(\tau) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C}(\tau) - \tilde{C}(\tau-1) \leq 0.$$

$$\Delta\tilde{C}(\tau) = \tilde{C}(\tau+1) - \tilde{C}(\tau) = \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau+1)}{\tau+1} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau}$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau) + \tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_\tau - \tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau+1} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau} = \frac{\tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_\tau + \tilde{C}(\tau)[\tau - (\tau+1)]}{\tau(\tau+1)}$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_\tau - \tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau(\tau+1)} = \frac{\tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_\tau - \tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau+1}$$

$$\Delta\tilde{C}(\tau) > 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_\tau - \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_\tau > \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau} \longrightarrow (1)$$

$$\Delta\tilde{C}(\tau-1) < 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{C}(\tau) - \tilde{C}(\tau-1) < 0$$

$$\tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_{\tau-1} < \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau} \longrightarrow (2)$$

$$\text{From (1) and (2), } \tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_{\tau-1} < \frac{\tilde{p}(\tau)}{\tau} < \tilde{p}_1\tilde{n}_\tau$$

Thus the Group Replacement Policy is

(1) If the cost of individual replacement for period ' τ ' ϕ the average cost per period till the end of period ' τ ', then replace wholly as a group at the end of period ' τ '.

(2) If the cost of individual replacement for period ' τ ' $<$ the average cost per period till the end of period ' τ ', then group replacement is not preferred.

IV. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS DESCRIPTION

The failure rates of certain items are observed as follows:

End of period	I	II	III	IV	V
Failure probability	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.85	1.0

The cost per item of individual replacement is

$\tilde{p}_1 = (1.20, 1.25, 1.30; 1.10, 1.25, 1.40)$. The decision is to be taken for simultaneous replacement of entire items at fixed intervals and individual replacement of items on their failure. The cost of group replacement is

$\tilde{p}_2 = (0.45, 0.50, 0.60; 0.40, 0.50, 0.65)$ per item, Determine the optimal interval of replacement as whole group. Also find whether individual replacement is preferable than group replacement.

SOLUTION:

Any item that fails during a month is replaced at the end of the month. Initially there are 100 (say) items in use. Let ρ_i be the probability of a new item, failing during i^{th} month of its life. Thus we have

$$\rho_1 \text{ is } 0.20$$

$$\rho_2 \text{ is } 0.30 - 0.20 = 0.10$$

$$\rho_3 \text{ is } 0.60 - 0.30 = 0.30$$

$$\rho_4 \text{ is } 0.85 - 0.60 = 0.25$$

$$\rho_5 \text{ is } 1.00 - 0.85 = 0.15$$

Here $\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3 + \rho_4 + \rho_5 = 1$. So $\rho_6, \rho_7, \rho_8, \dots$ all zero.

Let \tilde{n}_i items be replaced at i^{th} month end.

\tilde{n} - items at the beginning.

$$\tilde{n} = \tilde{n}_0 = (90,100,105 ; 85,100,110)$$

$$\tilde{n}_1 = \tilde{n}_0 \rho_1 = (18, 20, 21 ; 17, 20, 22)$$

$$\tilde{n}_2 = \tilde{n}_0 \rho_2 + \tilde{n}_1 \rho_1 = (12.6, 14, 14.7 ; 11.9, 14, 15.4)$$

$$\tilde{n}_3 = \tilde{n}_0 \rho_3 + \tilde{n}_1 \rho_2 + \tilde{n}_2 \rho_1 = (31.32, 34.8, 36.54; 29.58, 34.8, 38.28)$$

$$\tilde{n}_4 = \tilde{n}_0 \rho_4 + \tilde{n}_1 \rho_3 + \tilde{n}_2 \rho_2 + \tilde{n}_3 \rho_1 = (35.42, 39.36, 41.33; 33.46, 39.36, 43.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{n}_5 &= \tilde{n}_0 \rho_5 + \tilde{n}_1 \rho_4 + \tilde{n}_2 \rho_3 + \tilde{n}_3 \rho_2 + \tilde{n}_4 \rho_1 \\ &= (31.99, 35.55, 37.33; 30.22, 35.55, 39.11) \end{aligned}$$

The expected life of any item = $\sum_{i=1}^5 i\rho_i = 3.05$

Average number of failures per month = $\frac{\tilde{n}}{3.05} = \frac{(90,100,105;85,100,110)}{3.05}$

$$= (29.51, 32.79, 34.43 ; 27.87, 32.79, 36.07)$$

Therefore the Replacement cost per month

$$= (29.51, 32.79, 34.43 ; 27.87, 32.79, 36.07) \cdot (1.20,1.25,1.30 ; 1.10,1.25,1.40).$$

$$= (35.41, 40.99, 44.76 ; 30.66, 40.99, 50.50)$$

Our accuracy function = $\frac{325.29}{8} = 40.66$

$\tilde{C}_1 = (1.20,1.25,1.30 ; 1.10,1.25,1.40)$ is the cost of individual unit on failure.

$\tilde{C}_2 = (0.45,0.50,0.60; 0.40,0.50,0.65)$ is the cost of individual unit in group replacement.

Average cost for different group replacement policies are:

End of month 't'	Individual Replacement $\sum_{i=1}^t \tilde{n}_i$	Group Replacement cost $\tilde{p}(t) = \tilde{p}_1 \left[\sum_{i=1}^t \tilde{n}_i \right] + \tilde{p}_2 \tilde{n}$
1	(18,20,21; 17,20,22)	(62.1,75,90.3 ; 52.7,75,102.3)
2	(30.6,34,35.7; 28.9,34,37.4)	(77.22,92.5,109.41 ; 65.79,92.5,123.86)
3	(61.92,68.8,72.24; 58.48,68.8,75.68)	(114.8,136,156.91 ; 98.33,136,177.45)
4	(97.34,108.16,113.57; 91.94,108.16,118.98)	(157.31,185.2,210.64; 135.13,185.2,238.07)

End of month 't'	Average Cost $\frac{\tilde{p}(t)}{t} = \tilde{C}(t)$	$H(\tilde{C}(t))$
1	(62.1,75,90.3 ; 52.7,75,102.3)	72.925
2	(38.61,46.25,54.71 ; 32.9,46.25,61.93)	46.644
3	(38.27,45.33,52.3 ; 32.78,45.33,59.15)	45.478
4	(39.33,46.3,52.66 ; 33.78,46.3,59.52)	46.311

$$H(\tilde{a}_1) = \frac{\alpha_1 + 2\beta_1 + \gamma_1 + \alpha'_1 + 2\beta'_1 + \gamma'_1}{8} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\tilde{a}_1 = (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1; \alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \gamma'_1)$$

Since the mean cost is minimum in the 3rd month, group replacement should be done at the end of every 3rd month. Also, the mean cost is ϕ 40.66 (the mean cost in the case of individual replacement), the individual replacement policy is preferable.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper provides an optimum group replacement policy under fuzzy environment. The policy is illustrated with an example, where the various parameters are TIFNs. The proposed policy is very effective in imprecise and vague situations.

REFERENCES

- [1]. L.A.Zadeh (1965) Fuzzy sets, Information and Control , 8, 338-356.
- [2].L.A.Zadeh (1978) Fuzzy sets as a basis for a theory of possibility, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 1, 3-28.
- [3].P.Burillo ,H.Bustince and V.Mohedano (1994) Some definition of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers , Fuzzy based.
- [4].C.Y.Chiu and C.S.Park, Fuzzy cash flow analysis using present worth criterion .The Engineering Economist,39(2)(1994),113-138.
- [5].K.Atanassov (1999)for Fuzzy Replacement Problem Fuzzy Sets : Theory and Applications



- [6]L.A.Zadeh (2005) , Toward a generalized theory of uncertainty (GTU) – An outline , Information Sciences 172 , 1-40.
- [7]. Yu Liu and Hong-Zhong Huang, Optimal Replacement Policy for Fuzzy Multi-State Element. J. of Multi-Valued Logic & Soft Computing, Vol.17, pp, 69-92 (October 2009).
- [8].Pranab Biswas and Surapati Pramanik, Application of Fuzzy Ranking Method to Determine the Replacement Time for Fuzzy Replacement Problem . International Journal of Computer Applications (0975-8887) Volume 25 -No11, July 2011
- [9].Pranab Biswas and Surapati Pramanik, Fuzzy Approach to Replacement Problem with Value of Money Changes Time, International Journal of Computer Application, 30(10)(2011),28-33.
- [10].Kanti Swarup ,P.K.Gupta, Man Mohan: An Introduction to Management Science Operations Research (2011)
- [11]. Seikh. MR, Nayak. PK, Pal M.: Generalized triangular fuzzy numbers in intuitionistic fuzzy environment. IJERD Volume 5, 8-13 (November 2012)
- [12]. G.S.Mahapatra , T.K.Roy : Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number and Application on System Failure. Journal of Uncertain Systems Vol.7, No.2, pp 92-107, (2013)
- [13].K. Pramila , G.Uthra, Optimal Solution of an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Transportation Problem, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 8 (2) (2014), 67-73.
- [14].A.R.Publications, Resource Management Techniques (O.R) Ninth Edition (June 2015)
- [15]. Abazar Kukha, Hassan Mishmast Nehi : Operations and Ranking Methods for Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers, IJ Intelligent Systems and Applications, (2016), 1, 35-48.
- [16].S.K.Bharati: Ranking Method of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers. Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, ISSN 0973-1768 Volume 13, Number 9 (2017), pp 4595-4608.
- [17].G.Uthra, K.Thangavelu and P.Kannagi: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Replacement Problem with Change in Money Value. IJMAA Volume 5, Issue 4-F(2017), 851-856
- [18].G.Uthra, K.Thangavelu and P.Kannagi: Optimal Solution of an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Replacement Problem. IJPAM Volume 119 No. 9 2018, 223-231.