Effect of Ceramic Aggregate and Fly ash in Normal Strength Concrete
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Abstract: In this study, full and partial replacement of stone aggregate by ceramic insulator scrap and partial replacement of cement by fly ash has been done in order to enhance economy in construction. More once, the solution of disposal of wasting from ceramic insulator manufacturing company and thermal power plant is also achieved. Further various mechanical properties of ceramic insulator scrap such as crushing value, impact value, abrasion value, specific gravity, sieve analysis and water absorption has been studied and obtained to make the study fruitful. Concrete of grade M15 is used to study the compressive strength properties of stone and ceramic aggregates. Replacement of stone aggregates by ceramic aggregates has been done in stages starting from 0% to 100% each stage possessing the variation of 10% and in all the specimens 30% of cement is replaced by 35% of fly ash. Six number of cube samples are cast for each variation and the compressive strength of the same have been obtained at 7, 28 and 56 days of age. Totally 216 cubes are cast and tested for compression. It is found that the optimum percentage at which the stone aggregate can be replaced by ceramic aggregates is 50% and 30% of cement can be replaced by 35% fly ash.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Construction materials are the main concern of developing a country’s infrastructure. The industrial waste materials which are used as building materials not only minimize the constriction cost but also improve the economic condition of the country. Electricity is one of the basic inputs required for development of any country and not even in a single field or industry can function without adequate supply of power. Coal is the main source of power in India owing to large reserves of power grade coal. Due to low calorific value and high ash content (upto 40%) of Indian coals, these produce massive amounts of coal ash to the tune of 5-6 tonnes per MW per day. About 40-50 million tonnes of coal ash is being generated in India. Efforts have been made to utilize the ash. However, these have met with only sporadic success and overall ash utilization level in India is reported to be as low as 2-3% only. Fly ash is composed of a large silica content (upto 60-70 percent) which acts at the beginning as an inert material. At later stage the pozzolanic action starts and the siliceous portion reacts with calcium hydroxide liberated from Portland cement to form final stable substance. Many research works prove that fly ash increases the workability, reduces bleeding and segregation, reduces the heat of hydration and low permeability,[1]

Similarly, in a ceramic insulator industry, there is a mass failure of 30-50% of the total production due to improper mixing of raw materials, excess water, improper drying, too much of heating etc. This waste is not recycled in any form and also it occupies 25% of the total area of the industry. These ceramic insulation scraps are initially broken into pieces with sledge hammer and then fed into a jaw crusher which in turn breaks them into the required size of 20mm. The ceramic aggregates are sharp and angular in shape which resembles that of the natural coarse aggregates. Further the ceramic aggregate properties such as aggregate crushing value, abrasion value etc are equivalent or replacement to stone aggregate.[2] So here an attempt is made to use fly ash as a replacement of cement and ceramic insulator scrap as a replacement of coarse aggregate to utilize these waste materials as a building material [3]

II. MATERIALS

A. Cement

Ordinary Portland cement of 43 Grade manufactured by M/s Chettinadu cement confirming to I.S. 8112-1989 specifications. It is made use of casting the specimen, the cement used is taken from a single lot.[4]

B. Fly ash

Fly ash of Class C type obtained from Neyveli Lignite Corporation, Thermal power plant 1 is used in this experimental investigation and the physical and chemical analysis of Lignite ashes are carried out at Neyveli Lignite Corporation Ltd.

C. Fine aggregate

The fine aggregate used is clean dry river sand; the sand is sieved to remove all the pebbles and impurities in the soil. The river sand confirming to Zone 2 of IS 382- 2016 after sieving through 2.36 mm sieve is used as fine aggregate. The specific gravity of the fine aggregate used in this study is 2.623 and fineness modulus is 2.26[5]

D. Stone aggregate

Hard granite broken stone is used as coarse aggregate. The maximum size of the aggregate is 20mm. This stone aggregate confirms to IS: 383 – 1970. The specific gravity of the stone aggregate is 2.781 and fineness modulus is 7.154.
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E. Ceramic aggregate

Broken ceramic are used in this study as a coarse aggregate to replace hard broken stone. (Fig 1) Maximum size of ceramic aggregate is 20mm. The specific gravity of the ceramic aggregate is 2.43 and fineness modulus is 7.70.

F. Water

Potable water of good quality is used for mixing the concrete. It is free from alkalis and organic matters.

III. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

A. Mix proportion for experimental work

The mix design for M15 grade concrete is prepared as per the Indian Standard of mix design IS 10262-2009 and the materials used in this work are listed in Table 1 below. [6]

B. Mix ID for various combinations of stone aggregates and ceramic aggregates

The various combinations of stone aggregate and ceramic aggregates used for preparing the cube specimens are listed in the Table 2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix type</th>
<th>No. of specimens for compressive testing (150 mm size cubes)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A- M 15 reference mix cubes</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 100% stone aggregate + 0% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 90% stone aggregate + 10% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 80% stone aggregate + 20% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 70% stone aggregate + 30% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 60% stone aggregate + 40% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 50% stone aggregate + 50% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 40% stone aggregate + 60% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 30% stone aggregate + 70% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 20% stone aggregate + 80% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 10% stone aggregate + 90% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L- M15 cubes with 70% cement + 35% fly ash + 0% stone aggregate + 100% ceramic aggregate</td>
<td>6 6 6 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Compression test

Initially, cubes of size 150mm x 150mm x 150mm are cast for the controlled mix (A). Then in the controlled mix, cement is replaced by fly ash by various percentages. The cubes are subjected to compression test and finally, it is concluded that 30% of cement can be replaced by 35% of fly ash and this is optimized. Then the stone aggregate is replaced by ceramic aggregate at 10% variations as shown in Table 2 above. In all the specimens, 30% of cement is replaced by 35% fly ash. Six cube specimens of size 150mm x 150mm x 150mm are cast for all the mix combinations mentioned in the Table 2 above for testing the specimens at 7, 28 and 56 days. A total of 216 cubes are cast and tested for compression as per the code provisions [7, 8] after the required days of curing. (Fig 3) The average compressive strength values for all the testing days are given in the Table 3. The testing arrangement for compression strength is shown in Fig 4.

### Table 3: Compressive strength test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing Days</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>34.15</td>
<td>27.73</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td>22.67</td>
<td>23.48</td>
<td>28.74</td>
<td>29.63</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>19.78</td>
<td>23.26</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>23.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. General

In this scope of study, the experimental programme is divided into three parts for discussing the compressive strength of M15 grade concrete cubes at 7 days, 28 days and 56 days with fly ash, stone aggregate and ceramic aggregate. The compressive strength of cubes containing fly ash, stone aggregate and ceramic aggregate obtained at 7, 28 and 56 days are compared with M15 controlled mix specimens.

B. Discussion on 7 days compressive strength results

At the age of 7 days, the compressive strength of cubes with 100% stone aggregate without fly ash is 16.59 MPa. Then in M15 grade of concrete, stone aggregate is replaced by ceramic aggregate from 0% to 100% and 30% of cement is replaced by 35% fly ash in all specimens except in controlled mix specimens. In this percentage of replacement, the compressive strength varies from 11.41 MPa to 16.52 MPa and the percentage of strength varies from 68.78% to 99.58%. In this percent of replacement of fly ash and ceramic aggregate, 50% replacement (i.e.) concrete with 30% of cement replaced by 35% fly ash and 50% stone aggregate replaced by 50% of ceramic aggregate has a strength nearly equal to that of stone aggregate concrete specimens without fly ash (i.e.) M15 controlled specimens and it is observed that the optimum percentage of replacement of stone aggregate by ceramic aggregate is 50% for early strength. The comparison of 7 days compressive strength results are shown in Fig 5.

C. Discussion on 28 days compressive strength results

At the age of 28 days, the compressive strength of cubes with 100% stone aggregate without flyash (i.e.) M15 controlled specimens is about 29.78 MPa. Here also, in M15 grade concrete, stone aggregate is replaced by ceramic aggregate from 0% to 100% and 30% of cement is replaced by 35% of fly ash in all specimens except in controlled mix specimens. 150mm x150mm are cast for all the mix combinations mentioned in the Table 2 above for testing the specimens at 7, 28 and 56 days. A total of 216 cubes are cast and tested for compression as per the code provisions [7, 8] after the required days of curing. (Fig 3) The average compressive strength values for all the testing days are given in the Table 3. The testing arrangement for compression strength is shown in Fig 4.
that the optimum percentage of replacement of stone aggregate by ceramic aggregate is 50%. The comparison of 28 days compressive strength results are shown in Fig 6.

![Fig.6. Comparison of 28 Days Compressive test results](image)

D. Discussion on 56 days compressive strength results

At the age of 56 days, the compressive strength of cubes with 100% stone aggregate without flyash (i.e.) M15 controlled specimens is about 34.15 MPa. When the cement is replaced by flyash and stone aggregate replaced by ceramic aggregates as said in the earlier two cases, the compressive strength of cubes varies from 19.78 MPa to 29.63 MPa and the percentage of strength varies from 57.92% to 86.76%. In these percentage replacements, 50% replacement shows the strength nearer to the controlled mix cubes and it is clear that, 50% replacement of stone aggregate is optimum. The comparison of 56 days compressive strength results are shown in Fig 7.

![Fig.7. Comparison of 56 Days Compressive test results](image)

V. CONCLUSION

(i) From the experimental programme, it has been observed that the optimum percentage at which the stone aggregate can be replaced by ceramic aggregates is 50% and 30% of cement can be replaced by 35% fly ash.

(ii) In this study, concrete containing fly ash and ceramic aggregate gained early age strength nearly equal to that of concrete containing stone aggregate without flyash.

(iii) In this study, the rate of development of strength of concrete containing ceramic aggregate and fly ash is same as concrete containing stone aggregate without fly ash.

(iv) Above 50% replacement of stone aggregate shows strength reduction in 7days, 28 days and 56 days.

(v) Since fly ash and ceramic aggregates are industrial wastes and can be obtained at free of cost and plenty, the replacement of stone aggregate by ceramic aggregate and cement by fly ash proves to be more economical than that of concrete containing stone aggregate without fly ash.
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