International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT)
ISSN: 2249-8958 (Online), Volume-9 Issue-1, October, 2019

Box Type Minor Bridge- As a Sustainable Option Over Small Rivers in Alluvial Region

Rakesh Varma, Mulk Raj Anand, Rajendra Kumar Srivastava

Abstract: ***Since time immemorial, mankind has been using various techniques to cross the rivers, streams or any depression without closing or obstructing the original flow through a structure called bridge. With the span of time and advancements in civil engineering, several types of bridges have come into existence like wooden, steel, masonry arches, RCC and prestressed concrete bridges. Indian Roads Congress categorizes three types of the bridges on the basis of length i.e. culverts up to 6.0 m, minor bridges above 6.0 m to maximum 60.0 m length and major bridges above 60.0 m. In present scenario, minor bridges over small rivers has become necessary for development and prosperity of nation as most of the roads have to cross small rivers at several places to connect remotest corner of the country. Bridges, though a man made structure, over a period of time become an important part of environment because in most of the cases water flowing below is used for drinking, irrigation and underground recharging. The alluvial region of India spread from Punjab to West Bengal has a peculiar nature because soil is almost soft in nature consisting of mainly sand, clay and silt which is fertile for vegetation. Water retention and its movement condition are high throughout the year. Several type of water bodies which exists in this type of region are pond, small drain, small and medium rivers which drains into the big rivers like Ganga, Yamuna, Ghahgra, Gomti and Sai etc.***

Since long time, road system on the earthen track and pucca road has been introduced for traffic like chart, chariot and motorised vehicle. There were little number of bridges over major rivers i.e. bridge alone to cross over the river Ganga except in few places like Allahabad, Kanpur and Varanasi and over the Ghaghra Algine bridge, Maghighat, Bhatni. Some bridges were constructed over small and medium rivers in medieval period by local rulers and business men which have now become obsolete. It is found that most of the bridges are of masonry arches wooden and trusses having insufficient water and carriage way.

After independence, the road network system has been improved to meet out socio economic needs of people. The new bridges have been constructed with standard road width and sufficient water way. Study has been conducted for existing new constructed bridge system over small river and alluvial region of Uttar Pradesh to set guidance for future course of action in replacing and providing new bridges to optimize the needs of the people.

Bridge system being provided over small & minor rivers for the road network for new and replacement of older bridge at different site is varying from place to place. For this purpose, study has been conducted for sustainable option of minor bridges over small rivers discharge upto 300 m3/s. It is found that the box type minor bridges are best option on small & minor rivers.

I. INTRODUCTION AND BRIEF TOPOGRAPHICAL FEATURES

The field study of the bridge system of river Reth has been conducted and mentioned in paper-1 et-al Varma Rakesh and Srivastava Rajendra Kumar Published in International Conference held in Ram Swaroop Memorial University Review of existing bridge system in small rivers in alluvial region of Uttar Pradesh in 2019. In this paper authors pointed out the type of bridge system provided over river Reth having about 75 km stretch in district Barabanki U.P. falling under critical alluvial region. Considering study of this system it is found that 10 numbers of the bridges are provided as under. The maximum discharge of the river from 106 m^3/s.

Table - I: Bridge location and Type

S. N.	Chainage (in Km.)	Type of Bridges	Connecting Villages
1	2.85	Well foundation	Barkernagar, Parimathpur
2	6.1	Well foundation	Gyannagar, Diyantnagar
3	13.64	Well foundation	Sarifabad, Attyarpur
4	22.2	Well foundation	Bergadha, Saheria
5	35.45	Well foundation	Munaira
6	42.5	Well foundation	Wadinagar, Saheliya(Darapur),
7	48.0	Well foundation	Lucknow-Barabanki road (Old)
8	56.870	Well foundation	Dunpurwa Banki road, Chillhata, Punaurapur
9	63.050	Well foundation	Kaithisaraiyya, Dewa road
10	71.950	Open foundation	Garhi, Chhindvahi

Revised Manuscript Received on October 30, 2019.

* Correspondence Author

Rakesh Varma*, Professor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Shri Ram Swaroop Memorial University, Deva Road Barabanki, India. Email: rakeshvarma29@yahoo.co.in

Mulk Raj Anand, M.Tech. (Student), Department of Civil Engineering, Institute of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow, India. Email: mulkraj53@gmail.com

Dr. Rajendra Kumar Srivastava, Professor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Shri Ram Swaroop Memorial University, Deva Road Barabanki, India.Email: dr.rajendraksrivastava@gmail.com

It is observed that most of the bridges in the river are having bridge system over well foundation which is seems to be uneconomical and time taking affair in construction. This type of the system requires heavy machines etc. A comparative study has done and found the box type minor bridges are economical and easy in construction.



Fig.1 General Elevation of Well Foundation Bridge



Fig.2 Half top and Half bottom elevation & plan of Box type Bridge

II. COMPARATIVE STUDY

For the comparative study the bridge on well foundation design data of 3×14.5 m is given below:-

III. DESIGN DATA

1. Design discharge (Q) = 300.0 m^3/sec
2. Highest flood level (H.F.L.) = 104.1 m
3. Lowest Water level (L.W.L.) = 100.00 m
4. Span arrangement = 3 × 14.5 m c/c of bearing
5. Length of bridge = (14.5 + 0.55) × 3 = 45.15 m
6. Road way = 7.5 m
7. Wearing coat = 0.075 m
8. Material used Concrete M-20 in plugs M-40 in kerbs and crash barrier M-30 in rest and steel Fe-500
9. Designed Loading = 2 Lane class A or single lane 70R
10. Formation Level = 108.209 m
11. Outer dia of well = 6.0 m
12. Inner dia of well = 3.8 m
13. Silt factor = 1
14. Submerged unit weight of soil (γsub) = 10 kN/m3
15. (S.B.C.) at bottom of well foundation = 620 kN/m2
16. Soil properties below well cap,
17. Cohesive force (C)= 0,
18. Internal angle of fraction (ϕ) = 30°
19. Clear water way = 3 × 14.5 −2.0 × 2.0 − 2.0 × 1.2 = 37.1 m
20. Lacy's water way = $4.8\sqrt{Q}$ = 83.15 m
21. Restriction $= 100 - \frac{37.1}{83.15} \times 100 = 55.38\%$
22. Design discharge = 300.0 m3/sec
23. Discharge/meter $= \frac{300.0}{37.1} = 8.09\ m^3/sec/m$
24. Mean Scour depth $(d_{sm}) = 1.34 \left(\frac{{d_{sm}}^2}{k_{sf}}\right)^{1/3} = 5.4$ m
25. Scour depth below H.F.L.
26. For pier = 2 × 5.4 = 10.8 m
27. For abutment = 1.27 × 5.4 = 6.86 m
28. Depth of the foundation = 10.8 + $\frac{5.4}{3}$ = 12.6 m but we provide 15.0 m including cutting edge
29. Depth of well required below L.W.L./Top of well cap = 105.534 − 0.7−4.834−85.0 = 15.0 m>12.6 m
30. Mean scour level of pier well = 104.1 − 2 × 5.4 = 93.3 m say 95 m, Mean scour level of abutment well 104.1 − 1.27 × 5.4 = 97.242 m which lie with in abutment well cap mean scour level kept same as pier well.

For compression a box type minor bridges for the same waterway and discharge a box type minor bridge 5×8m is considered the data of such type of bridges is given as under

IV. DATA

1. Discharge per meter run $= \frac{300}{40} = 7.5 m^3/s$

   Mean Scour depth $(d_{sm}) = 1.34 \left(\frac{{d_{sm}}^2}{k_{sf}}\right)^{1/3} = 5.15$ m
3. Scour depth below H.F.L. for raft = 1.27 × 5.15 = 6.52 m
4. R.L. of bottom of cut off wall from H.F.L. = 104.1 − 6.52 = 97.58
5. R. L. of bed of top of raft slab = 100
6. The depth of cut off wall below top of raft slab = 100 − 97.58 = 2.42 say 2.5 m
7. Clear Span (L) = 8.0 m
8. Road Way = 7.5.0 m
9. Parapet Width = 0.5 m
10. Barrel Length (B) = 8.5 m
11. Effective Length (Le) =L+D = 8.75 m
12. Clear height (H) = 5 m
13. Effective Height (He) = H+D = 5.75 m
14. Length of deck & raft slab (5Le+D) = 8.75×5+0.75 = 44.5 m
15. Wearing Coat = 7.5 cm
16. Thickness of members (D) = 0.75 m
17. Length of wing wall (H−D) = 4.25 m
18. Height of wing wall (H+2D) = 6.5 m

V. STUDY AND DISCUSSION

As per information given above the bridge constructed on well foundation are uneconomical and not easy to construct. It require heavy equipments and skilled labours. On other hand box type minor bridge is more stable and economical. The top of waring coat in case of well foundation is 106.925 m while in box type minor bridge 105.825m i.e. 1.1 m additional height of bridge required which need extra cost approaches

VI. CONCLUSION

The box type minor bridges are sustainable option in alluvial region for small and medium rivers.

1. Height of the bridge formation is lesser in case of box culvert that reduces the cost of approaches and the cost of land acquisition..
2. The box type minor bridges are constructed over raft foundation requiring less digging and dewatering.
3. The cost of construction is also reduced due to not using heavy and advance machine.
4. Time is also saved as less digging is required during construction.
5. Structure is more stable in compression as compared to well foundation.
6. The floor of the foundation can also be used as a water retention device.

REFERENCES

1. N Krishna Raju "Design of Bridges"
2. Victor "Essentials of Bridge Engineering"
3. Srivastava Rajendra Kumar & Srivastava Manas "Minor Bridges and Culverts, Principles and Provisions"
4. IRC: 05, Standard Specifications & Codes of Practices for Road Bridges, Section I (General features of Design) Indian Road Congress New Delhi India 2015
5. IRC: 06, Standard Specifications & Codes of Practices for Road Bridges, Section II (Loads & stresses) Indian Road Congress New Delhi India 2017
6. IRC: SP:13, Guide lines for Design of small Bridges & culverts Indian Road Congress New Delhi India 2008
7. IRC: SP: 78, Standard Specifications & Codes of Practices for Road Bridges, Section VII (Foundations & sub Structure) Indian Road Congress New Delhi India 2014

8. "Standard general arrangement and structural drawings for 5.0 m clear span and it's multiple for minor bridges on Raft Foundation Volume-I Published by Uttar Pradesh Public Works Department 2011
9. "Standard general arrangement and structural drawings for 5.0 m clear span and it's multiple for minor bridges on Raft Foundation Volume-II Published by Uttar Pradesh Public Works Department 2011
10. "Aspire", The concrete bridge magazine, winter 2014, which describes Sustainable bridges for future
11. Project of re-modelling of Reth River 11th Circle, I.D. Faizabad.
12. Varma Rakesh Srivastava Rajendra Kumar "Review of existing bridge system in small rivers in alluvial region of Uttar Pradesh" Published in International Journal of Technical Innovation in Modern Engineering and Science in 2019.

AUTHOR'S PROFILE

Rakesh Varma, B.Tech (Civil Engg.) & M.Tech (Hydraulics) from IIT-BHU, Varanasi (Formerly, IT BHU, Varanasi) is a Fellow of Institution of Engineers (India), Life member of Indian Ground Water Society & Life member of Indian Road Congress. He has a vast experience of 35 years in planning, design, construction and execution of various Irrigation projects having superannuated from the post of Chief Engineer, Level-I form UP Irrigation. He has also experience in academics having earlier worked as Lecturer at KNIT, Sultanpur, UP and since past five years working as Professor at Shri Ram Swaroop Memorial University.

Mulk Raj Anand, B.Tech (Civil Engg.) is presently pursuing M.Tech in Structural Engineering from Institute of Engineering & Technology, Lucknow. His thesis' topic in M.Tech is Study of Sustainable Structural System of Minor Bridge on Small River in Alluvial Region.

Dr. Rajendra Kumar Srivastava Graduated from MMM Engineering College, Gorakhpur, M.Tech. (Civil Engg.) with specialization in structural engineering in 1975 and 2005 respectively, in 2012 got Ph.D. from MNNIT, Allahabad. From 1976-2014 engaged in U.P.P.W.D from post of Assistant Engineer to Engineer-in-Chief. From 2010-14 engaged in U.P. Bridge Corporation as Managing Director. In PWD engaged in several types of civil engineering works like building, Highway, Express-way, all type of bridges at all type of highways and advisor to different government authorities. He is a Member of Institution of Engineers (India) and Life Member of Indian Road Congress.