International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT)
ISSN: 2249-8958 (Online), Volume-9 Issue-1, October, 2019

Recognition of Dog Breeds using Convolutional Neural Network and Visual Geometry Group

Anant Sharma, Anwesh Sahoo, Azhagiri M, Diganta Dutta

Abstract: ***Due to excessive breeding or cross-breeding, the nature of an animal like a dog has varied a lot from years ago. Using Image processing for the breed analysis will predict the exact result/s with maximum accuracy, unlike naked eye recognition ADA boosting methodology is used for breed analysis and recognition. ADA Boosting creates a strong classifier from several weak classifiers. To separate the dog breeds from one another, we use Image processing classification. It predicts the predominant breed/s present in the canine with maximum accuracy. Since the dogs may be cross-breed or had cross-breed predecessors, they may have a variety of breeds present in them, so using Image processing Classification tools we find the correct breed/s. It will be essential for easy classification of the dogs based on breeds and it can provide proof that naked eye recognition of breeds is undependable or trivial. Using Image processing analysis, we can analyze and do recognition of various animals like sheep, cattle, etc.***

Keywords: Ada boosting, Image processing, classification, deep learning, cross-breeding.

I. INTRODUCTION

Programmed Breed recognizable proof is presently viewed as a need yet has been ineffectively contemplated by analysts. Ear labels are the conventional technique typically utilized for distinguishing proof. This technique has demonstrated wasteful. Ear labels can be either lost or their numbers can be darkened because of the conditions where they live. Most merchants rely upon instinct to evaluate the creature's character, which is inclined to botches. It is imperative to precisely distinguish during choice for reproducing and the executives. It is fundamental to follow individuals with an illness for treatment and for ailment the board, particularly if there is a scourge malady. Purchasers keep their canines on the homestead for quite a while, accordingly, they have no assurance of which creature they have purchased. Consequently, to give purchasers or venders a productive method to perceive every person in an enormous gathering, a programmed constant recognizable proof methodology is proposed in this paper. Pooch facial bioscience typify a few fundamental choices that might be utilized for recognizable proof like muscles, the eyes, mouth and a lot of concealed choices. Proposed bioscience zone unit frightfully encouraging and affordable highlights for facial acknowledgment. Subsequently, the methodology proposed in this paper depended on a canine's facial pictures.

Revised Manuscript Received on October 30, 2019.

* Correspondence Author

Anant Sharma*, Computer Science, Srm Institute of Scince and Technology, Ramapuramcampus, Chennai. anantsharma2499@gmail.com

Anwesh Sahoo, Computer Science, Srm Institute of Scince and Technology, Ramapuramcampus, Chennai. anwesh.sahoo@gmail.com

Azhagiri M, Computer Science, Srm Institute of Scince and Technology, Ramapuramcampus, Chennai. algatesgiri@gmail.com

Diganta Dutta, Computer Science, Srm Institute of Scince and Technology, Ramapuramcampus, Chennai. digantadutta1999@gmail.com

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

[1]Authors Gunter, L.M., Barber, R.T. & Wynne, C.D. conducted a study to report the breed heritages of dogs housed in animal shelters and to compare these objective findings to labels given by shelter staff. Animal shelters in San Diego and Phoenix participated. The staffs at the animal shelters used the existing protocol of assigning breed based on visual inspection. The result found was that breed assigned by shelter staffs didn't match the prevalent breed found through DNA analysis 57% of the time. Although, the authors acknowledge that the sample may be skewed because of only 2 limited admission shelters, yet the study showed that visual breed identification is not considered legitimate identification. [2]Authors Voith, V.L, Ingram, E., Mitsouras, K., & Irizarry, K conducted a study to compare adoption agencies visual breed identifications of 20 mixed- breed dogs against DNA analysis. 50 dogs were volunteered for the study. Blood samples were taken and sent to MARS VETERINARYTM laboratory to be analyzed using The Mars Veterinary Wisdom Panel MXTM. The accurate breed was determined using a statistical model that infers breed from a pattern of 300 genetic markers. The result showed little agreement between reported visual breed and actual breed as 90% of dogs identified by agencies did not have their visually identified breed as the predominant breed which shows that visual breed identification is unreliable. Although, the authors do acknowledge that there may be a potential bias in this study as it is plausible that participants who disagreed with the breed assigned to their dog were more likely to volunteer their dogs for image identification tests.

[3]Authors Voith, V.L., Trevejo, R., Dowling-Guyer, S., Chadick, C., Marder, A., Johnson, V. & Irizarry, K. conducted a study to examine inter-rater reliability between experienced canine professionals and validity of visual breed identifications compared to DNA profiles. 923 dog professionals participated. Participants completed a questionnaire in which they indicated which breed each dog to be. Fewer than half of participants correctly visually identified any breed in the dog in the subject as reported by the DNA analysis algorithm. Thus, in the sample of canine pros, both inter-rater reliability and validity of visual breed identification were low.

The result showed that researchers cannot responsibly conduct experiments nor cite studies that rely on visual identification for determining breed. Thus concluding that Visual Breed identification is unreliable.

[4]Authors Hoffman, C.L., Harrison, N., Wolff, L., & Westgarth, C. Conducted a study whose purpose was to determine the level of agreement between shelter workers in US and UK regarding dogs they labelled "pit bull". 470 dogs (416 from the US, 54 from the UK) were chosen. Participants completed a breed identification survey online in which they viewed and wrote in what breed they assign to each dog. Majority of US and UK participants agreed on the primary breed for 10 of 20 dogs. US were significantly more likely to classify six of ten breeds author considered than the UK. The result shows that there are discrepancies regarding which breed people consider to be "pit bulls". The study concludes how dogs are identified by shelters which in turn affect their probability of being adopted. The authors do note the drawback that there are conflicting definitions of a "pit bull", it is puzzling that they seem to accept the idea that a "bull-breed" group exists when there is no agreement upon a classification for any of the terms.

[5]Authors Olson, K.R., Levy, J.K., Norby, B., Crandall, M.M., Broadhurst, J.E., Jacks, S., Barton, R.C., & Zimmerman, M.S. conducted a study to explore visual breed identification's consistency among experts and validity when compared to DNA analysis. Participants identified a total of 120 dogs. Dog's breed labels at intake were noted and later compared to rater's response. Blood samples of the Dogs were sent to Wisdom panel Canine Genetic analysis, MARS Veterinary for DNA identification. The DNA analysis revealed that 21% of dogs had a "pit-bull type" heritage. Median agreement between visual identification and DNA analysis in this study varied from 67-78%. In sum, the overall validity even with a broad target for identification did not reach to a good level. Study underscore unreliability of visual breed identification. The drawback of this study is that the authors define classifying any dog with 12.5% terrier sign as pit-bull.

[6]Authors Simpson, R.J, Simpson, K., & VanKavage, L. published a paper to explain the shortcomings of visual breed identification and persuade shelter staffs to refrain from guessing the dog's breeds based on its appearance. The authors argue that visual breed identification is inaccurate. They note that misidentifying a breed can negatively impact the animal. Based on recent research that shows inconsistency in vision breed identification calls for a paradigm shift in identifying and classifying dogs. In conclusion, breed identification gives limited insight into the behavioral characteristics of individual animals and these can differ considerably within a breed. The drawback is also taken into account that it does not present original research but rather is a policy paper based on a compilation of research.

III. BREED RECOGNITION MODEL:

A. *Cnn:*

In a convolutional neural system, profound learning might be a class of profound neural systems, generally acclimated with examining visual imaging. Convolutional systems were electrifies by natural procedures in that the property design between neurons takes after the association of the creature cortical area. CNN's utilization relatively almost no pre-preparing contrasted with various picture arrangement calculations. When programming a CNN, each convolutional layer among a neural system should have the resulting characteristics: Input might be a tensor with structure (number of pictures) x (picture width) x (picture tallness) x (picture depth).Convolutional bits whose width and stature are hyper-parameters, and whose profundity must be equivalent to that of the picture. Convolutional layers turn the info and pass its outcome to future layer. This is practically similar to the reaction of a substantial cell inside the cortical locale to a specific info.

Convolutional systems could exemplify local or world pooling layers to shape the basic calculation.

Pooling layers scale back the size of the information by joining the yields of nerve cell bunches at one layer into one nerve cell inside the following layer. Completely associated layers interface every single neuron in one layer to each neuron in another layer. It is on a fundamental level equivalent to multi-layer perceptron neural system.

In neural systems, each gets contribution from some number of areas in the past layer. In a completely associated layer, every neuron gets contribution from each component of the past layer. In a convolutional layer, neurons get contribution from just a limited subarea of the past layer. Ordinarily the subarea is of a square shape. In this way, in an exceedingly completely associated layer, the open field is the whole past layer. In a layer, the open zone is littler than the whole past layer.

Every neuron in a neural system processes a yield an incentive by applying a particular capacity to the info esteems originating from the responsive field in the past layer .The play out that is applied to the information esteems is set by a vector of loads and a predisposition. Learning, in an exceedingly neural system, advances by making unvarying changes to those predispositions and loads.

B. *Vgg:*

It usually refers to a deep convolutional network for seeing developed and trained by oxford's noted Visual pure mathematics cluster (VGG) that achieved superb performance on the ImageNet dataset. Exactly, we are using VGG19.VGG-19 is a convolutional neural system that is prepared on in excess of a million pictures from the ImageNet database. The system is nineteen layers profound and might order pictures into a thousand article classes, similar to console, mouse, pencil, and numerous creatures. Subsequently, the system has learned rich component portrayals for a wide scope of pictures. The system has an image info size of 224-by-224.

C. *Boosting Classifier:*

The term 'Boosting' alludes to a group of calculations that changes over feeble student to strong students. Boosting is a gathering system for rising the model expectations of some random learning algorithmic program. Boosting is to mentor feeble students continuous, each endeavoring to address its forerunner. While boosting isn't algorithmically influenced, most boosting calculations incorporates iteratively learning frail classifiers with alliance a circulation and adding them to a last powerful classifier. When they are side, they're normally weighted in a way that is some of the time related with the powerless students' precision. After a feeble student is aside, the data loads are rearranged, called "re-weighting". Misclassified PC document put on a superior weight and models that are arranged appropriately thin down. Along these lines, future feeble students center extra on the models that past powerless students misclassified.

IV. PROPOSED APPROACH

1. Give an image as input.
2. Labelled the original dataset.
3. Pre-processing - cropping, resizing.
4. Image Augmentation -Flip, Reflect
5. Taking VGG19 pre-trained model of CNN architecture
6. CNN training
7. Input Image resized 224*224
8. CNN classifier
9. Identification as output.

A. *Pre-Processing:*

10000 pictures of different sizes were taken for 120 breed recognizable proof. Thusly, the pictures must be resized to coordinate the info size good with the neural system. In the proposed methodology, the fixed info size is 224x224. Besides, a greater amount of the pictures contained boisterous highlights that can frustrate productive order. For the explanation, the gathered pooch pictures were trimmed to show just on the substance of the canine. Notwithstanding resizing and trimming pictures. A portion of the tried methodologies required dark scale picture inputs. Likewise, the RGB pictures were additionally changed into dark scale pictures.

B. *Image Augmentation:*

Deep learning approaches require a sufficient number of training images to boost their performance. Sometimes a certain number of images cannot be sufficient for the number of required images for the model set so, this time image augmentation is required. Image knowledge augmentation could be a technique that may be wont to by artificial means expand the dimensions of a coaching dataset by making changed versions of pictures within the dataset. The Keras deep learning neural network library provides the potential to suit models mistreatment image knowledge augmentation via the Image Data Generator category. This augmentation work in some methodology:

Image Data Augmentation
Sample Image
Image Augmentation with Image Data Generator
Horizontal and Vertical Shift Augmentation
Horizontal and Vertical Flip Augmentation
Random Rotation Augmentation
Random Brightness Augmentation
Random Zoom Augmentation

V. THEORY AND BACKGROUND:

A. *Deep Learning:*

Deep learning excels in recognizing objects in pictures as its enforced mistreatment three or a lot of layers of artificial neural networks wherever every layer is to blame for extracting one or a lot of feature of the image then more on that layer.

B. *Cnn:*

CNN for picture characterization in more detail. The principle errand of picture classification is acknowledgment of the information picture and in this manner the accompanying meaning of its group. This is an ability that individuals gain from their introduction to the world and can undoubtedly establish that the picture in the image is a pooch. The Convolution layer is consistently the first. . The picture (framework with pixel esteems) is gone into it. Envision that the perusing of the information grid starts at the upper left of the picture. Next, the product framework chooses a littler network there, which is known as a channel (or neuron, or center). At that point the channel produces convolution, for example moves along the information picture. The channel's errand is to increase its qualities by the first pixel esteems. Every one of these increases are summarized. One number is gotten at last. Since the channel has checked the picture exclusively inside the higher left

Corner, it moves any and any privilege by one unit action an indistinguishable activity. In the wake of passing the channel over all positions, a grid is acquired, however littler than the information network. Toward the start of this part I might want to portray the procedure of managed AI, which was taken as a premise of the model.

C. *Experimental Result And Evaluation:*

The last CNN was commonly exceptional at the picture order task. After such huge numbers of trails, the best straightforward profound CNN accomplished a precision of 52.34% exactness. The exactness of characterization strategies is resolved as pursues:

C= (CP/TP) *100

C= accuracy %

CP= Number of correctly predicted images.

TP= the total number of predictions.

The CNN was made out of one info layer of size 224x224, and the information were rearranged toward the start of every age. Three convolutional layers with 3x3 filters were utilized. Each convolutional layer was trailed by a RELU layer and a maximum pooling layer. After these layers, 10 full layers had been utilized, bringing about the last layer having 52 yields comparing to the 52 classes of the sheep in the gathered information. Introductory

Learning rate was 0.01, greatest number of ages was 4 and stochastic angle plunge with force (SGDM) was utilized. Force was 0.9000 and Regularization was 1.0000e-04. Be that as it may, 48.87% is drastically beneath any worthy accuracy. How the exactness changes with the quantity of emphases. For all the recently referenced reasons, utilizing an improvement strategy was required.

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
input_1 (Input Layer)	(None, None, None, 3)	9659
block1_conv1 (Conv2D)	(None, None, None, 64)	252
block1_conv2 (Conv2D)	(None, None, None, 128)	566
block1_pool (MaxPooling2D)	(None, None, None, 128)	665

Vgg19 settings were utilized vigorously in most picture arrangement assignments as they have demonstrated their accomplishment in preparing on any new informational collection. Hence, vgg19 was likewise prepared on the sheep dataset utilized in this work. The pictures utilized were at that point resized and expanded, so they were fit to be contribution to the vgg19 CNN. This vgg19 model had 23 layers and the quantity of completely associated layers was 52 to help the quantity of classes. Stochastic slope drop with force was utilized as a streamlining agent with a worldwide learning pace of 0.001. In addition, a Maximum number of ages was 20 for calibrating. Moreover, the parameters were refreshed utilizing a subset of information of size 64. The dataset of 52000 pictures was part similarly as in the proposed methodology, i.e., 80% of the pictures were utilized as a preparation set, and 20% were utilized as an approval set. The exactness accomplished by vgg19 was 97.5%, which is not exactly the precision accomplished utilizing the Bayesian streamlining; notwithstanding, these outcomes are close. As needs be, vgg19 could likewise be utilized to distinguish sheep. The correctness's of the diverse tried ways to deal with sheep distinguishing proof are outlined. Every one of the examinations were directed on Matlab R2018a with the earth windows 10, Intel Core i5and 8G memory.

VI. FLOW DIAGRAM

Design the multilayer deep learning CNN model: Creating a neural network model that is capable of recognizing dogs in images. The dogs have certain characteristics that can be used as a help to determine whether a dog's features are present or not like its long neck, its skin color etc.

Input the pre-processed images:

To train the model for the neural network by providing the training data set.

Initialize the multilayer deep learning CNN model:

To initialize the trainable parameter by the trained data set.

Train the multilayer deep learning CNN model:

To train with image augmentation for the better CNN model.

Updates the weight of matrix of CNN model:

Using Keras is as easy as arranging the data in the form of matrix and feed it. There is no need to define weights and bases.

Identification on testing dataset using trained multilayer deep learning CNN model:

Passing an image as an input and to check the accuracy for the better output.

Output the identified result:

After passing through all the neural networks, the most matched breed will be shown as output.

VII. ARCHITECTURE DIAGRAM

Image input:

Any .jpg files of a canine are provided as input.

Original labelled dataset:

The datasets used to train our model.

Pre-processing:

The input images are cropped and resized according to the VGG19 model set.

Image Augmentation:

Augmentation approaches require a sufficient number of training images to boost their performance.

CNN training:

To train the model.

CNN classifier:

Checks the resolution of an image as VGG19 accepts only 224*224 MP images.

Identity:

The overall data is processed and the output is formed.

VIII. RESULT

The approach for the existing model for dog breed identification was for one breed prediction where the probability of finding the accurate result is 50%. The approached model of identification built by our team accepts 3 breed prediction in the priority basis so,

the accuracy for the correct result is more as compared to the previously existing models as the model prepared by our team showcased an accuracy of 97%.

IX. CONCLUSION

CNN's are the most effective profound learning approach for picture acknowledgment examination. Utilization of enhancement systems is fundamental for setting CNN parameters through the assistance of Boosting calculation. Boosting was utilized in this way to deal with set the CNN parameters and plan its structures for use in perceiving singular canine. VGG Net is a lot of predefined CNN that has shown its capacity to adequately utilized/prepared on pictures on most the areas and to give exact outcomes. The dataset of 64000 pictures was part as in the proposed methodology for example 16000 of the pictures were utilized as a trainable parameter set. The precision accomplished by this methodology is 97.4%. This venture model will have the option to give all the conceivable data about canine by the utilization of picture distinguishing proof examination.

REFERENCES

1. Gunter, L. M., Barber, R. T., & Wynne, C. D. (2018). A canine identity crisis: Genetic Breed heritage testing of shelter dogs. Plot one, 13(8), e0202633.
2. Voith, V. L., Ingram, E., Mitsouras, K., & Irizarry, K. (2009). Comparison of Adoption Agency Breed Identification and polymer Breed Identification of Dogs. Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science, 12(3), 253-262. DOI: 10.1080/10888700902956151
3. Voith, V. L., Trevejo, R., Dowling-Guyer, S., Chadik, C., Marder, A., Johnson, V., & Irizarry, K. (2013). Comparison of visual and DNA breed identification of dogs and inter-observer reliability, American Journal of Sociological Research, 3(2) 17-29. DOI: 10.5923/j.sociology.20130302.02.
4. Hoffman, C. L., Harrison, N., Wolff, L., & Westgarth, C. (2014). Is that dog a pit bull? A cross-country comparison of perceptions of shelter employees concerning breed identification. Journal of Applied Animal. .Welfare Science: JAAWS, 17(4), 322-339. DOI:10.1080/10888705.2014.895904
5. Olson, K. R., Levy, J. K., Norby, B., Crandall, M. M., Broadhurst, J. E., Jacks, S., Barton, R. C., & Zimmerman, M. S. (2015). Inconsistent identification of pit bull-type dogs by shelter workers. The Veterinary Journal, 206, 197-202.
6. Simpson, R. J., Simpson, K., & VanKavage, L. (2012). Rethinking dog breed identification in veterinary practice. Journal of the Yankee Veterinary Medical Association, 241(9), 1163-1166.

AUTHORS PROFILE

Anant Sharma has completed his higher studies from Kids Corner Sr. Sec. School, Firozabad, Uttar Pradesh. He is currently undergoing graduation in SRM Institute of Science And Technology, Ramapuram Campus, Chennai, TamilNadu.

Anwesh Sahoo has completed his higher studies from D.A.V Public School, Chandrasekharpur, Odisha. She is currently undergoing graduation in SRM Institute of Science And Technology, Ramapuram Campus, Chennai, TamilNadu.

Azhagiri M has completed his B.E from Vinayaka Mission's University Salem and M.E from Anna University Coimbatore and currently working as Assistant Professor in SRM Institute of Science And Technology Chennai, current he is doing research in Computer Networking and has more than 15 Scopus indexed research papers.

Diganta Dutta has completed his higher education from Barasat Indira Gandhi Memorial High School, Kolkata. He is currently undergoing graduation in SRM Institute of Science And Technology, Ramapuram Campus, Chennai, TamilNadu.