Speed Control of IDDB and SVPWM Controlled PMSM Motor in Fuel Cell Based Electrical Vehicle
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Abstract: The prominence of Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles (FCEV) has been soaring in technologies being implemented in electric automobiles due to their main advantages of eco-friendly nature, bountiful efficiency and extreme reliability. In this paper we deal with the simulation of electric vehicles that are fuel cell based. The voltage at the output stack of fuel cell is considerably low, hence it is increased by rendering IDDB converter with closed loop control. This type of boost converter with closed loop control is also utilized to prioritize the converter output voltage consistent regardless of the pressure levels in the fuel cell. The output of the boost converter is coupled to the inverter for developing AC to run PMSM. Gating signals are produced to the inverter by the use of Space Vector PWM technique and the inverter output is supplied to the PMSM drive by means of an LC filter in order to diminish the ripples in the inverter output. In this work, in order to achieve better performance above induction motors such as higher speed, torque efficiency PMSM drive has been proposed. The results are verified by simulation techniques using MATLAB/Simulink.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays pollution is increasing because of fossil fuels which are used in most of the automobile industries. Hence it is required for development of ecofriendly electrical vehicles. Past few years, the fuel cell technology is expected to turn into an alluring source of power for automobile applications due to their eco-friendly nature, reduced noise pollution, bountiful efficiency and reliability. Accessibility to an innumerable fuel cells is available for utilization in electric vehicles but in the case of electric vehicles priority is given to the PEMFC because of their more-power density along with operating temperatures at lower levels in comparison to remaining types of FC systems [1]-[2]. Power developed at output being in the limits of limited watts to some more kilowatts and the open circuit becomes the hurdle in the case of PEMFC. The range of voltage for the single cell is from 0.8V to 1.2V. For getting high operating voltages & power a number of likewise cells have been arranged and connected together in the forms of cascade, parallel and series connection. Although the fuel cell system’s output voltage is often low in Henceforth, interfacing the Fuel Cells and the DC/DC converter is advantageous for the voltage boost of the fuel cell and to adjust the DC-link voltage [3].

In the EVs, essential requisites for an electrical drive include less weight, smaller size, bountiful of efficiency and low cost. Present days IM is utilized for EVs. But these days PMSM drive can bring about higher performance in comparison to induction motors such as higher speed, larger torque and greater efficiency. The main pitfall of PMSM drive is the torque ripples. So in case we use PMSM drive in EV applications it won’t give any convincing operation. Therefore, in case of PMSM drive those ripples are to be minimized and vast speed range variation is needed. This could be attained through proper tuning of PI controller [4] along with the sequences of switching of inverter gate that are under the control of Space Vector Pulse Width Modulation (SVPWM) [5]-[8].

II. PLANNED STRUCTURE

Below figure(Fig1) depicts the block diagram for fuel cell converter-based EV. It consists of a Fuel cell, DC-DC Boost Converter, SVPWM controlled VSI and PMSM. A single cell’s O.C voltage is in the range from 0.8V to 1.2V. For getting the high operating voltage and power; number of aforesaid cells are arranged and connected forming cascade, parallel & series connection. Generally, the range of operating voltages of fuel cell arrangement which is at ones disposal in the market is from 26V to 60V. In the present paper, 60V DC voltage is fed into boost converter for stepping up the voltage up to 360v DC.
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For getting the DC controlled output voltage, a IDDB converter of closed loop is opted, and the PI controller is utilized as a controller for the feedback circuit. This DC output voltage of 360V is connected to the inverter to get AC voltage. To minimize the ripple count, this output AC voltage is fed into the PMSM through an LC filter. An error signal is generated by setting the speed of a PMSM drive as feedback and contrasting this speed with the reference speed. The gating signals of an inverter are produced by utilizing SVPWM technique.

III. MODELING OF PEM FUEL CELL SYSTEM

A device which converts the chemical energy directly into electricity is called a fuel cell. There are diverse fuel cells which are at hand for utilization in EVs. But for EVs, PEMFC is the priority because of high power density with temperatures of operation at lower levels in contrast to remaining categories of FC systems. The illustrative diagram of PEMFC is depicted in fig.2.

![Fig2. Basic PEMFC Figure](Image)

In case of PEM fuel cell the start of electrochemical process will be at the anode. The liberation of H₂ molecules at anode from flow plate channels where the breakdown of hydrogen into H⁺ and e⁻ is performed by anode catalyst. Here through membranes H⁺ passes and e⁻ travel to cathode straight up the external electrical circuit. At the cathode hydrogen protons H⁺ and electrons e⁻ react with oxygen O₂ and by treatment of catalyst leads to formation of water H₂O along with liberation of heat. Illustrated reactions can be depicted using equations:

Anode side:  
\[ H₂ \rightarrow 2H^+ + 2e^- \]  
(1)

Cathode side:  
\[ \frac{1}{2} O₂ + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H₂O \]  
(2)

Overall reaction:  
\[ H₂ + \frac{1}{2} O₂ \rightarrow H₂O \]  
(3)

The output stack voltage \( V_{ec} \) is defined to be the function of reactant partial pressures, fuel cell current, membrane humidity and fuel cell temperature. The potential difference between cathode and anode is calculated by the use of Ohms law and Nernst’s equation.

\[
V_{ec} = N_u(E_u - \frac{RT}{2F} \ln(P_{H_2}/P_{H_2,O}) - B \ln(CH) - R_u I)
\]  
(4)

The association among the partial pressure inside the channel and molar flow of any gas via the valve can be given as

\[
\frac{q_{H_2,O}}{P_{H_2,O}} = \frac{K_w}{\sqrt{M_{H_2,O}}} = K_{H_2,O}
\]  
(5)

\[
q_{H_2} = \frac{K_w}{\sqrt{M_{H_2}}} = K_{H_2}
\]

\[
P_{H_2} = \frac{K_{H_2}}{\sqrt{M_{H_2,O}}} = K_{H_2,O}
\]

Where \( q_{H_2} \): Molar flow of hydrogen (Kmol/s)
\( q_{H_2,O} \): Molar flow of water (Kmol/s)
\( P_{H_2} \): Partial pressure of Hydrogen (atm)
\( P_{H_2,O} \): Partial pressure of Water (atm)

SVPWM technique.

In the same way, partial pressure of oxygen, partial pressure of water can be expressed as in (11) and (12)

\[
P_{O_2} = \frac{1}{K_{O_2}(q_{O_2} - k_1 I_{FC})}
\]  
(11)

\[
P_{H_2,O} = \frac{1}{K_{H_2,O}(q_{H_2,O} - k_2 I_{FC})}
\]  
(12)

Where time constant of Hydrogen(\( \tau_{H_2} \)), time constant of oxygen (\( \tau_{O_2} \)), time constant of water (\( \tau_{H_2,O} \)) are 3.37, 18.418, 6.74 respectively.
IV. FUEL CELL SUPPLIED IDDB CONVERTER

Therefore, a converter of high power is required for increase of the FC’s voltage profile for the high power applications such as EV, that can handle the high currents and high voltages at input and output respectively [8]. The efficient way for handling this problem is to interleave conventional boost converter. This paper takes through a topology which is proposed with an aim of producing a gain of larger voltage in contrast with the conventional boost converter, the Interspersed Double Dual Boost [9]. This topology was selected amongst remaining which also having more properties of gain due to the scope of phase interspersing which allows converter to ascend to applications of high power.

The voltage output required for interfacing transistor which is represented by resistors R1 & R2.

where $i_o = V_o/R_o$ is the converter’s output current.

The dual phase IDDB converter with optimal switches is being shown in Fig.4. At present the state vector $x$ represents the duty cycle ($\delta$) of the switch (Si) can be written as (henceforth, the average values of the variables are represented by capital letters)

$$x_{2,pb} = [I_1 \ V_1 \ I_2 \ V_2]$$

Here, the system have only one input, defined as

$$u = [V_{in}]$$

The above said IDDB is for two phase. It can be extended for N-phase based on the high power requirements. In this paper all design considerations are done for N-phase and values of the components are shown in below Table. For showing the model’s application for the need of control designing, a dual-phase IDDB converter, that has been shown in Fig. 5, is taken into consideration. The parameters of the converter have been given in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{in}$</td>
<td>Input voltage</td>
<td>60 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Series Resistance</td>
<td>0.15 $\Omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Inductance</td>
<td>535 $\mu$H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>Capacitance</td>
<td>470 $\mu$F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_o$</td>
<td>Load Resistance</td>
<td>59 $\Omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{sw}$</td>
<td>Switching Frequency</td>
<td>10 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>Duty cycle</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{eq}$</td>
<td>Capacitor Equilibrium Voltage</td>
<td>217.9V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{eq}$</td>
<td>Inductor Equilibrium Current</td>
<td>7.86A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In real, higher values of duty cycle are unwanted with the reason that the current is high and efficiency is low and henceforth, the duty cycle is restricted to a value 0.85.

For computing the converter’s small-signal model, nominal equilibrium point is to be defined.

![Fig3. Fuel cell supplied IDDB Converter Circuit Diagram](image)

**Fig3. Fuel cell supplied IDDB Converter Circuit Diagram**

The IDDB converter with two number of phases is shown in Fig. 3, where the load is represented by $v$. Each one of both the phases of the converter is made of one the input voltage and resistor $R_o$, inductor and it’s respective couple of switches, for e.g., phase-1 consists of inductor($L_1$) and switches $D_1,T_1$ and $D_2,T_2$. Here Phase-1 and capacitor ($C_1$) are given by “module-1,” whilst phase-2 and capacitor ($C_2$) in this case are given by “module 2.”

![Fig4. Circuit Diagram of Two-phase IDDB](image)

**Fig4. Circuit Diagram of Two-phase IDDB**

The parasitic resistances of the inductor and the switches are represented by resistors $R_1$ & $R_2$. This sort of converter in which every switch is made to implement with a diode and a transistor will allow bidirectional flow of power, as usually required for interfacing with the energy storage devices such as ultra-capacitors and batteries.

The voltage output $v_i$ (i.e., the voltage across the load $R_o$) is given by

$$v_i = v_1 + v_2 - v_{in}$$

The converter’s input current (i.e., the current that is being delivered by source $v_{in}$) can be given by

$$I_{in} = I_{i1} + I_{i2} - I_o$$

$$I_o = V_o/R_o$$

$\delta$ is the angular frequency.

In real, higher values of duty cycle are unwanted with the reason that the current is high and efficiency is low and henceforth, the duty cycle is restricted to a value 0.85.

For computing the converter’s small-signal model, nominal equilibrium point is to be defined.

![Fig5. Control Loop for DC-DC Converter](image)

**Fig5. Control Loop for DC-DC Converter**

Voltage controller and Current controller values in the closed loop can be determined by using small signal model. This closed loop control of IDDB gives output voltage with high gain, input current and output current can be controlled. The advantages of this converter is number of phases of the converter can be increased based on the requirements.
V. SVPWM CONTROLLED VSI

Representational diagram of a three-leg VSI is illustrated in Fig 6. Six switches are there in the inverter. Where the upper switches are S1, S3 and S5 whilst lower switches are S2, S4 and S6. Switching network generate eight possible switching combinations as shown in Fig7. Out of these, six topologies getting a voltage output nonzero are called as the non-zero switching states and the other two topologies getting zero voltage output can be defined as zero switching states.

Gating signals are provided by SVPWM technique. Variety of switching combinations generate three-phase output voltage waveforms.

There are $8(2^3)$ possible states in the two-level inverter. Except (000) and (111) which are zero state vectors other all are active state vectors. Therefore, Space vector diagram is divided into six sectors.(sector-A,B,C,D,E,F) in the two-level inverter which is shown in fig 8.

Fig 6. Three-Phase Voltage Source inverter

For generating gating pulses for inverter various PWM techniques have been evolved. The Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) of output voltage and the load currents are controlled by these PWM techniques. Sinusoidal PWM (SPWM) technique is the most known PWM technique for inverter. But in case of SPWM, it is a hurdle to change sinusoidal waveform’s sampling for the digital applications. Because of this reason, for inverter applications, technique like space vector PWM (SVPWM) is now-a-days exhibiting popularity.

Three-Phase VSI Switching positions are presented in fig7.

Fig 7. Switching states of Three-Phase Voltage Source inverter

Fig 8. Sector representation of Space vector

Whilst the implementation of the SVPWM for the two-level inverters, subsequent steps are to be taken into consideration:
- Identification of the sector,
- Calculation of the switching times, T1, T2, T0
- Finding of the switching states

Voltage vector and angle for a three-phase can be expressed as:

$$V_{ref} = V_d + V_q = \frac{2}{3} \left( V_{an} + V_{bn} \cos\frac{2\theta}{3} + V_{cn} \cos\frac{4\theta}{3} \right)$$

$$\theta = \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{2V_q}{V_d} \right)$$

(17)

Where the three phase voltages are $V_{an}$, $V_{bn}$ and $V_{cn}$ and at an angular speed of $\omega = 2\pi f$, $V_{ref}$ rotates.

a) Identification of the sector:

According to $\theta$, sectors can be determined as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle ((\theta))</th>
<th>Sector where (V_{ref}) placed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0^0 \leq \theta &lt; 60^0$</td>
<td>Sector A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60^0 \leq \theta &lt; 120^0$</td>
<td>Sector B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$120^0 \leq \theta &lt; 180^0$</td>
<td>Sector C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$180^0 \leq \theta &lt; 240^0$</td>
<td>Sector D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$240^0 \leq \theta &lt; 300^0$</td>
<td>Sector E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$300^0 \leq \theta &lt; 360^0$</td>
<td>Sector F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Calculation of the switching times:
By the use of a couple of active voltage vectors and single zero voltage vector, $V_{ref}$ is calculated. $V_{ref}$ is symphonized by $V_1$, $V_2$ and $V_0$ if $V_{ref}$ is situated in Sector A. Accordingly, by using this method of approach $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_0$ can be computed as follows:

\[ T_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} V_{ref} \cdot T_2 \cdot \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{3} - \theta \right) \]  
\[ T_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} V_{ref} \cdot T_2 \cdot \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{3} \right) \]  
\[ T_0 = T_2 - T_1 - T_2 \]

IF switching times $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_0$ for every sector can be theorized, they can be computed by:

\[ T_k = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} V_{ref} \cdot \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{3} - \theta + \frac{k-1}{2} \pi \right) \]  
\[ T_{k+1} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} V_{ref} \cdot \sin \left( \theta - \frac{k-1}{2} \pi \right) \]

**a) Finding Switching Conditions:**
The switching conditions for Sector A are illustrated in Fig 9.

![Fig9. Sector A Switching states](image)

Table 3: Switching states for two level inverter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Switching states</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sector A</td>
<td>$V_0, V_1, V_2, V_7, V_2, V_1, V_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector B</td>
<td>$V_0, V_5, V_2, V_7, V_2, V_5, V_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector C</td>
<td>$V_0, V_5, V_4, V_7, V_4, V_5, V_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector D</td>
<td>$V_0, V_5, V_4, V_7, V_4, V_5, V_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector E</td>
<td>$V_0, V_5, V_6, V_7, V_6, V_5, V_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector F</td>
<td>$V_0, V_1, V_6, V_7, V_6, V_1, V_0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SVPWM technique generated switching control signals has applied to the inverter. SVPWM inverter is used when compared to the conventional SPWM inverter, as it provides 15% increment in low harmonic distortions in output and DC link utilization.

**VI. CONTROL APPROACH OF PMSM DRIVE**

The permanent magnet synchronous motor control system includes two main components namely the control circuit and the main drive circuit. The topology of main drive circuit will be basically left unchanged, whilst the control system study concentrates on the control circuit and control approaches. The v/f strategy control of PMSM drive is depicted in fig10.

![Fig10. Control Diagram of PMSM drive](image)

Error signal is generated by taking the feedback as speed of PMSM drive and then contrasting this particular speed with the reference speed. Signals are originated by taking this particular error signal as PI controller’s input and by the appropriate tuning of voltage of PI controller. These voltage signals are useful for signal formation in SVPWM.

**VII. SIMULATION MODEL OF PROPOSED SYSTEM & RESULTS**

![Fig11 Simulink Model of Electric Vehicle](image)

![Fig12.Output voltage of fuel cell stack](image)
In this paper the briefing of the simulation of the Fuel cell based Electric Vehicle. Mathematical analysis of Fuel cell is done, the closed loop control design for this converter has been illustrated for two-phase IDDB. From the above it is obvious that the number of phases and the power handling capability of the converter are in direct proportion to each other. Hence, the upgrading of converter can be performed with a greater number of phases based on the load requirements. And the pulses of the Inverter is obtained by using SVPWM, PMSM is controlled by closed loop and the control is done to achieve reduction in the difficulty of model. Simulation results in MATLAB/Simulink were equipped for backing the theoretical analysis.
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