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Abstract: In current marketing scenario, it is highly difficult to earn the high profit by satisfying the customers as well to increase to turn over of the company. For increasing the profit, the organizations are struggling to find a method to analyze their marketing strategy and to understand the customer’s requirements. The main solution to increase the profit of any organization is to manufacture the limited and the needed goods based on the customer’s needs and dislikes. For this, they need to find the customers behavior and the opinion regarding their products. This claims the usage of machine learning algorithms to predict and analyze the behavior of the customer. With this information scenario, we have extracted the wine data set from UCI Machine learning repository. The wine data set is analyzed to decide the dependent and independent variable. The dimensionality reduction is done by applying the ensembling methods. The feature importance of the various ensembling methods like Ada boost regressor, Ada boost classifier, Random forest regressor, Extra Trees Regressor and Gradient booster regressor. The extracted feature importance of the wine data set is fitted with logistic regression classifier to analyse the performance of the each ensembling methods. The metrics used for performance analysis are accuracy, precision, recall, and f-score. Experimental results shows that feature importance obtained from Ada Boost regressor fitted with logistic regression classifier is found to be effective with the accuracy of 94%, Precision of 0.95, Recall of 0.94 and FScore of 0.94 compared to other ensembling methods.

Index Terms: Machine Learning, Classification, accuracy, precision, recall, and f-score.

I. INTRODUCTION

The prediction of the customer behavior and their satisfaction are directly related to the growth of the profit and revenue of the organization. The company profit will generally be increased only when the customer buy the product constantly with full satisfaction. The single customer satisfaction may greatly influence the other customers in buying the product. So the organization must work hard to earn the customers satisfaction by developing the products based on their need and requirements. The paper is organized in such a way that Section 2 deals with the related works. Section 3 discuss about the proposed work followed by the implementation and Performance Analysis in Section 4. The paper is concluded with Section 5.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Literature Review

The survey is attempted to design by analyzing the arious wine data set attributes and the behavior patterns of the wine date set. This survey is used in predicting the customer’s behavior so as to increase the profit of the organization. This is attempted with the motive of finding and analyzing the customer’s intentions in buying the product. [1]

The ingredients in the wine product also greatly influence the people to buy the product and they attempted to find the essential ingredients by analyzing the feedback and the past history of product sales [2]. The composition of the mixing of the product also greatly influences the people in buying the product. They attempt to find the combination of the ingredients in forming the wine product and shown that the sales of the wine product got increased due to exact combination [3].

The model was created to develop each wine product and the standardization of the product is done based on the benchmark survey level of the wine consumers and the prediction is done with the benchmark data [4]. The factor analysis is done for the wine data set so as to reduce the number of features in the wine. This results in the data set with the reduced features so as to optimize the prediction with the minimum level of attributes and also they improvise the prediction accuracy. The simplified reduced principal component dataset were subjected to analyze the performance in increasing the profit of the company [5]. The product composition and the ingredients proposition in analyzing the wine quality and the profit was done based on the multi dimensional machine learning approaches which predicts the wine quality and the customer needs [6]. The use of feature selection, feature extraction and the classification that can be used to predict the wine quality is done [7]-[11].
III. PROPOSED WORK

In our proposed work, the wine data set is used for the segmentation of the customer group based on the need of their requirements. Our implementation in this paper is folded in four ways.

(i) Firstly, the connections between each of the attributes of the wine data set is depicted with the correlation pie representation.

(ii) Secondly, the important features are identified for each of the ensembling methods like Ada boost regressor, Random forest regressor, Extra Trees Regressor, Gradient booster regressor and Ada boost classifier.

(iii) Thirdly, the feature importance reduced data set from each of the ensembling methods are fitted to logistic regression classifier.

(iv) Fourth, the performance of the logistic regression classifier for each of the ensembling methods is analyzed by Precision, Recall, FScore and Accuracy.

A. System Architecture

The proposed system architecture of our work is shown in Fig. 1.

```
Wine Data Set

Identifying dependent and independent attribute

Importing the data set

Segmenting Training and Test data set

Applying to Ensembling methods

ABR  ABC  RFR  ETR  GBR

Fitted to Logistic Regression Classifier

Analysis of Precision, Recall, F Score and Accuracy

Predict Customer Segment
```

IV. IMPLEMENTATION AND PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

A. Segmentation of Customer Group

The Wine dataset is taken from UCL ML Repository for implementation with 13 independent attribute and 1 Customer Segment dependent attribute. The attribute are shown below.

1. Alcohol
2. Malic acid
3. Ash
4. Alcalinity of ash
5. Magnesium
6. Total phenols
7. Flavanoids
8. Nonflavanoid phenols
9. Proanthocyanins
10. Color intensity
11. Hue
12. OD280/OD315 of diluted wines
13. Proline Churn
14. Customer Segment - Dependent Attribute

The connections between each of the attributes of the wine data set are depicted with the correlation pie representation and are shown in Fig. 2.

```
Fig. 2 Correlation Matrix Pie of Wine data set
```

The entire raw data set is fitted to logistic regression classifier and the obtained confusion matrix is shown in in Fig. 3.

```
Fig. 3 Confusion Matrix for Logistic Regression classifier without applying Ensembling Methods
```
The correlation matrix of the important features obtained from the Ada Boost Regressor is shown in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4 Feature Importance Correlation Matrix of Ada Boost Regressor](image)

The feature importance obtained from the Ada Boost Regressor is fitted to logistic regression classifier and the obtained confusion matrix is shown in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5 Confusion Matrix for Logistic Regression with Ada Boost Regressor](image)

The correlation matrix of the important features obtained from the Random Forest Regressor is shown in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 6 Feature Importance Correlation Matrix of Random Forest Regressor](image)

The feature importance obtained from the Random Forest Regressor is fitted to logistic regression classifier and the obtained confusion matrix is shown in Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7 Confusion Matrix for Logistic Regression with Random Forest Regressor](image)

The correlation matrix of the important features obtained from the Extra Trees Regressor is shown in Fig. 8.

![Fig. 8 Feature Importance Correlation Matrix of Extra Trees Regressor](image)

The feature importance obtained from the Extra Trees Regressor is fitted to logistic regression classifier and the obtained confusion matrix is shown in Fig. 9.

![Fig. 9 Confusion Matrix for Logistic Regression with Extra Trees Regressor](image)
The feature importance obtained from the Gradient Boosting Regressor is fitted to logistic regression classifier and the obtained confusion matrix is shown in Fig. 10.

![Confusion Matrix for Logistic Regression with Gradient Boosting Regressor](image)

The correlation matrix of the important features obtained from the Ada Boost Classifier is shown in Fig. 11.

![Feature Importance Correlation Matrix of Ada Boost Classifier](image)

The feature importance obtained from the Ada Boost Classifier is fitted to logistic regression classifier and the obtained confusion matrix is shown in Fig. 12.

![Confusion Matrix for Logistic Regression with Ada Boost Classifier.](image)

The feature importance reduced data set from each of the ensembling methods are fitted to logistic regression classifier.

The performance of the logistic regression classifier for each of the ensembling methods is analyzed by Precision, Recall, FScore and Accuracy and is shown in the Table. 1 and the Table. 2.

### Table 1: Performance Comparison of Precision, Recall and FScore for Logistic Regression Classifier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensembling Methods</th>
<th>Fitted to Logistic Regression Classifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada Boost Regressor</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest Regressor</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra Trees Regressor</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient Boost Regressor</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada Boost Classifier</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Performance Comparison of Accuracy for Logistic Regression Classifier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensembling Methods</th>
<th>Accuracy for Logistic Regression Classifier (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ada Boost Regressor</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest Regressor</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra Trees Regressor</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradient Boost Regressor</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada Boost Classifier</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Performance analysis of the metrics like precision, recall, FScore and Accuracy is shown in Fig. 13-Fig. 16.

![Accuracy Analysis for Ensembling Methods](image)
Precision of 0.95, Recall of 0.94 and FScore of 0.94 compared to other ensembling methods.
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