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ABSTRACT---Marriage has been described as the most important and fundamental human relationship in our lives. Indeed, marital adjustment, happiness, satisfaction and other terminologies that related to the relationship subjective quality of marriage have been some of the most popular topics of these fields. Research on the marital relationship has been one of the most frequently studied areas of investigation in the family and marriage field. The literature about marital quality reveals that scholars have endeavored to gauge and describe variation in a construct that is itself barely grasped. Despite a plethora of adequate instruments available as measures of marital quality, however, there is still lacking an approach, which can increase theoretical simplicity and at the same time prevents some of the perplexes apparent in the experimental literature. This paper describes the development of an instrument called MARIQUAL conceptualized from the 22-item instrument (called SERVQUAL) used for evaluating customer experiences of service quality in business organizations. Even though there have been many criticisms of the SERVQUAL model, service quality literature still suggests that SERVQUAL model has been the main standard model applied to assess and operate service quality around various service locales and numerous cultural environments and is prized by scholars and experts. Additionally, it has good reliability and provides a suitable measurement for perceived service quality. The paper concludes with a recommended survey instrument for marital quality conceptualized from the SERVQUAL Model.

Index Terms: MARIQUAL, SERVQUAL, Marital Quality, Service Quality

I. INTRODUCTION

Matrimony is known to have shielding advantages regarding bodily, emotional, and mental wellbeing (Carr & Springer, 2010). It has been described as the most important and fundamental human relationship in our lives (Larson & Holman, 1994). Marital adjustment, happiness, satisfaction and other terminologies that related to the relationship subjective quality of marriage have been some of the most popular topics of these fields (Burr, 1967; Spanier & Lewis, 1980). The nature of the marriage relies upon numerous elements (Chemielewski, 2012, for example, an emotional feeling of fulfillment of life partners, the development to wed, explanations behind picking a life partner, major consistence frames of mind towards esteemed and character characteristics, and so on. To be sure, marital quality is a significant part of family life that shapes individuals' wellbeing and prosperity (Allendorf and Ghimire, 2012).

Marital quality alludes to an assessment of matrimonial fulfillment and marital change of marriage accomplices (Obradović and Čudina-Obradović, 1998). It incorporates positive encounters, for example, feeling adored, thought about, and fulfilled in a relationship, just as negative encounters, for example, requests from one's life partner and matrimonial clashes (Čikeš, Marić and Sincek 2018; Umberson and Williams, 2005).

The literature on marital quality has been one of the most frequently studied areas of investigation in the family and marriage field. Marital quality describes the extent of contentment, confidence, admiration and attention partners share in their affiliation (Falcke, 2003). Despite a plethora of adequate instruments available as measures of marital quality, however, to the researchers’ knowledge, there is still lacking an approach, which can increase theoretical transparency and at the same time prevents some of the perplexes obvious in the experiential literature. At this juncture, the researchers discover that expectation disconfirmation theory conceptualized by Oliver (1980, 1997) could be a useful approach to be adapted when measuring marital quality. Undeniably, hopes have been documented as an significant factor of customer conduct (Sheth & Mittal, 2004), particularly marketing researchers have been considered both as assessment standards in post-buying experience (Oliver, 1980; Parasuraman, Zeithaml, & Berry, 1988, 1991) and as brand name or item beliefs in pre-buying judgment process (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1974, 1975; Olsen & Dover, 1979). In the context of marriage, the expectations of a spouse are not stable over time and it is normally a partner’s expectation rating of a marriage element rises with that passage of time since their initial marriage. Additionally, the expectations approach has suggested the likelihood that elevated contentment could include low anticipations rather than merely well-functioning services. In distinction, low contentment could include not merely inadequately working service but high anticipation (Oliver, 2007).

Notwithstanding the ubiquity and proceeded with utilization of the SERVQUAL scale, it comes with criticisms (Kar, 2016). For example, faultfinders have addressed whether the five nonexclusive components of the scale and its psychometric properties are commonly pertinent in all service setting (Ladhari, 2009). In addition, various genuine reactions have likewise been raised.
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concerning its legitimacy, especially on the conventional idea of the service quality measurements and the utilization of wanted desire as an examination standard (Yoon and Ekinci, 2003). Buttle (1996) likewise shown that an attitudinal model for service quality is increasingly proper contrasted with expectation disconfirmation model utilized in SERVQUAL.

This model has been condemned on various grounds, including the disappointment of numerous analysts to imitate the first SERVQUAL factor structure and the unreasonable idea that buyers can frame assumptions regarding service when they have minimal earlier learning about the item (O’Neil and Palmer, 2003). Unmistakably, SERVQUAL has been exposed to various hypothetical and operational reactions. Be that as it may, it is as yet a prominent service quality determinant because of its better indicative limit over option logical systems (Kim, Kim and Yun, 2003). Since service quality is resolved based on the SERVQUAL measurements, and the way that its measurements vary in significance to the client crosswise over societies, nations, and enterprises, and explicitly, it is imperative to discover which measurements are considered as most significant by clients in a specific setting, to organize service improvement venture choices, given the restricted assets accessible to the board (Tegambwage, 2017, p. 76).

In addition, the willingness to provide high-quality services plays an important role in service industries, which makes its measurement and its subsequent management of utmost importance (Poor, Poor, & Darkhanch, 2013; Shekarchizadeh, Rasli & Huam, 2011, Zaim, Bayyurt, & Zaim, 2010). Today, SERVQUAL is as yet viewed as a vigorous scale for estimating service quality crosswise over administration areas. Albeit a few commentators have expressed that the recognition scores give a superior proportion of service quality than the gap scores, in any case, the gap scores are commonly increasingly valuable in recognizing territories of shortcoming and quality of life partner (Ladhari, 2009). Such gap scores can help a spouse to diagnose where improvements should be targeted. This paper intends to summarize and synergize the literature that provides arguments for and against the proposed MARIQUAL model in measuring marital quality based on the conceptualization of SERVQUAL model initiated by Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (PZB) (1985).

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Marital Quality

Single person marital behavior and broad matrimony models have long been of curiosity to family scholars, demographers and public policy specialists (Barr & Simons, 2012). In the arena of domestic research specifically, earlier researches have made great advances toward comprehending the association among matrimonial dispute and quality (Ahmadi, Rezzade, Saadat, Kimiaei, & Zade, 2015). Study on marital quality has been of great concern among researchers, especially since the increasing number of divorce around the world (Firdaus Abdul Razak, Mohd Hoesni, Zakaria, & Ismail, 2015). For example, according to Syariah Judiciary Department in Malaysia, the number of divorce rate reported in 2010 was 41,809 and increased to 53,891 in 2014. Ostensibly the least difficult clarification for why a marriage breaks up is that one or the two life partners become progressively disappointed, decreasing the nature of couple connection and inciting a division or separation thusly. In this unique situation, family analysts have been creating measures to evaluate the nature of the conjugal relationship for more than six decades (Schoebi, Karney, Bradbury, 2011).

The expression "marital quality" has been utilized to allude to ideas and measures that in the past have been called marital change, fulfillment, and joy (Spanier and Lewis, 1980). Marital fulfillment and satisfaction both allude to emotional assessments of beneficial outcome in the marital relationship by one (or both) of the companions (Johnson, 1995). Marital change implies both social and evaluative parts of a marital relationship. These incorporate dyadic attachment, fulfillment, agreement, relational strains, and inconvenient dyadic contrasts (Spanier, 1976). As verified by Chonody, Gabb, Killian, and Dunk-Wes (2018, p. 920), seeing how people make enduring coupledom are, hence, significant for both research and practice, and estimating marital quality is a basic angle.

As marital quality is characterized as a worldwide assessment of the marriage along a few measurements (Fincham and Bradbury, 1987), high marital quality is normally operationally characterized by high self-announced fulfillment with the relationship, transcendently inspirational frames of mind towards one's accomplice, and low degrees of unfriendly and negative conduct. Despite what might be expected, low marital quality is described by low fulfillment, dominatingly negative frames of mind towards one's accomplice, and abnormal amounts of unfriendly and negative conduct (Robles, Slatcher, Trombello, and McGinn, 2014). All in all, past examinations show that marital quality, fulfillment, and change are for the most part exceedingly connected, demonstrating that these are maybe parts of one idle development (Fincham and Bradbury, 1987). In this way, outline of an operational definition combined with thorough psychometric testing may propel another scale for this substantive space (Chonody, Gabb, Killian and Dunk-Wes, 2018).

B. SERVQUAL Model and Its Applications

The PZB's model of service quality, specifically SERVQUAL has been filled in as a significant instrument in estimating service quality in the advertising writing. In 1988, they built up this model to gauge client's desires and view of service quality, which is a 22 item instrument dependent on five measurements having distinctive service evaluative parts of a marital
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inevitability) and sympathy (caring, customized attention the firm provides its customers) (Parasuraman et al., 1988, p. 6). The tool is conceptualized as a hole between what the clients expect and their assessments of the presentation of a service (Parasuraman, Berry, and Zeithaml, 1991; Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry, 1986, 1988; Zeithaml, Berry and Parasuraman, 1993).

As such, execution to-desires "gaps" on credits that clients use to assess the nature of service structure the hypothetical establishment of SERVQUAL (Asubonteng, McClearly and Swan, 1996). PZB's conceptualization sets that aspirations, blended with apparent execution, lead to post-buy satisfaction. This effect is mediated through positive or negative disconfirmation among aspirations and implementation. As expressed in the disconfirmation of desire model, sentiments of fulfillment emerge when shoppers contrast their impression of item execution with their desires (Oliver, 1980; Spreng, Mackenzie and Olshavsky, 1996). At the end of the day, disconfirmation is guessed to influence fulfillment, with positive disconfirmation prompting fulfillment and the other way around. In truth, PZB's model of service quality, to be specific SERVQUAL is an unmistakable model from showcasing writing that has been connected in anticipating and clarifying customers' fulfillment.

SERVQUAL is recognized on the viewpoint that the client's assessment of service quality is fundamental. The service quality progress has been altered and disentangled by the US way of thinking, in particular Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (PZB), wherein 1985, they suggested that powerful service quality ought to have ten clear measurements, to be specific (1) dependability; (2) receptiveness; (3) skill; (4) get to; (5) cordiality; (6) correspondence; (7) believability; (8) security; (9) understanding/knowing the client; (10) physical assets. In any case, in their 1988 work these parts were fell into five measurements, to be specific effects (physical offices, gear, and presence of specialists), unwavering quality (capacity to play out the guaranteed service constantly and precisely), responsiveness (readiness to support client and give brief service), affirmation (information and politeness of laborers and their capacities to move trust and certainty), and compassion (minding, individualized consideration the association gives its clients) (Ismail and Mohd Yunan, 2016; Parasuraman, Berry and Zeithaml 1991, 1994). Dependability, effects, and responsiveness stayed particular, yet the staying seven segments fallen into two total measurements, confirmation, and sympathy.

Specifically, SERVQUAL model has been utilized to measure service quality in a diversity of service businesses, including the higher education, healthcare sector, banking, fast food, telecommunications, retail chains, information systems, library services, car servicing, airline catering, computer services, ophthalmological services, mental health services, local government, recreational services, accounting firms, business-to-business channel partners (e.g., Arokiasamy, 2014; Arokiasamy & Abdullah, 2013; Asghari, & Babu, 2018; Buttle, 2006; Chiang, & Perng, 2018; Hartwig & Billert, 2018; Hisham, Sanyal, & Ahmad, 2016; Ismail & Mohd Yunan, 2016; Khattab, 2018; Ladhari, 2009; Leonard, 2018; Markovic, & Raspor, 2010; Michael, Folinas, & Rotsios, 2015; Muhammad Butt, M., & de Run, 2010; Neupane & Devkota, 2017; Ok, Suy, Chhay, & Choun, 2018; Ok, & Hengsadeekul, 2018; Pamatmat, Dominguez, Pamin, & Daran, 2018; Patterson, Johnson, & Spreng, 1997; Rehman & Husnain, 2018; Saghier, 2015; Shekarchizadeh, Rasli & Huan, 2011; Spreng & Page, 2003; Syahir & Hudsaryah, 2014; Yarimoglu, 2014).

### III. DISCUSSION & RECOMMENDATION

Based on previous literature, marital quality is believed to be a multidimensional construct. To a certain extent, marital quality is supposed to be influenced by various aspects, such as how couples respond to their stress and achieve mutual understanding (Firdaus Abdul Razak, Mohd Hoesni, Zakaria, & Ismail, 2015). Besides, marital quality alludes to the level of fulfillment, trust, regard and intrigue life partners share in their relationship, which demonstrates that it is multifactorial and along these lines, considers that join factors of individual assets of the life partners, their versatile procedures and their setting for understanding the marvel (Mosmann, Wagner, and Fères-Carneiro, 2006; Scorsolini-Conim, and Santos, 2009) are essential (Scheeren, de Andrade Vieira, Ribeiro Goulart, and Wagner (2014).

In light of that, a large number of the current marital quality's scales may consider issue centered and additionally approved with an example of couples occupied with treatment in spite of the fact that the scales may serve a particular capacity. In this way, the present examination tries to make an option - an estimation scale got from execution to-desires "holes" on credits that companions use to assess the nature of service, which structure the hypothetical establishment of MARIQUAL. Clearly, PZB's conceptualization sets that desires, combined with apparent execution, lead to post-marital fulfillment. This impact is interceded through positive or negative disconfirmation among desires and execution, which is predictable with the disconfirmation of desire model, where sentiments of fulfillment emerge when people think about their impression of their accomplices' exhibition to their desires. Certainly, the ground-breaking job that desires can play on feelings was plot by Feather (1969), who proposed that people's impression of results as being either constructive or antagonistic are identified with their underlying desires for the result. As such, disconfirmation is theorized to influence fulfillment, with positive disconfirmation prompting fulfillment and the other way around.

Clearly, people will in general have assumptions about their marital connections (Dixon, Gordon, Frousakis, and Schumm, 2012). Also, the standard one uses to assess one's nearby persona; connections are dynamic in nature and can change dependent on one's encounters (McDonald, 1981). In Sabatelli's (1984) examine, he infers that a life partner's marital quality alludes to marital quality is supposed to be influenced by various aspects, such as how couples respond to their stress and achieve mutual understanding (Firdaus Abdul Razak, Mohd Hoesni, Zakaria, & Ismail, 2015). Besides, marital quality alludes to the level of fulfillment, trust, regard and intrigue life partners share in their relationship, which demonstrates that it is multifactorial and along these lines, considers that join factors of individual assets of the life partners, their versatile procedures and their setting for understanding the marvel (Mosmann, Wagner, and Fères-Carneiro, 2006; Scorsolini-Conim, and Santos, 2009) are essential (Scheeren, de Andrade Vieira, Ribeiro Goulart, and Wagner (2014).

In light of that, a large number of the current marital quality's scales may consider issue centered and additionally approved with an example of couples occupied with treatment in spite of the fact that the scales may serve a particular capacity. In this way, the present examination tries to make an option - an estimation scale got from execution to-desires "holes" on credits that companions use to assess the nature of service, which structure the hypothetical establishment of MARIQUAL. Clearly, PZB's conceptualization sets that desires, combined with apparent execution, lead to post-marital fulfillment. This impact is interceded through positive or negative disconfirmation among desires and execution, which is predictable with the disconfirmation of desire model, where sentiments of fulfillment emerge when people think about their impression of their accomplices' exhibition to their desires. Certainly, the ground-breaking job that desires can play on feelings was plot by Feather (1969), who proposed that people's impression of results as being either constructive or antagonistic are identified with their underlying desires for the result. As such, disconfirmation is theorized to influence fulfillment, with positive disconfirmation prompting fulfillment and the other way around.

Clearly, people will in general have assumptions about their marital connections (Dixon, Gordon, Frousakis, and Schumm, 2012). Also, the standard one uses to assess one's nearby persona; connections are dynamic in nature and can change dependent on one's encounters (McDonald, 1981). In Sabatelli's (1984) examine, he infers that a life partner's marital quality is supposed to be influenced by various aspects, such as how couples respond to their stress and achieve mutual understanding (Firdaus Abdul Razak, Mohd Hoesni, Zakaria, & Ismail, 2015). Besides, marital quality alludes to the level of fulfillment, trust, regard and intrigue life partners share in their relationship, which demonstrates that it is multifactorial and along these lines, considers that join factors of individual assets of the life partners, their versatile procedures and their setting for understanding the marvel (Mosmann, Wagner, and Fères-Carneiro, 2006; Scorsolini-Conim, and Santos, 2009) are essential (Scheeren, de Andrade Vieira, Ribeiro Goulart, and Wagner (2014).

In light of that, a large number of the current marital quality's scales may consider issue centered and additionally approved with an example of couples occupied with treatment in spite of the fact that the scales may serve a particular capacity. In this way, the present examination tries to make an option - an estimation scale got from execution to-desires "holes" on credits that companions use to assess the nature of service, which structure the hypothetical establishment of MARIQUAL. Clearly, PZB's conceptualization sets that desires, combined with apparent execution, lead to post-marital fulfillment. This impact is interceded through positive or negative disconfirmation among desires and execution, which is predictable with the disconfirmation of desire model, where sentiments of fulfillment emerge when people think about their impression of their accomplices' exhibition to their desires. Certainly, the ground-breaking job that desires can play on feelings was plot by Feather (1969), who proposed that people's impression of results as being either constructive or antagonistic are identified with their underlying desires for the result. As such, disconfirmation is theorized to influence fulfillment, with positive disconfirmation prompting fulfillment and the other way around.

Clearly, people will in general have assumptions about their marital connections (Dixon, Gordon, Frousakis, and Schumm, 2012). Also, the standard one uses to assess one's nearby persona; connections are dynamic in nature and can change dependent on one's encounters (McDonald, 1981). In Sabatelli's (1984) examine, he infers that a life partner's marital quality is supposed to be influenced by various aspects, such as how couples respond to their stress and achieve mutual understanding (Firdaus Abdul Razak, Mohd Hoesni, Zakaria, & Ismail, 2015). Besides, marital quality alludes to the level of fulfillment, trust, regard and intrigue life partners share in their relationship, which demonstrates that it is multifactorial and along these lines, considers that join factors of individual assets of the life partners, their versatile procedures and their setting for understanding the marvel (Mosmann, Wagner, and Fères-Carneiro, 2006; Scorsolini-Conim, and Santos, 2009) are essential (Scheeren, de Andrade Vieira, Ribeiro Goulart, and Wagner (2014).
an element of age (Sabatelli and Pearce, 1988). Clearly, the impact of a mate’s desires may need to consider as a moderating variable in evaluating mate fulfillment. Furthermore, some traditional analysts, for example, the investigations of Grönroos (1982), Lewis and Booms (1983) and Smith and Houston (1982) asserted that fulfillment with services is identified with affirmation or disconfirmation of desires.

Thus, it is perhaps not surprising to state that delivering a spouse’s marriage quality means conforming to a partner’s expectations on a consistent basis. Furthermore, it is difficult to address the dilemma of overlapping item content with existing measures of marital quality. This dilemma, however, can be easily avoided by adopting expectation disconfirmation theory since it does not overlap in test items when its relationship to aspects of marital functioning is examined. Although some critics have stated that the perception scores provide a better measure of service quality than the gap scores, however, the gap scores are generally more useful in identifying areas of weakness and strength of spouse (Ladhari, 2009).

More importantly, such gap scores can help a spouse to diagnose where improvements should be targeted. Clearly, the theory of expectation disconfirmation within the marriage context deserves more treatment. The present study, therefore, intends to summarize and synergize the literature that provides arguments for and against the study, therefore, intends to summarize and synergize the conceptualization of the SERVQUAL model. Given the above, this paper advances an instrument called MARIQUAL model (Table 1), which is a recommended survey instrument for marital quality, which is conceptualized from the SERVQUAL Model developed by PZB in 1988.

Table 1: Recommended Questions for MARITAL Instrument

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tangibles</td>
<td>Fashionable daily living style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical appearance is visually appealing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well-dressed/neat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appearance is consistent with life stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Meets promised time-frames for response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sympathetic and reassuring, when a spouse has problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dependable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides task at the times promised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keeps accurate records/information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>Expected to tell spouse exactly when the task will be performed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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