

# Bearing Capacity on Slope Modeling with Composite Bamboo Pile Reinforcement

As'ad Munawir, Murni Dewi, Yulvi Zaika, Agoes Soehardjono MD

**Abstract**—the footing that placed on slope surface will decrease bearing capacity of soil. The function of using composite bamboo pile is to increase bearing capacity of footing on slope and that is one of the innovative slope reinforcement methods which necessary for last few years. Slope modeling with composite bamboo reinforcement was using an experiment box with 1,50 m as length; 1,0 m as width and 1,0 m as height. It used sand soil with fine gradation and composite bamboo pile with various pile length and pile location. The load was modeled as a strip footing with continuous increasing load by load cell until the limit load has been reach. The problem occurred in laboratory has been analyzed with Finite Element Method. It changed 3D slope modeling to be 2D modeling. Composite bamboo pile has been chosen as a new utilization innovation of bamboo as reinforced pile and that's a positive value to optimize bamboo local material as steel reinforced replacement material. The result of experiment using composite bamboo as pile reinforcement on slope has increased slope bearing capacity. It shown with significant increasing of bearing capacity and maximum limit load can be reached on slope.

**Index Terms**— bearing capacity improvement, bearing capacity on slope, composite bamboo pile, finite element method.

## I. INTRODUCTION

When a shallow footing has placed on slope surface, bearing capacity of footing will significantly decrease, depend on location of the footing to slope inclination. To resolve this problem, it used a reinforced system using composite bamboo pile. The method of installing piles at top of the slope has function as a resistant element and at once to resist all lateral forces that is working. They worked with reducing lateral forces by transferring the force to composite bamboo pile reinforcement which is installed with various distance on slope.

Some researchers have done researching the soil bearing capacity on slope, both by analysis, experiments and numeric. Reference [8] has been researched about bearing capacity of clays on slope that are being given a continuous load using upper bond theorem as analysis method. Reference [16] has been researched about soil bearing capacity on slope with analytical approach using log spiral method that is compared to upper bond method. Reference [1] started a research using skirted strip footing reinforcement that is compared to soil bearing capacity on sand slope with experimental and analytical approach. Reference [7] had done the research using sand soil and geo grid reinforcement, with experimental and numerical approach. Reference [4] started a research using geotextile reinforcement and it explained about failure mechanism on sand slope. Reference [11] done the researched with using pile reinforcement on sand slope.

**Manuscript received on June, 2013.**

**Munawir, A.**, Civil Engineering, Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia.

**Dewi, S. M.**, Civil Engineering, Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia.

**Zaika, Y.**, Civil Engineering, Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia.

**Soehardjono, A.**, Civil Engineering, Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia.

The effect from reinforcement for increasing ultimate soil bearing capacity is presented in non-dimensional form that called BCI. Reference [18], [17], [6], and [3] said that bearing capacity improvement (BCI) is a ratio which explain the comparison between ultimate soil bearing capacity with reinforcement to ultimate soil bearing capacity without reinforcement. Reference [19] said that BCI is a ratio which explains the comparison between soils bearing capacity with reinforcement to soil bearing capacity without reinforcement in same settlement level. Reference [9] used BCI based on; ultimate bearing capacity base and same settlement level base.

## II. SOIL BEARING CAPACITY OF CONTINUOUS FOOTING ON SLOPE

### A. Bearing Capacity Improvement (BCI) Analysis

Bearing Capacity Improvement (BCI) can be determined based on two things; bearing capacity at ultimate point ( $BCI_u$ ) and bearing capacity at same settlement level ( $BCI_s$ ). BCI is a ratio between bearing capacity with reinforcement to bearing capacity with non-reinforcement. Increasing value of BCI shows that slope bearing capacity has been increased after using reinforcement. BCI can be written as (1) :

$$BCI_u = \frac{q_u(R)}{q_u}, \quad BCI_s = \frac{q(R)}{q} \quad (1)$$

In which  $q_u(R)$  = ultimate bearing capacity with reinforcement,  $q_u$  = ultimate bearing capacity without reinforcement;  $q(R)$  = bearing capacity with reinforcement at "s" level settlement;  $q$  = bearing capacity without reinforcement at "s" level settlement.

### B. Bearing Capacity Analysis Using Finite Element Method

This research is using PLAXIS 8.2 as a finite element method program to analyze slope bearing capacity with non-reinforcement and slope bearing capacity with pile reinforcement. PLAXIS 8.2 use 2D model which are very different from actual condition in the laboratory modeling that was a 3D modeling.

To find the effect of pile height and pile location, material value cannot be entered directly on initial input. The quantities of pile height and pile location must be changed into EI and EA form. Furthermore, they have to be transformed into equivalent EI form. The previous research has been done the plane strain analysis with treat the same pile that is used in modeling to sheet pile which has same stiffness to average stiffness from row of piles and soil, as shown on Fig. 1. Thereby, it can be analyze using the transformation value between piles and soil to EI equivalent form.




Fig. 1. EI and EA transformation value between piles and soil

PLAXIS modeling in this case, used Mohr-Coloumb method. Mohr-Coloumb model is very popular as initial approximation to understand about general soil behaviour. Parameters on this model are; Modulus Young (E), Poison ratio ( $\nu$ ), cohesion (c), friction angle of soil ( $\phi$ ) and dilatation angle ( $\psi$ ). Table 1 shows the parameters that used on this model.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Box Model and Footing

Prime element that used is box, made of fiber glass with length : 1,50 m; width : 1,0 m and height : 1,0 m. Base of box using sheet steel with thickness 1,2 cm. The box made to be rigid enough for maintain strain plane condition. Fiberglass used on box to make observation more easier in laboratory. The experimental box shown in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Experimental box

Reinforcement system consist of hydraulic jack that manually operated with 10 tons capacity and load cell that has been calibrated as a load gauge which occurred in proving ring reading. A continuous footing with length 100 cm, width 10 cm and height 10 cm located on slope surface that directly contacted to hydraulic jack. Upper end from hydraulic jack linked to a reaction beam that restraint on steel primary framework. Load increment process used stress control that connected with two dial gauges for measure footing settlement.

B. Sand Soil Test

Sand soil that was used in this research is sand soil with fine gradation. Specific gravity of sand soil particles determined with standard procedure based on ASTM standard. Mechanical parameters can be determined using direct shear test with sample that took directly from slope experimental model at desirable density. To determine granular size distribution, they use sieve analysis. The result of granular size can be shown on Fig. 3. Physical and mechanical parameters presented in Table 1.





Fig. 3. Granular size analysis

TABLE 1 SAND SOIL CHARACTERISTICS


Explanation	Value	Unit		
		Dr 74%	Dr 88%	
Specific Gravity	Gs	2,69		
Dry volume gravity	$\gamma_d$	13,2	16,1	$\text{kN/m}^3$
Cohesion	c	0,4	0,5	$\text{kN/m}^2$
Friction angle	$\Phi$	34,4	38,68	$^\circ$

C. Procedure and Experimental Program

Sand soil model compacted every layer with height 10 cm using standard proctor until they reached the desirable density. After that, it shaped to a slope with desirable inclination angle ( $50^\circ$ ). Composite bamboo pile reinforcement installed in specific position. Variables that used are; pile length which symbolized with H and location of piles from sub slope that symbolized with  $L_x$ . Space between center of piles ( $D_1$ ) and pile parameters presented in Fig. 4.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 4. (a) Space between piles ( $D_1$ ), (b) Pile section.

This research using composite bamboo piles with 4 bamboo sticks as reinforced that installed in composite piles on circle line as shown in Fig. 5.




Fig. 5. Bamboo reinforced position in composite pile reinforcement

Variation of Pile Length (H) that used are; 30 cm; 35 cm; 40 cm and 45 cm. For distance from sub slope to pile location (Lx), it divided into 4 variations; 8,97 cm; 18,96 cm; 28,95 cm and 37,97 cm. Then for slope horizontal length is constant at L = 41,954cm. Whereas for diameter, it used D/B ratio with D is a constant pile diameter and B is a constant foundation width. While for space between piles is using a constant space at 10 cm. These pile reinforcement variations that used in this research can be shown in Table 3.

TABLE 3 VARIABLES IN SLOPE MODEL TEST

No.	Constant Parameters	Independent variable	Exp.
1		Dr = 74% , 88%	
	Non reinforcement	b = 0,5 B	-
2	Reinforced	Dr = 74% , 88%	
	D/B = 0,254	H/B = 3; 3,5; 4; 4,5	row
	D <sub>1</sub> /B = 1	Lx/L = 0,214 ; 0,452 ; 0,690 ; 0,905	row

This experiment produced load and settlement data. From those data, bearing capacity value and BCI value can be proceed as final results.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Pile Length Effect to Bearing Capacity on Slope

To find pile length effect on slope bearing capacity, the experiments must be done using pile reinforcement with 4 variation of pile length, there are; 30 cm; 35 cm; 40 cm and 45 cm which are used with constant pile diameter ratio to foundation width (D/B = 0,254) Relation between bearing capacity (q<sub>u</sub>) and settlement (s) for non-reinforcement slope (H/B = 0) and reinforcement slope with maximum pile length (H/B = 4,5) shown in Fig. 6.





Fig. 6. Relation between bearing capacity and settlement using pile's length variation (a) Dr 74% (b) Dr 88%

The experiment result which has been done in laboratory and result from analytical with FEM (PLAXIS) show that more higher pile location, then BCI value became more increasingly. Incrementation of BCI presented in Fig. 7.








Fig. 7. Relation between BCI and various pile length. (a) BCI<sub>u</sub> for every Dr, (b) BCI<sub>s</sub> Dr 74%, (c) BCI<sub>s</sub> Dr 88%

**B. Effect of Pile Location to Bearing Capacity on Slope**

To find pile location effect on slope bearing capacity, the experiments must be done using pile reinforcement with 4 variation of location, there are; 8,97 cm; 18,96 cm; 28,95 cm and 37,97 cm that located on fixed pile diameter to foundation width ( $D_f/B = 1$ ). Relation between bearing capacity ( $q_u$ ) and settlement ( $s$ ) for non-reinforcement slope ( $Lx/L = 0$ ) and reinforcement slope with maximum distance ( $Lx/L = 0,690$ ) shown in Fig. 8




(a)




(b)

**Fig. 8.**Relation between bearing capacity and settlement using pile locations variation (a) Dr 74% (b) Dr 88%


The experiment result which has been done in laboratory and result from analytical with FEM (PLAXIS) show that more closer the distance between piles, then BCI value became more increasingly. Incrementation of BCI shown in Fig. 9.



(a)




(b)




(c)

**Fig. 9.** Relation between BCI and various pile location .(a)  $BCI_u$  for every Dr, b)  $BCI_s$  Dr 74%, c)  $BCI_s$  Dr 88%


Fig. 10 shows slope displacement vectors for non-reinforcement slope and slope with pile reinforcement for Dr 74% and Dr 88% that obtained from PLAXIS 8.2.




(a)



(b)



(c)



**Fig. 10.** Displacement vector; (a) Non-reinforcement with Dr 74%, (b) Using pile reinforcement with Dr 74%, (c) Non-reinforcement with Dr 88%, d) Using pile reinforcement with Dr 88%.

### CONCLUSION

- (i). Slope reinforcement with pile reinforcement has a significant effect to increase bearing capacity of continuous footing.
- (ii). On various pile length, BCI reached the maximum point on 45 cm as pile length (H).
- (iii). On various pile location, BCI reached the maximum point on 0, 69 as ratio between pile location from sub slope to slope horizontal length ( $L_x/L$ ).

### REFERENCES

- [1] Azzam, W. R., Farouk, A. " *Experimental and Numerical Studies of Sand Slopes Loaded with Skirted Strip Footing*", *EJGE, Vol.15, 2010*
- [2] Bahloul, K. M. M. *Behavior of Strip Footing Resting on Randomly Fiber Reinforced Sand Cushion Underlaid by a Layer of Soft Clay and Adjacent to a Slope*. Bucharest, Rumania.
- [3] Haripal, P.K., Behera, R.N., and Patra, C.R. 2008. *Behavior of Surface Strip Footing on Geogrid Reinforced Sand Bed*. International Association for Computer Method and Advance in Geomechanics, India
- [4] Huang, C. H., Wen, W.W. 1994. *Failure Mechanisms of Reinforced Sand Slopes Loaded with A Footing*. Japanese Society of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering.
- [5] Ip, K. W. 2005. *Bearing Capacity for Foundation near Slope*. Concordia University, Canada.
- [6] Kenny, M. J., Andrawes, K. Z. 1997. *The Bearing Capacity of Footings on a Sand Layer Overlying Soft Clay*. University of Strathclyde.
- [7] Kumar, S., Anil, V., and Ilamparuthi, K. 2009. *Response of Footing on Sand Slopes*. Indian Geotechnical Society Chennai Chapter.
- [8] Kusakabe, O., Kimura, T., Yamaguchi, H. 1981. *Bearing Capacity of Slopes under Strip Loads on the Top Surfaces*. Japanese Society of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering.
- [9] Marandi, S.M., Bagheripour, M.H., Rahgozar, R., and Ghirian A.R. 2008. *Numerical Investigation Into the Behavior of Circular Pad Shallow Foundations Supported by Geogrid Reinforced Sand*. Bahonar University, Kerman, Iran.
- [10] Meyerhof, G.G. 1957. *The Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Foundations on Slopes*. London: The Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering.
- [11] Mostafa, A. E. S. 2004. *Strip Footing Behaviour on Pile and Sheet Pile-Stabilized Sand Slope*. Alexandria Engineering Journal Vol. 43 (2004) No 1, 41-54.
- [12] Munawir, A., Dewi, S.M., Zaika, Y., Soehardjono, A. 2011. *Pile Stability and Lateral Force on Slope Using Mini Composite Bamboo Pile Reinforcement*. National Seminar – 1 BMPTTSSI – Konteks 5. Faculty of Engineering USU, Medan.
- [13] Munawir, A., Dewi, S.M., Zaika, Y., Soehardjono, A. 2011. *Utilizing of Mini Composite Bamboo Pile as Soil Reinforcement Alternative for Landslide Prevention on Slope*. Civil Engineering National Seminar VII. ITS, Surabaya.
- [14] Munawir, A., Dewi, S.M., Zaika, Y., Soehardjono, A. 2012. *Influence of Various Dimension and Mini Composite Bamboo Pile Reinforcement Location on Slope Stability Modeling*. National Symposium: Rekayasa Engineering and Bamboo Cultivation I. Gajah Mada University, Yogyakarta.

- [15] Munawir, A., Dewi, S.M., Zaika, Y., Soehardjono, A. 2012. *Influence of Various Length and Mini Composite Bamboo Pile Reinforcement Location on Slope Stability Modeling*. Civil Engineering National Seminar VIII. ITS, Surabaya.
- [16] Narita, K., Hakuju, Y. 1990. *Bearing Capacity Analysis of Foundation on Slope by use of Log-Spiral Sliding Surface, Soil and Foundations, Vol. 30*. Technical Note, Japanese society of soil mechanics and foundation engineering.
- [17] Shin, E.C., Das B.M. 2000. *Experiment Study of Bearing Capacity of a strip Foundation on Geogrid-Reinforced Sand Vol 7*. Technical Note, Geosynthetics International
- [18] Thanapalasingam, J., Granendran, C.T. 2008. *Predicting the Performance of Foundation Near Reinforced Slope Fills*. International Association for Computer Method and Advance in Geomechanics, India
- [19] Zahmatkesh, A., Choobbasti, A. J. 2010. *Investigation of Bearing Capacity and Settlement of Strip Footing on Clay Reinforced with Stone Columns*. Department of Civil Engineering, Babol University of Technology, Babol, Iran.



**As'ad Munawir** was born in Sidoarjo Indonesia, on November 11, 1959. He obtained his Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering from Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia and his Master Degree in Geotechnical Engineering from Bandung Technology Institute, Indonesia. Currently he is a lecturer and researcher in soil mechanics and foundation engineering.



**Sri Murni Dewi** was born in Bukittinggi December 11, 1951. She obtained her Bachelor and Master Degree in Civil Engineering from Bandung Technology Institute Indonesia and her Doctoral Degree in Civil Engineering from Sepuluh November Technology Institute Indonesia. Now she is currently held a position for head structure laboratory office, professor and lecturer for structure major in Civil Engineering Brawijaya University.



**Yulvi Zaika** was born in Padang Indonesia July 7, 1968. She obtained her Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering from Andalas University Indonesia, her Master Degree in Civil Engineering from Bandung Technology Institute Indonesia, her Doctoral Degree in Gifu University Japan. Now she is currently held a position for soil mechanic lecturer in Brawijaya University.



**Agoes Soehardjono** was born in Surakarta Indonesia, on April 12, 1956. He obtained his Bachelor and Master Degree in Structural Engineering from Bandung Technology Institute, Indonesia and his Doctoral Degree in Structural Engineering from Sepuluh November Technology Institute, Indonesia. Now he is currently held position for lecturer and professor for structure major in Civil Engineering Brawijaya University Malang, Indonesia.