

Analysis of Different Wavelets by Correlation

Ritesh Jain, Suraiya Parveen

Abstract—In today's world, speech is an integral part of digital communication. The removal of noise in analog and digital communication has been a daunting task for many years. Noise is an unwanted signal that hinders communication. There are various methods to help restore a speech from noisy distortions. Wavelets have by now established themselves to be an invaluable accumulation to the analyst's compilation of tools and go on to enjoy a rapidly increasing recognition in their brief account of the signal processing field. Wavelet analysis is competent of enlightening aspects of data that other signal study techniques miss. In addition, it affords a diverse view of data than those obtainable by conventional techniques. Wavelet analysis can often compress or de-noise a signal without appreciable degradation. Study in the field of Wavelets has shown that Wavelet decomposition is a capable method as other methods of denoising. In this paper, the author compares the performances of Daubechies, Coiflet and Symlet Wavelets for different values of their order for an audio signal. Further, the variation of threshold values with correlation has been investigated.

Keywords- Noise, Daubechies

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades Wavelets have become a popular tool for speech processing. The rise in the area of denoising of speech has been due to its use in the area of mobile communication, musical-tones, digital aids, physics, medicine and biology etc. There are many denoising procedures which can be used with different Wavelets. Correct choice of denoising method and Wavelets are the important consideration in improving the quality of an audio signal. The author uses a method which has been presented in their paper 'speech signal noise reduction by Wavelets' [22]. Wavelets are superior to STFT and Fourier Transform because they simultaneously process time and frequency. Also, wavelets work at high frequency which provides fast changing details. The Wavelets can be stretched at high frequency. In the case of low frequency they are applied to slow changing features. Wavelets can easily represent functions for discontinuities and sharp peaks and can work without using complex numbers.

II. CONSIDERATIONS IN THE CHOICE OF A WAVELET

The number of vanishing points is an important criterion in choosing a Wavelet. If there are 'n' vanishing moments, it means the Wavelet coefficients for nth order polynomial will be zero. This implies that any scale upto (n-1)th order can be drawn completely in scaling space. More vanishing points means that the signal can be represented more accurately. Symmetry considerations are useful for providing knowledge about signal phasing. The regularity of a wavelet is also useful for smoothness of the reconstructed signal. Daubechies Wavelet comprises of a maximum number of vanishing moments and is not symmetrical in nature.

Manuscript received April, 2013.

Ritesh Jain, Lecturer, GND Polytechnic, Sec-15 Rohini, GNCTD, India.
Suraiya Parveen, Computer Science, Hamdard University, New Delhi, India

Coiflet and Symlet Wavelets are near-symmetrical in nature. The Coiflet Wavelet has vanishing moments in its scaling function. Exact reconstruction is possible in all three Wavelets.

III. BLOCK DIAGRAM




Figure 1: Denoising Method

IV. RESULTS




Figure 2: Coiflet Wavelet Audio Signal




Figure 3: Coiflet Wavelet Audio Signal Correlation Graph




Figure 4: Symlet Wavelet Audio Signal




Figure 5: Symlet Wavelet Audio Signal Correlation Graph




Figure 6: Daubechies Wavelet Audio Signal




Figure 7: Daubechies Wavelet Audio Signal Correlation Graph

V. COMPARISON TABLE FOR AUDIO SIGNAL

COIF WAVELET	THRESHOLD VALUE	CORRELATION VALUE
COIF1	0.0340	0.9234
COIF2	0.0315	0.9427
COIF3	0.0292	0.9522
COIF4	0.0277	0.9543
COIF5	0.0280	0.9537

SYMLET WAVELET	THRESHOLD VALUE	CORRELATION VALUE
SYM1	0.0301	0.9091
SYM2	0.0344	0.9232
SYM3	0.0254	0.9494
SYM4	0.0239	0.9546
SYM5	0.0248	0.9550
SYM6	0.0262	0.9552
SYM7	0.0308	0.9476
SYM8	0.0286	0.9522
SYM9	0.0329	0.9453
SYM10	0.0279	0.9539

DAUBECHIES WAVELET	THRESHOLD VALUE	CORRELATION VALUE
DB1	0.0301	0.9091
DB2	0.0344	0.9232
DB3	0.0254	0.9494
DB4	0.0255	0.9506
DB5	0.0360	0.9358
DB6	0.0309	0.9437
DB7	0.0275	0.9496
DB8	0.0330	0.9416
DB9	0.0265	0.9516
DB10	0.0320	0.9411

CONCLUSION

Three Wavelet families have been evaluated in case of audio signal: Symmlets order 1 to 10; Daubechies order 1 to 10 and Coiflet order 1 to 5. In the comparison of three Wavelets, namely, Coiflet Wavelet, Symlet Wavelet and Daubechies Wavelet, it has been found that the performance of Symlet Wavelet is the best, followed by Coiflet Wavelet and then Daubechies Wavelet. When we consider the case of Coiflet Wavelet, the value of threshold decreases for coif1 to coif4 and the value of the correlation between the original signal and the denoised signal goes on increasing. The correlation value is maximum in the case of Coiflet4 at lag0, followed by Coiflet5. When we observe the value for Symlet Wavelet, the pattern observed for threshold value in the case of Coiflet Wavelet is not found.

The maximum value of correlation is found at Symlet6, followed by Symlet5 and Symlet10. The maximum value of correlation in the case of Daubechies Wavelet is observed at db9. The difference in the correlation values is very minimal. Coiflet5, Coiflet4, Symlet5, Symlet6, Symlet10 and Db9 have been found to be the best for denoising purposes.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amara Graps. An introduction to Wavelets. IEEE Computational Science and Engineering, Summer 1995, vol. 2, num. 2, published by the IEEE Computer Society, 10662 Los Vaqueros Circle, Los Alamitos, CA 90720, USA.
- [2] R.J.E. Merry, Prof. Dr. Ir. M. Steinbuch, Dr. Ir. M.J.G. van de Molengraft. Wavelet Theory and Applications -A literature study, DCT 2005.53.
- [3] Florian Luisiera, Thierry Blua, Brigitte Forsterb and Michael Unsera a Biomedical Imaging Group (BIG), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Munich University of Technology (TUM), Munich, Germany. Which Wavelet bases are the best for image denoising ?
- [4] A. K. Verma, Neema Verma. A Comparative Performance Analysis of Wavelets in Denoising of Speech Signals, National Conference on Advancement of Technologies – Information Systems & Computer Networks (ISCON – 2012) Proceedings published in International Journal of Computer Applications® (IJCA).
- [5] Nathaniel A. Whitmal¹, Janet C. Rutledge², and Jonathan Cohen². Reducing Correlated Noise in Digital Hearing Aids.
- [6] Adrian E. Villanueva- Luna¹, Alberto Jaramillo-Núñez¹, Daniel Sanchez-Lucero¹, Carlos M. Ortiz-Lima¹, J. Gabriel Aguilar-Soto¹, Aaron Flores-Gil² and Manuel May-Alarcon². De-Noiseing Audio Signals Using MATLAB Wavelets Toolbox
- [7] Dr. Parvinder Singh, Dinesh Singh, Deepak Seth. Reduction of Noise from Speech Signal using Haar and Biorthogonal Wavelet, ISSN: 2230-7109(IJEAT Vol. 2, ISSN : 2230-7109(Online) | ISSN : 2230-9543(Print) Issue 3, Sept. 2011.
- [8] Sofia Ben Jebara, Research Unit TECHTRA Ecole Supérieure des Communications de Tunis Route de Raoued 3.5 Km, Cité El Ghazala, 2083, Ariana, Tunisia. Periodic/Aperiodic Decomposition And Wavelet Transform for Noise Reduction in Oesophageal Speech. M. Young, The Technical Writers Handbook. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.
- [9] Michel Misiti, Yves Misiti, Georges Oppenheim, Jean-Michel Poggi. Wavelet Toolbox for use with matlab.
- [10] Rajeev Aggarwal, Sanjay Rathore, Jai Karan Singh, Mukesh Tiwari, Vijay Kumar Gupta, Dr. Anubhuti Khare. Noise Reduction of Speech Signal using Wavelet Transform with Modified Universal Threshold, International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 20– No.5, April 2011
- [11] Bahoura M & Rouat J. (2006). Wavelet speech enhancement based on time-scale adaptation, Speech Communication Vol.48, No.12, pp:1620-1637. ISSN: 0167-6393.
- [12] Rajeev Aggarwal, Jay Karan Singh, Vijay Kr. Gupta and Dr. Anubhuti Khare. Elimination of White Noise from Speech Signal Using Wavelet Transform By Soft and Hard Thresholding, VSRD-IJEECE, Vol. 1 (2), 2011, 62-71.
- [13] Davis, G, M, (2002). „Noise Reduction in Speech Applications, CRC Press LLC, ISBN 0-8493-0949-2, USA.
- [14] Dong E & Pu X. (2008). Speech denoising based on perceptual weighting filter, Proceedings of 9th IEE International Conference on Signal Processing, pp: 705-708, October 26-29, Beijing. Print ISBN: 978-1-4244-2178-7.
- [15] Gold, B. & Morgan, N. (1999) Speech and audio signal processing: processing and perception of speech, and music, John Wiley & Sons, INC., ISBN: 0-471-35154-7, New York, USA.
- [16] Johnson M. T, Yuan X and Ren Y, (2007). Speech Signal Enhancement through Adaptive Wavelet Thresholding, Speech Communications, Vol. 49, No. 2, pp: 123-133, ISSN: 0167-6393.
- [17] Képesia M & Weruaga L. (2006). Adaptive chirp-based time-frequency analysis of speech signals, Speech Communication, Vol. 48, No. 5, pp: 474-492. ISSN: 0167-6393
- [18] Li N & Zhou M. (2008). Audio Denoising Algorithm Based on Adaptive Wavelet Soft-Threshold of Gain Factor and Teager Energy Operator, Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering, Vol. 1, pp: 787-790. Print ISBN: 978-0-7695-3336-0.
- [19] Ioana Adam, Alexandru Isar, Jean-Marc Boucher. Complex Wavelet Transform: Application to denoising, Thesis, Politehnica University of Timisoara Universite DE RENNES 1, PhD thesis.
- [20] McLaughlin I (2009). Applied Speech and Audio Processing With MATLAB Examples, Cambridge University Press, ISBN-13 978-0-521-51954-0, UK.
- [21] Minkoff, J. (2002). Signal Processing Fundamentals and Applications for Communications and Sensing Systems, ARTECH HOUSE, INC., ISBN 1-58053-360-4, USA.
- [22] Roopali Goel, Ritesh Jain. Speech Signal Noise Reduction by Wavelets. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE), ISSN-2278-3075, Volume-2, Issue-4, March 2013.