


# Structural Performance of Reinforced Concrete Slab with Sugarcane Bagasse Ash and Plastic Flakes as Partial Replacement

Charity Aliyinka, Christopher Kanali, Erick Ronoh



**Abstract:** This research aims to reduce the weight of concrete structural members and promote the use of eco-friendly concrete. To achieve this, plastic flakes and sugarcane bagasse are used as additional materials in concrete production, which can partially replace fine aggregates and cement, respectively. This makes structural members lighter, reducing the overall load transmitted to the foundation and the construction cost. The study investigates the effect of plastic flakes and sugarcane bagasse ash on the performance of a reinforced concrete slab. It includes workability, compressive, flexural, and tensile strengths, as well as water absorption, of different mix proportions in the fresh state. Various percentages of sugarcane and plastic flake replacements for cement and fine aggregates are also investigated. The results show that the 5% SCBA and 5% plastic flake replacement ratio has better mechanical properties compared to the control concrete and other mix ratios. This ratio is used in casting the reinforced concrete slab, whose structural behavior is then investigated in terms of ultimate load, ultimate deflection, load-deflection relationship, and crack patterns. The study shows that incorporating sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic flakes as partial replacements improves the bearing capacity of the ultimate load. Still, the slab portrays higher deflection than the control slab. The crack patterns appear in the tension zone of the slab, and the slab fails in flexion.

**Keywords:** Structural Performance, Concrete, Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (Scba), Plastic Flakes, Ordinary Portland Cement.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The economic growth of most underdeveloped and developing countries has led to increased activity in the construction sector. The importance of providing housing as a basic need and constructing advanced commercial buildings is now being recognized in many countries. Cement and fine aggregates are extensively used in the construction industry for producing concrete, mortar, precast elements, and building blocks [1]

The importance of providing housing as a basic need and constructing advanced commercial buildings is now being recognized in many countries. Cement and fine aggregates are extensively used in the construction industry for producing concrete, mortar, precast elements, and building blocks [1].

The proper management of solid waste is a pressing issue in the modern world and is a significant contributor to environmental deterioration. Waste materials such as sugarcane bagasse and plastic require effective disposal methods. Studies have demonstrated that recycling them as construction materials is a viable solution to their disposal problem.

Cement is a commonly used construction material that acts as a binder in concrete, resulting in a sturdy material that can withstand heavy loads [4]. However, the production of cement requires a significant amount of energy, generates dust, and is responsible for 5% of global carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions caused by human activity [5]. Sand is also an essential construction material, with river sand being a popular choice because the flowing water removes the weak and dissolvable sand fragments, leaving only the stable ones [6]. Despite its popularity, sand mining in rivers has negative impacts that extend beyond the mining site, leading to a search for alternative construction materials to replace sand.

In reference [7], the physical properties of OPC and SCBA were compared and it was discovered that the distribution of SCBA particle sizes was times finer than that of OPC and that particles of sugar cane bagasse ash were more uniformly distributed. Sugarcane bagasse ash has a characteristic chemical composition that generally categorized it under class F pozzolan material based on ASTM C618 specification [8], [9]. In reference [2], and [7], sugarcane bagasse was used as a partial replacement for cement, and concrete mechanical and durability properties were determined. It was observed that bagasse ash is a pozzolanic material that improves concrete properties when used at a 10% replacement rate. A similar observation was observed in [10].

Reference [11] investigated the workability, unit weight, and compressive strength of concrete containing shredded Plastic waste as a partial replacement of 10 to 50%. It found that increasing PET content negatively affected the workability of the mixture, as evidenced by a slump value of 10 mm for the 50% PET concrete specimens. Reference [12] replaced 5 to 15% fine aggregates with plastic flakes, which improve concrete toughness and compressive strength with increased plastic content.

Manuscript received on 13 February 2024 | Revised Manuscript received on 20 February 2024 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 April 2024 | Manuscript published on 30 April 2024.

\*Correspondence Author(s)

**Charity Aliyinka\***, Department of Civil Engineering, Pan African University Institute of Science, Technology and Innovation, Nairobi, 00100, Kenya. Email: [aliyinzacharitysarah@gmail.com](mailto:aliyinzacharitysarah@gmail.com), ORCID ID: [0009-0002-3765-8343](https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3765-8343)

**Prof. Christopher Kanali**, Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Nairobi, 00100, Kenya. Email: [ckanali@jkuat.ac.ke](mailto:ckanali@jkuat.ac.ke), ORCID ID: [0000-0002-0596-7523](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0596-7523)

**Dr. Eng. Erick Ronoh**, Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Nairobi, 00100, Kenya. Email: [ronoh@jkuat.ac.ke](mailto:ronoh@jkuat.ac.ke), ORCID ID: [0000-0002-8759-4264](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8759-4264)

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

### A. General Objective

To assess the structural performance of reinforced concrete slab with sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic flakes as partial replacement of cement and sand [3].

#### a. Specific Objectives

- To characterize the constituent materials (i.e., sugarcane bagasse ash, plastic flakes, fine and coarse aggregates, reinforcement, water, and cement) for use in the production of a reinforced concrete slab.
- To determine the effect of sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic flakes on the physical, mechanical, and durability properties of concrete.
- To assess the structural performance of the reinforced concrete slab with sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic flakes as a partial replacement.

### B. Research Significance

The study aims to enhance the mechanical and durability properties of reinforced concrete slabs by incorporating sugarcane bagasse ash and waste plastic flakes to replace cement and natural sand partially. Incorporating SCBA and plastic flakes to construct a slab is an economical construction method due to the reduced quantity of conventional materials used. The added materials are lightweight compared to conventional ones, which minimises the self-weight of the structure as a whole, making this building easy and adaptable.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study utilized plastic bottles, aggregates, sugarcane bagasse ash, cement, water, river sand, and plastic flakes that passed through a 5 mm sieve. Type 1 cement conforming to [13] was used in the research. The size distribution of particles in sugarcane bagasse ash and cement was measured using a hydrometer analysis test. Their chemical composition was determined with a gravimetric method. To determine the mineral composition of sugarcane bagasse ash, X-ray diffraction (XRD) was employed, while its morphology was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM).

Trial tests are carried out on all batches using water-cement ratios of 0.4, 0.5, and 0.6. OPC and SCBA are mixed in various ratios of 0%, 10%, 15%, and 20% (as shown in Table 1). The prepared plastic flakes, at 0%, 10%, 15%, 20%, and 25% by weight, are blended with river sand (as shown in Table 2). The combination of plastic flakes and SCBA is added to concrete in different proportions of 5% SCBA and 5% plastic flakes, 5% SCBA and 10% plastic flakes, 10% SCBA and 10% plastic flakes, 10% SCBA and 5% plastic flakes, 10% SCBA and 15% plastic flakes, and 10% SCBA and 20% plastic flakes (as shown in Table 3).

The slab's deflection is measured with an LVDT. Strain gauges measure deformation in both the reinforcement and the concrete.

**Table 1: Shows the Mix Proportions of Scba Partially Replacing Cement**

Mix No.	SCBA (%)	cement (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	SCBA (%)	Water (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	FA (%)	CA (%)	W/C ratio
1	0	311.50	0.000	190	751.9	976.64	0.6
2	10	280.35	31.15	190	751.9	976.64	0.6
3	15	264.77	46.72	190	751.9	976.64	0.6
4	20	249.20	62.30	190	751.9	976.64	0.6

**Table 2: Shows the Mix Proportions of Pet Flakes Partially Replacing Fine Aggregates**

Mix No.	PET (%)	cement (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	PET (%)	Water (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	FA (%)	CA (%)	W/C ratio
1	0	311.500	0.000	190.000	751.967	976.64	0.60
2	5	311.500	37.598	190.000	714.368	976.64	0.60
3	10	311.500	75.197	190.000	676.770	976.64	0.60
4	15	311.500	112.795	190.000	639.172	976.64	0.60
5	20	311.500	150.393	202.475	601.573	976.64	0.60
6	25	311.500	187.992	202.475	563.975	976.64	0.60

**Table 3: Shows the Mix Proportions of Scba Replacing Cement and Pet Flakes Partially Replacing Fine Aggregates**

Mix No.	SCBA (%)	PET (%)	cement (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	SCBA (%)	PET (%)	Water (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	FA (%)	CA (%)	W/C ratio
1	0	0	311.50	0.00	0.00	190	751.96	976.64	0.6
2	5	5	295.92	15.57	37.59	190	714.36	976.64	0.6
3	5	10	295.92	15.57	75.19	190	676.77	976.64	0.6
4	10	10	280.35	31.15	75.19	190	676.77	976.64	0.6
5	10	5	280.35	31.15	37.59	190	714.36	976.64	0.6
6	10	15	280.35	31.15	112.79	190	639.17	976.64	0.6
7	10	20	280.35	31.15	150.39	190	601.57	976.64	0.6

## III. METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted according to the flow chart shown in Figure 3. Materials were collected, prepared, characterized, and used to prepare the test samples. The samples were tested as described in the following sections

### A. Concrete Batching, Mixing, Moulding and Curing

The concrete of class 25 is prepared in the laboratory. The batching procedure involves weighing all the individual material fractions according to the mix design calculations. These fractions include water, fine and coarse aggregates, OPC, plastic flakes, and sugarcane bagasse ash. A rotating drum mixer is used to mix the dry materials for 5 minutes, followed by an additional 2 minutes of mixing. The calculated mixing water is then added to the dry mixture, and the paste is filled in cleaned and oiled moulds of cubes, cylinders, and slabs in three (3) layers using a poker vibrator until the cement slurry appears on top of the moulds. The specimens are then left in the mould covered with a wet sackcloth for 24 hours. Open-air curing is done for 24 hours, after which the specimens are demoulded and placed in curing tanks containing clean water. For the slab, a wet, thick blanket is placed on top of the specimen, and this is done three times a day for 28 days.

### B. Workability

The slump cone and compaction factor test Figure 1a) is conducted on each fresh mix batch to assess the behaviour of the paste with various material replacements on the concrete's workability and consistency [14].

### C. Compressive Strength

A universal compression testing machine with a load capacity of 150 metric tons is used to test 100mm cube samples of concrete.



After a specified period, the concrete samples are removed from the curing tank, wiped dry using a soft cloth, and air-dried for an hour. Subsequently, each sample is placed centrally between the battens of the compression testing machine. The top batten is brought into contact with the top surface of the sample, and load is applied at a constant rate until the cube is crushed. The maximum load attained is then recorded. Tests are carried out at 7 and 28 days, and three cubes are tested to obtain an average value for each record. The tests are carried out as described in [15].

#### D. Split Tensile Strength

A split tensile strength test is carried out at 28 days to [16]. 3 cylinders of 100-mm-dia. A 200-mm-long sample is tested for each mix, and the average value is recorded.

#### E. Flexural Strength

Flexural strength test is carried out at 28 days according to [17]. 3 prisms are tested for each mix and the average value is recorded.

#### F. Water Absorption

A water absorption test is carried out at 28 days according to [18]. 3 cubes are tested for each mix and the average value is recorded.

#### G. Design and Structural Analysis of Reinforced Slab

Two types of one-way slabs are tested by reference [19]. The first type is a control slab, while the second type contains 5% spent coffee bean ash (SCBA) and 5% plastic flakes. Each type is tested twice. The slab dimensions are 1500 x 700 x 150 mm, with main bars spaced at 150 mm intervals and transverse bars spaced at 250 mm intervals. A four-point load is applied to the slab using a hydraulic jack with a 2500KN capacity. The maximum deflection of the slab is measured using an LVDT. Strain gauges are used to measure the ultimate compressive, tensile, shear, and flexural strains exerted on the specimens. All slabs are loaded to their ultimate capacity.



Part A

Part B

Figure 1: a) Workability Determination and b) Casted Concrete Cubes and Cylinders



Part A

Part B

Figure 2: Part A, the Casting of a one-way slab, and Part B, the Experimental Setup of Loading the Reinforced Slab




Figure 3. Flow Chart Showing the Methodology of the Study





#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

##### A. Constituent Material Properties

###### a. Particle Size Distribution

The particle size distribution of SCBA is found to be finer than that of cement, which is the same observation as [20] as shown in Figure 4A. The particle size of the fine aggregates (sand and plastic flakes) used in the study ranges from 0.15 mm to 5 mm.



**Figure 4: Particle Distribution of Sugarcane Bagasse and Cement, Part A and Plastic Flakes, Fine and Coarse Aggregates**

The maximum size of the coarse aggregates was 20mm. The particle size distribution of aggregates and their grading limits, Figure 4B, are determined using the sieve analysis method according to [21].


###### b. Chemical Composition, XRD, and SEM Analysis

The primary oxide found in SCBA was Silica ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ), which accounted for 73.949% of the total, as shown in Table 4. Sugarcane bagasse ash was analysed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) to characterise its mineral composition, as shown in Figure 5. The results indicate the presence of large amounts of quartz and cristobalite in SCBA, which was equally identified by [7]. In addition, the sample was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to reveal its morphology (Figure 6). These observations are consistent with those reported in [22].


**Table 4: Percentage of Chemical Composition for SCBA and OPC CEM I 42.5N**

Element name	Reference		
	Specifications	SCBA (%)	Cement (%)
MgO	Not more than 3.0	3.159	0.84
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Not more than 8.0	10.864	5.098
SiO <sub>2</sub>	-	73.949	20.84
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	3.636	3.24
K <sub>2</sub> O	-	3.504	0.49
CaO	-	3.391	63.23
LOI	Not more than 5.0	4.9	3.1

Legend: LOI – Loss of Ignition



**Figure 5: XRD (X-ray Diffraction) Analysis of Sugarcane Bagasse Ash**




**Figure 6: SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope) Analysis of Sugarcane Bagasse Ash**

###### c. Workability

Previous studies have pointed out that the water demand increases with increasing SCBA replacement ratio in concrete, which decreases workability as reported by [4] and [23]. However, some studies have observed an improvement in workability, which is attributed to the low value of LOI of SCBA as reported in [24] which indicated that SCBA is low in carbon content.

Figure 7A shows the slump value for all concrete mixes at different SCBA contents. It is observed that the slump decreases with an increase in SCBA content. This can be attributed to the flaky shape, rough texture, and porosity of SCBA grains, as observed in SEM images. The workability of concrete with plastic flakes as a partial replacement for fine aggregates is observed to decrease as the replacement ratio increases, as shown in Figure 7B. The same conclusion is observed in the previous studies [25].


[26] and [27]. The workability of concrete with SCBA and plastic flakes, as shown in Figure 7C for all mixes, was lower than that of the control mix. The mix with 10% SCBA and 20% plastic flakes replacement portrayed the lowest slump value with a percentage reduction of 30.76%. The subsequent percentage reductions recorded are 2.56%, 12.82%, 20.51%, 15.38%, 23.08%, and 30.77% for the mix proportions of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, respectively.



**Figure 7: Effect of Part A, SCBA Replacement of Cement; Part B, Plastic Flakes Replacement of Fine Aggregates; Part C, SCBA and Plastic Flakes Replacement of Cement and Fine Aggregates on the Workability of Concrete Slump Test Results, Compressive Strength**

Compressive strength for all mixes of concrete increased with curing time, which was equally observed by [2], [25], [26], [28], and [29]. Figure 8A presents a comparison of compressive strength results for all mixes, categorised by different mix proportions of SCBA and curing at 7 and 28 days. The 28-day compressive strength values were greater than those at 7 days for all percentages of cement replacement with SCBA. The compressive strength of concrete at 7 and 28 days of curing time increased for a 10% replacement and then decreased with increasing percentages from 15% replacement of cement with SCBA. Similar behaviour was observed in various studies [30], [31]. The compressive strength of the SCBA concrete blends increased by 16.90% with a 10% replacement of cement with SCBA, and decreased by 8.04% and 14.85% for 15% SCBA and 20% SCBA, respectively, at

28 days compared with the control mix.




**Figure 8: Effect of Part A, SCBA Replacement of Cement; Part B, Plastic Flakes Replacement of Fine Aggregates; Part C, SCBA and Plastic Flakes Replacement of Cement and Fine Aggregates on the Compressive Strength of Concrete**

The compressive strength of concrete is tested at both 7 days and 28 days for various plastic flake additions, including 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, and 25% of the cement weight, compared to the control mix (without plastic flakes), as shown in Figure 8B. At 7 days, a reduction in compressive strength is recorded for all percentage replacements, except for the 25% replacement, which exhibited similar compressive strength to that of the control mix. A study carried out by [32], observed an increase in compressive strength with increasing plastic flake ratio from 10 to 30% beyond which the strength started decreasing.

However, there are studies where the strength reduces with increasing ratio of plastic flakes [11], [12], [25], [33]. This could be due to the improper blending of plastic and/or a decrease in adhesive strength between the plastic surface and the cement paste. In Figure 8C, the batch mix with 5% SCBA and 5% plastic flakes exhibits a higher compressive strength than the control mix. However, for other mix batches with varying percentages of SCBA and plastic flakes as partial replacements, the compressive strength is less than that of the control mix at both 7 and 28 days. Mix batch 3(5% SCBA + 10% PET) and 5(10% SCBA and 5% PET) obtained the target strength of concrete. So, these mix proportions can equally be used in the mix design of concrete *Split Tensile Strength*

The trend of tensile strength development among all mixes follows an almost similar trend to that of compressive strength at all ages. The split tensile strength of the specimens with 10% and 15% replacement of cement with SCBA increased by 21.35% and 13.11%, respectively, compared to the control mix. The split tensile strength began to decrease at a rate of 6.74% for a 20% replacement of SCBA compared to conventional concrete at 28 days, as shown in Figure 12. The reductions in the Splitting Tensile Strength could be related to the decrease in compressive strength, and the SCBA could reduce the bonding properties of the constituent materials in the concrete as compared to the cement. Similar studies were carried out and observed identical findings [2] and [30].



**Figure 9: Effect of Part A, SCBA Replacement of Cement; Part B, Plastic Flakes Replacement of Fine Aggregates; Part C, SCBA and Plastic Flakes Replacement of Cement and Fine Aggregates on the Tensile Strength of Concrete**

There was a decrease in the split tensile strength of the concrete compared to the control mix at all PET percentage blends, unlike the compressive strength, which increased with the increase in plastic ratio. The percentage reductions of 1.12%, 4.12%, 8.99%, 10.11%, and 13.48%


were recorded for 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, and 25% replacement of fine aggregates with PET flakes, compared to the conventional concrete at 28 days, as shown in Figure 13. The reduction in split tensile strength with an increasing percentage of PET flakes replacing fine aggregates could be attributed to the dimensions and shape of the plastics. This reduction could have also been due to the difference in stiffness and shape of the fine aggregates. Similar findings were reported by [12], [25] and [11]. Figure 14 illustrates the behaviour of concrete exposed to split tensile stress for the various batches with varying amounts of SCBA and plastic flakes. It was observed that only batch 2 (5% SCBA and 5% PET) had a higher split tensile strength of 1.24% compared to the control mix. All other mixed batches had lower tensile strength than the control mix. This behaviour is similar to that of the compressive strength. This can be due to the poor bonding of the plastic flakes and fine aggregates with the binding materials. Additionally, as the quantity of SCBA increases, more hydrating water would be required to ensure an effective reaction of the silica it contains with the CaO in cement.

#### d. Flexural Strength

The flexural results shown in Figure 10 followed a similar trend to that of the compressive strength. The mix in Figure 10A with 10% SCBA had the highest flexural strength, which was 5.28% greater than the control mix. Mixes with 15% and 20% had 6.28% and 12.31% lower strength, respectively, than the control mix. A similar observation was made in [4]. Figure 10B shows the results of the flexural strength of plastic flakes. The flexural strength increased with increasing percentage of plastic flakes in concrete. The strength increased by 0.25%, 1.26%, 5.28%, 6.78%, and 8.79% for 5%, 10%, 15%, 20% and 25% replacement, respectively.




In reference [4], the study portrayed a similar observation where the percentage increase of plastic granules increased the flexural strength of concrete. For mixes with both SCBA and plastic flakes (Figure 10C, batch 2, 5% SCBA and 5% PET), the flexural strength was higher than that of the control mix. The other batches, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, had lower compressive strengths than the control mix. This could have been due to the low bond strength between the cement and sugarcane bagasse matrix.



**Figure 10: Effect of Part A, SCBA Replacement of Cement; Part B, Plastic Flakes Replacement of Fine Aggregates; Part C, SCBA and Plastic Flakes Replacement of Cement and Fine Aggregates on the Flexural Strength of Concrete**

In Figures 11A and 11 B, the percentage of water absorption increases with an increase in the percentage of replacements. In Figure 18, the percentage increase in water absorption is 44.64%, 36.42%, and 32.58% at 10%, 15%, and 20% SCBA substitution for cement, respectively. The reason for this increase in water absorption could be that the SCBA is finer than OPC, and the poor compaction of the mix implies that it would therefore absorb more water compared to concrete with only OPC. This increase in water absorption with SCBA is in agreement with reference [7] research findings.

The incorporation of plastic flakes in the concrete mix increased the water absorption of the mixes. Mixes with water contents of 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, and 25% had percentage increases in water absorption of 8.04%, 21.43%, 25.89%, 35.71%, and 43.75%, respectively, compared to the control mix. The increase in water absorption could be a result of poor compaction, leading to poor bonding, which in turn increases the number of pores in the concrete specimen, causing it to absorb more water. For mixes with SCBA and plastic flakes (Figure 11C), the water absorption percentages for batches 2, 3, and 5 are lower than those of the control mix. Batches 4, 6, and 7 had a slightly higher water absorption rate compared to the control mix.




**Figure 11: Effect of Part A, SCBA Replacement of Cement; Part B, Plastic Flakes Replacement of Fine Aggregates; Part C, SCBA and Plastic Flakes Replacement of Cement and Fine Aggregates on the Water Absorption of Concrete**

#### A. Experimental Structural Analysis of Reinforced Slab

The structural behaviour of a material is determined by several factors, including the ultimate load, deflection of the specimen, cracking patterns, and the stress-strain relationships that occur.

a) *Ultimate Load-Deflection Behavior*


The ultimate load exerted on the specimens is determined by using a load cell connected to a data logger. The LVDT is placed at the bottom centre of the specimens to measure deflection. During the initial stages, the specimens exhibit linear behavior in the ultimate load-deflection curves (as shown in Figure 12). However, at the first crack, the slope changes. After the first crack, the deflection of the SCBA-plastic flake slab increases less progressively than that of the control slab with increasing load. This suggests that the SCBA-plastic slab has better flexural rigidity compared to the control slab. Therefore, this design method is recommended for structural members designed to withstand flexural performance.



**Figure 12: Ultimate Load-Deflection Behavior of the Control Slab and SCBA-Plastic Flake Slab**

b) *Crack patterns*

To assess the behavior of the crack patterns of the specimens, crack formation is monitored during the testing process. The first cracks appear at ultimate loads of 35.6988 kN and 55.2325 kN for the control slab and SCBA-plastic flake slab, respectively. Generally, the specimens exhibit a flexural failure mode. The SCBA-plastic flake slab exhibits a narrower crack width compared to the control slab, as shown in Figure 13, for a similar ultimate load.



**Figure 13: Crack Patterns for SCBA-Plastic Flake Slab, Part A, and for Control Slab, Part B**

## V. CONCLUSION

This study aims to investigate the feasibility of utilising sustainable and eco-friendly materials in the production of reinforced concrete slabs. The study involves using 5% SCBA and 5% plastic flakes to partially replace cement and fine aggregates, respectively. The impact of this substitution on various aspects, such as workability, compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength, water absorption, and structural behaviour of the SCBA-plastic flake slab is more pronounced compared to the control specimens. The results demonstrate that the workability of concrete decreases with an increase in SCBA and plastic flake content. At 28 days of

age, compressive strength, split tensile strength, and flexural strength follow a similar trend. The addition of 5% SCBA and 5% PET flakes, 5% SCBA and 10% PET flakes, and 10% SCBA and 5% PET flakes has a low rate of water absorption. This gives the potential to enhance the durability of concrete. Therefore, by using SCBA as a replacement for cement and plastic flakes as a partial replacement for fine aggregates in a reasonable proportion, these materials can enhance the structural performance of reinforced concrete slabs and, consequently, other concrete structural members.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

The researchers suggest conducting a numerical analysis of concrete structural members that use sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic flakes as partial replacements. It is also recommended to perform a finite element analysis to evaluate the bonding behaviour of the constituent materials used in the concrete, with sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic flakes as partial replacements. Furthermore, further studies should be conducted on the mechanical properties of concrete with sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic flakes as partial replacements at curing ages exceeding 28 days, as the development of concrete strength is slightly slower in the early stages of curing. Additionally, it is necessary to conduct a finite element analysis to assess the bonding behaviour of the constituent materials used in concrete, with sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic flakes serving as partial replacements.

## DECLARATION STATEMENT

Funding	No, I did not receive.
Conflicts of Interest	No conflicts of interest to the best of our knowledge.
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval or consent to participate, as it presents evidence.
Availability of Data and Material/ Data Access Statement	Not relevant.
Authors Contributions	<b>Charity Aliyinsa:</b> Conceptualisation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Original Draft – Review & Editing, Visualisation. <b>Christopher Kanali:</b> Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing –Original Draft –Review & Editing, Supervision. <b>Ronoh Eric:</b> Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing–Original Draft –Review & Editing, Supervision.

## REFERENCES

1. R. Dongapure and S. M. Shivaraj, "Study on strength of concrete using lateritic sand and quarry dust as fine aggregates," *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*, pp. 126–130, 2014.
2. N. Shafiq, A. A. E. Hussein, M. F. Nuruddin, and H. Al Mattarneh, "Effects of sugarcane bagasse ash on the properties of concrete," *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Engineering Sustainability*, vol. 171, no. 3, pp. 123–132, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.1680/jensu.15.00014.





- <https://doi.org/10.1680/jensu.15.00014>
3. G. M. Tokpomehoun, W. O. Oyawa, T. J. Ng'ang'a, and V. A. Okumu, "Structural performance of plastic block masonry unit," *Heliyon*, p. e11112, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11112.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11112>
4. T. A. Abdalla, D. O. Koteng, S. M. Shitote, and M. Matallah, "Mechanical Properties of Eco-friendly Concrete Made with Sugarcane Bagasse Ash," *Civil Engineering Journal (Iran)*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1227–1239, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.28991/CEJ-2022-08-06-010. <https://doi.org/10.28991/CEJ-2022-08-06-010>
5. E. Fairbairn, B. Americano, and G. et al. Cordeiro, "Cement replacement by sugarcane bagasse ash: CO2 emissions reduction and potential for carbon credits," *J Environ Manage*, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.04.008>
6. S. Boudaghpour, S. Arman, and H. Monfared, "Environmental Effects of Irregular Extracting of Gravel from River Beds," 2008.
7. K.; R. K. & T. K. Ganesan, "Evaluation of bagasse ash as a supplementary cementitious material," *ScienceDirect*, pp. 515–524, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2007.03.001>
8. P. O.; Vyawahare, M. Mondani, "Utilization of bagasse ash as a partial replacement of fine aggregates in concrete," *procedia Eng.*, pp. 25–29, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.01.007>
9. T.; V. R.; B. A. Murugesan, Sugarcane bagasse ash-blended concrete for effective resource utilisation between the sugar and construction industries. 2020.
10. R. Kowsik and S. Jayanthi, "Durability Study of Bagasse Ash and Silicafume Based Hollow Concrete Block For Lean Mix," 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ripublication.com>
11. I. Almeshal, B. A. Tayeh, R. Alyousef, H. Alabduljabbar, and A. M. Mohamed, "Eco-friendly concrete containing recycled plastic as partial replacement for sand," *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 4631–4643, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.02.090. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.02.090>
12. N. Saikia and J. De Brito, "Waste polyethene terephthalate as an aggregate in concrete," *Materials Research*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 341–350, Mar. 2013, doi: 10.1590/S1516-14392013005000017. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-14392013005000017>
13. T. British Standards Institution, BSI Standards Publication: Testing Fresh Concrete. 2019.
14. A. International and files indexed by Mero, "Standard Test Method for Slump of Hydraulic-Cement Concrete 1."
15. British Standards Institution. Testing hardened concrete. Part 3, Compressive strength of test specimens.
16. B. Standard, Testing hardened concrete Part 6: Tensile splitting strength of test specimens. 2010.
17. British Standards Institution. Testing hardened concrete. Part 5, Flexural strength of test specimens.
18. "Standard Test Method for Density, Absorption, and Voids in Hardened Concrete 1." [Online]. Available: [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)
19. "Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-14) Commentary on Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318R-14) An ACI Standard and Report from IHS," 2014.
20. A. A. Tareg, O. K. David, M. S. Stanley, and M. Mohammed, "Mechanical and durability properties of concrete incorporating silica fume and a high volume of sugarcane bagasse ash," 2022.
21. British Standards Institution. Testing aggregates. Part 103. Methods for the determination of particle size distribution. Section 103.1. Sieve tests. BSI, 1985.
22. S. Rukzon and P. Chindaprasirt, "Utilization of bagasse ash in high strength concrete," *Mater Des*, pp. 34,45-50, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2011.07.045>
23. S. A. Memon, U. Javed, M. I. Shah, and A. Hanif, "Use of Processed Sugarcane Bagasse Ash in Concrete as Partial Replacement of Cement: Mechanical and Durability Properties," *Buildings*, vol. 12, no. 10, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/buildings12101769. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12101769>
24. N. Shafiq, A. A. E. Hussein, M. F. Nuruddin, and H. Al Mattarneh, "Effects of sugarcane bagasse ash on the properties of concrete," *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Engineering Sustainability*, vol. 171, no. 3, pp. 123–132, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.1680/jensu.15.00014. <https://doi.org/10.1680/jensu.15.00014>
25. G. O. Bamigboye, K. Tarverdi, A. Umoren, D. E. Bassey, U. Okorie, and J. Adediran, "Evaluation of eco-friendly concrete having waste PET as fine aggregates," *Cleaner Materials*, vol. 2, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.clema.2021.100026. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clema.2021.100026>
26. A. O. Dawood, H. AL-Khazraji, and R. S. Falih, "Physical and mechanical properties of concrete containing PET wastes as a partial replacement for fine aggregates," *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, vol. 14, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.cscm.2020.e00482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2020.e00482>
27. B. Rai, S. T. Rushad, B. Kr, and S. K. Duggal, "Study of Waste Plastic Mix Concrete with Plasticizer," *ISRN Civil Engineering*, vol. 2012, pp. 1–5, May 2012, doi: 10.5402/2012/469272. <https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/469272>
28. S. A. Mangi et al., "Utilization of sugarcane bagasse ash in concrete as partial replacement of cement," in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, Institute of Physics Publishing, Dec. 2017. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/271/1/012001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/271/1/012001>
29. M. N. Amin, A. Ahmad, K. Shahzada, K. Khan, F. E. Jalal, and M. G. Qadir, "Mechanical and microstructural performance of concrete containing high-volume of bagasse ash and silica fume," *Sci Rep*, vol. 12, no. 1, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-08749-1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-08749-1>
30. W. E. Farrant, A. J. Babafemi, J. T. Kolawole, and B. Panda, "Influence of Sugarcane Bagasse Ash and Silica Fume on the Mechanical and Durability Properties of Concrete," *Materials*, vol. 15, no. 9, May 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15093018. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15093018>
31. L. Landa-Ruiz et al., "Physical, mechanical and durability properties of eco-friendly ternary concrete made with sugar cane bagasse ash and silica fume," *Crystals (Basel)*, vol. 11, no. 9, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.3390/cryst11091012. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst11091012>
32. Z. Sz, F. Kang, J. Li, and C. Ma, "Structural health monitoring and inspection of dams based on UAV photogrammetry with image 3D reconstruction," *Autom Constr*, vol. 130, p. 103832, May 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103832>
33. A. J. Babafemi, B. Šavija, S. C. Paul, and V. Anggraini, "Engineering properties of concrete with waste recycled plastic: A review," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 10, no. 11, MDPI, Oct. 25, 2018. Doi: 10.3390/su10113875. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10113875>

## AUTHORS PROFILE



**Aliyinsa Charity**, MSc student in civil engineering, structural option at the Pan African University Institute of Science, Technology and Innovation. Currently working on a study of how to effectively use sugarcane bagasse ash and plastic waste as construction materials, to encourage eco-friendly construction approaches as well as limit the amount of waste in the environment. The first master's in roads and engineering structures was done at the University of Tlemcen in Algeria. The research was about the dynamic analysis of a railway bridge—the BSc. Civil engineering was equally done at the University of Tlemcen, Algeria.



**Prof. Christopher Kanali** is currently a lecturer in the Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT). His area of specialization is Agricultural Engineering. His areas of research interest include agricultural mechanisation, postharvest handling, the use of renewable energy for agrarian produce processing, preservation, and value addition, as well as simulation and modelling of farming systems. Goal: To enhance livelihoods through research, innovation, capacity building, and community social responsibility. Prof. Kanali was the chair of an ad-hoc committee that was in charge of the preparation and successful bidding for funds from the African Development Bank through the then Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology for the training of the above staff (2014-present) in Engineering



**Dr. Eng. Erick Ronoh** is a lecturer at Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, specializing in Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering. He holds an MSc. in Agricultural Processing Engineering and a BSc in Agricultural Engineering, both from JKUAT. Dr.

Erick's research focuses on the techno-economic analysis of a solar-biomass hybrid dryer for drying orange-fleshed sweet potatoes, aiming to enhance food and nutrition security in the Climap Africa program. He completed his Ph.D. in Horticultural Sciences in June 2016 at the Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz Universität Hannover, Germany, under a joint scholarship awarded by the National Commission for Science, Technology, and Innovation, Kenya, and the German Academic Exchange Service, Germany.

---

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.