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#### Abstract

The focus of this paper is to develop a system which works on the real time data acquired by accelerometer ADXL345 as well as that from a smartphone mounted on the dashboard of the vehicle and calculates the amount of fuel consumed which is conveyed to the user. The algorithm is validated on 4 trips conducted around the Rizvi College campus and the results are found to be accurate. The algorithm works in real-time and can be implemented on any web interface to alert the driver via mapbased navigation tools or to connect the driver to nearby local fuel supply stations. Similar activity will be carried out on vehicle batteries to estimate remaining useful battery life.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Accurate estimation of fuel is an important aspect in today's passenger vehicles as customers look for this aspect when considering buying a vehicle. The focus of this paper is to provide a smartphone-based algorithm which will capture the instantaneous changes that are happening while driving the vehicle and map those to the fuel consumed. The algorithm is tested on 4 trips around the college engineering campus and have been found to give consistent results. The algorithm is a simple equation that uses the kFE (fuel estimation constant) for a vehicle and outputs the amount of fuel used during travel. The accurate estimation can be further used in generation of big data where vehicles are connected to each other based on remaining fuel and hence, thereby lead to quick fuel supply as well relocation of gas stations on routes that are frequently used for commuting by users. The focus of this work is to validate the algorithm on daily commute trips by passengers and is shown for 3 trips around the Rizvi Campus.

[^0]Section 1 gives the introduction, Section 2 discusses about the details of the algorithm, Section 3 discusses the trails that are conducted around the campus, Section 4 presents the results of the algorithm and Section 5 concludes the paper with further scope for future work. The first paragraphs that follows a table, figure, equation etc. does not have an indent, either.

## II. DETAILS OF THE ALGORITHM

The conventional fuel measurement instrument needs some type of interference or contact with the fuel tank either internal or external and the accuracy of such fuel measurement is limited to various factors of the instrument such as its reliability, efficiency, repeatability, and range.[1] In this section we propose an algorithm to estimate the amount of fuel being consumed based on real-time acceleration data gathered in the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z directions either by a 3 -axis accelerometer ADXL345 or a smartphone which can measure acceleration. Accelerometer data was collected from a used single owner Hyundai EON vehicle.
Data was collected for one trip to and fro on the same route and then analyzed to find a fuel estimation constant ' $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ ' which is vehicle model specific, and which varies with the vehicle inertia, vibrations, terrain and pattern of driving and usage. It will also depend on driver response like braking, pushing the pedal, abrupt turning, etc. Also, validation of the algorithm was done by setting a value of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ in a realistic scenario and indicating the time to refill to the driver. [2].
Sensor selection: Primarily the fuel consumed is correlated with the velocity and acceleration of a vehicle. The data used in acceleration in a one axis which is the driving direction. Accelerometer is also sensitive to vibrations and road conditions and will also depend on driver response like braking, pushing the pedal, abrupt turning, etc. Hence, this sensor works ideally for estimation of fuel.

## A. Why instantaneous?

The vehicle runs on the same path for both the routes A and B. [2] However, still, instead of the average velocity, we consider the instantaneous sensor values for fuel consumed. This is because, the instantaneous values of acceleration and velocity are predominantly required for accurate fuel consumption while averaging effect will eliminate the driving behavior and result in loss of relevant information. The average velocity for the trip $B$ is $3.89 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ which gives a time of $1900 / 3.89=488 \mathrm{sec}$ while for trip A is $4.11 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ giving a period of $1900 / 4.11=462 \mathrm{sec}$, while in actual the time taken to complete the trip is about 200 seconds.
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This clearly shows that the overall average is not reflecting the localized driving pattern and hence, instantaneous sensor readings are required to be considered in the estimation of fuel. The following steps are used in the algorithm:
a) Set the initial volume of fuel
b) Set the final threshold of fuel which needs to be indicated by the system for refill
c) Set sampling time using delay () function
d) Fuel consumed $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t}+1)=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{FE}} * \mathrm{abs}(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{t}))$ where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{FE}}=$ constant (set to 0.00233 for Hyundai EON) depending on vehicle specifications like power, curb weight and engine displacement [3] and $A(t)$ is the pertinent acceleration time series in the driving direction.
e) Provide suitable indications to the driver for refill.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Acceleration data for all the 4 trips taken for analysis is with orientation in the X -direction which is the forward direction of travel of the vehicle.
For the 4 trips around college campus, average fuel consumed can be calculated from mileage of vehicle.
Trip distance $=450 \mathrm{~m}$
Average time taken per trip $=75$ seconds (from data recorded by app during trials)

Average speed $=(450 / 75) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s} \times 18 / 5=21.6 \mathrm{kmph}=13.5$ mph
The multiplication factor is calculated from Table 2 in [2]
Factor $=13.5-10 /(15-10)=0.7$
From Table 1, the value is $23.1+0.7^{*}(38.9-23.1)=34.16$ $\mathrm{mpg}=34.16 \times 1.6 / 3.6=15.18 \mathrm{kmpl}$ at conditions tested as per Table 1 in [3].
For current road conditions, factor is divided by 4 as seen from Figure 1 [2] which gives 3.8 kmpl . In Figure 1, the blue and red curve are the real-time fuel consumption curves which indicate the fuel consumed during the trips A and B . The road conditions are very different than those when the mileage in Table 1 was generated which clearly is indicated by the green curve in Figure 1. The ration of the tip point of the green curve to the red or blue curve indicates the ratio of the effect of road conditions used for testing. This ratio is approximately $=0.25$. Hence the mileage for current conditions is divided by 4 which gives 3.8 kmpl .
The fuel consumed on average is then given by $0.45 / 3.8=$ 0.1184 liters (by algorithm)

Fuel remaining after each trip (on average) $=30-0.1184=$ 29.88 liters (theoretical). This is close to our calculation of the 3 trips which comes out to be $\mathbf{2 9 . 8 1}$ liters (actual)

Table 1. Mileage of various class of vehicles with reference to average speed $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{t})$

Steady Speed Fuel Economy for Vehicles Tested in the 1997 Study
Closest to

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & (\mathrm{mph}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Steady Speed Fuel Economy for Vehicles Tested in the 1997 Study (miles per gallon) |  |  |  |  |  | Closest to Hyundai EON |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ \text { Chevrolet } \\ \text { Corsica } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1993 \\ & \text { Subaru } \\ & \text { Legacy } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { Oldsmobile } \\ \text { Olds } 88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { Oldsmobile } \\ \text { Cutlass } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { Chevrolet } \\ \text { Pickup } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1994 \text { Jeep } \\ & \text { Grand } \\ & \text { Cherokece } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { Mercury } \\ \text { Villager } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1995 \\ & \text { Gieo } \\ & \text { Prizm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1997 \\ \text { Toyota } \\ \text { Celica } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 10.5 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 12.3 | 18.1 | 19.1 |
| 10 | 16.8 | 24.7 | 14.9 | 7.9 | 16.0 | 11.2 | 19.0 | 23.1 | 34.1 |
| 15 | 17.7 | 31.9 | 22.2 | 11.4 | 16.3 | 17.5 | 22.4 | 38.9 | 41.7 |
| 20 | 21.7 | 34.4 | 26.3 | 12.5 | 19.9 | 24.7 | 25.8 | 39.4 | 46.0 |
| 25 | 23.9 | 37.4 | 28.3 | 15.6 | 22.7 | 21.8 | 30.8 | 41.7 | 52.6 |
| 30 | 28.7 | 39.7 | 29.0 | 19.0 | 26.3 | 21.6 | 30.3 | 40.0 | 50.8 |
| 35 | 28.6 | 38.0 | 30.9 | 21.2 | 24.3 | 25.0 | 26.1 | 39.1 | 47.6 |
| 40 | 29.2 | 37.0 | 33.2 | 23.0 | 26.7 | 25.5 | 29.0 | 38.9 | 36.2 |
| 45 | 28.8 | 33.7 | 32.4 | 23.0 | 27.3 | 25.4 | 27.8 | 42.3 | 44.1 |
| 50 | 31.2 | 33.7 | 34.2 | 27.3 | 26.3 | 24.8 | 30.1 | 39.1 | 44.8 |
| 55 | 29.1 | 37.7 | 34.6 | 29.1 | 25.1 | 24.0 | 31.7 | 37.7 | 42.5 |
| 60 | 28.2 | 35.9 | 32.5 | 28.2 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 27.3 | 36.7 | 48.4 |
| 65 | 28.7 | 33.4 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 21.8 | 21.3 | 25.3 | 34.1 | 43.5 |
| 70 | 26.1 | 31.0 | 26.7 | 22.9 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 23.9 | 31.7 | 39.2 |
| 75 | 23.7 | 28.8 | 24.0 | 21.6 | 18.1 | 19.1 | 22.4 | 28.3 | 36.8 |
| Fuel economy loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55-65 mph | 1.4\% | 11.4\% | 13.3\% | 14.1\% | 13.1\% | 11.3\% | 20.2\% | 9.5\% | -2.4\% |
| $65-75 \mathrm{mph}$ | 17.4\% | 13.8\% | 20.0\% | 13.6\% | 17.0\% | 10.3\% | $11.5 \%$ | 17.0\% | 15.4\% |
| 55-75 mph | 18.6\% | 23.6\% | 30.6\% | 25.8\% | 27.9\% | 20.4\% | 29.3\% | 24.9\% | 13.4\% |




Fig 1.: Estimated fuel remaining v/s time
as seen in [2]. The time between samples is kept at 50

## IV. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The algorithm as seen is simple in nature and calculates the amount of fuel consumed based on real-time acceleration data. The fuel consumed is calculated based on the mileage of the vehicle which is derived from the Table 1
milliseconds and validation is done for 3 trips around the Rizvi College of Engineering campus. The output of the algorithm is seen in Figure given below

## Chart Title



Fig 2. : Output of algorithm for 3 trips around RCOE
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The algorithm shows the real-time behavior of the fuel consumed based on the acceleration data and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ calculated for the vehicle as shown earlier.

The three trips show the fuel remaining to be at 29.74, 29.82 and 29.86 liters respectively. The average fuel remaining for the three trips is at 29.81 liters. This is compared with the real data of the fuel remaining which is at 29.88 liters which is very close to the fuel consumed as indicated by the algorithm. The following aspects of the work can be carried out in the future.

1. The fuel consumed during the trips is a function of the instantaneous acceleration and hence, will reflect the jerks or changes in events that occur during the trip.
2. The value of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{FE}}$ and changes that occur in the same are indicative of the changes in road terrain and number of changes in acceleration for a constant amount of fuel consumed during a finite time interval.
In this paper, absolute value of instantaneous acceleration is considered for calculation purposes but, negative acceleration cases must be investigated in detail to check their effects on fuel consumption.
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