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Abstract: The data produced nowadays is the Big data which is unstructured, semi-structured or structured in nature. It is difficult for SQL to handle such large amount of data with varied forms so NoSQL was introduced which gives many advantages over SQL. It is a schema less database which allows horizontal scaling, scalability and distributed framework. SQL is based on ACID properties (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability) whereas NoSQL is based on BASE (Basic Availability, Soft state, Eventual consistency). This paper introduces the concepts of NoSQL, advantages of NoSQL over SQL, different types of NoSQL databases with special reference to the document store database, MongoDB. It explains in detail about the MongoDB and then experimentally evaluates the performance of the queries executed in SQL and NoSQL (MongoDB). The experiment conducted shows that NoSQL queries are executed faster as compared to SQL queries and can also handle huge amount of unstructured data very effortlessly and easily.
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I. INTRODUCTION

SQL was used to access the structured data stored in the relational databases. With increase in the usage of the social media, data relating to this increased abundantly. This led to the storage of data in the form of documents, images, videos, pictures, audio, email, etc. This was a huge unstructured data which had requirement of large storage space. This huge data later on was termed as Big data and was characterized by three V’s namely volume, velocity and variety [1, 2]. It was difficult for relational databases to handle the increase in demand of applications that require large storage for big data. In 1998, NoSQL term was first used to show databases not using SQL. This term again gained popularity in 2009 because of the demand of applications used in Web 2.0 domain [3]. The social network sites and the seller websites generate trillions of data which is huge enough for relational databases to process. The databases which handles a large amount of complex data suffers from problems like deadlocks. NoSQL also known as Not Only SQL are non-relational model [4]. In NoSQL there is no schema of the data being stored, which acts as an advantage over SQL [5]. Other reasons for preference of NoSQL over SQL are that it gives the advantages like horizontal scaling, design simplicity and availability, concurrency, fault tolerance and scalability. NoSQL can handle large amount of data known as big data which is unstructured, semi structured or structured in nature [6,7]. In this paper Section I gives the introduction, Section II describes in detail about the different types of NoSQL databases available. Section III explains and gives features of MongoDB, a type of NoSQL document store database. Section IV shows the implementation and result of the experiment and finally Section V gives the conclusion.

II. DIFFERENT TYPES OF NOSQL DATABASES

A. Key Value Stores

In this a key which is unique in nature is assigned to single value or set of values. The data stored in the database can be accessed using this key, which is atomic and indestructible. There is no fixed set schema for the data stored in this database. In short the data is unstructured. It makes use of hash table. Unrelated data are stored in the different key value pair so foreign key and various SQL operators are not required. An advantage of this type is that any kind of new values can be added at runtime as it will not conflict with the earlier stored data. This database is particularly useful in distributed systems where the data can be managed very efficiently. Key value store is used in Facebook, Twitter, Project Voldemort and Membase. [1,2,3,8,9].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Value/Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>order_no: orderdate: qty: dely_add: salesman_name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>order_no: orderdate: dely_add: salesman_name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>order_no: orderdate: qty: dely_add:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Key Value Database for Order table

B. Document Stores

The document database stores data which is semi-structured and is in form of Java Script Object Notation (JSON), Extensible Markup Language (XML) or in some other related format. Any document with entirely new and different attributes can be stored easily and also new attributes can be added to the already prevailing documents. Each record and the data associated with it are stored in the document and this can be compared to a tuple of the relational database.
This has the advantage that accessing of data becomes easy and it also decreases the usage of join operations and eases the complex queries. Varied documents are laid together into a collection which does not force constraint on any fixed document structure. The documents in document database can be indexed on the basis of primary identifier and also on the basis of its properties. Some examples of these are MongoDB and Couch DB. [1,2,3,8,9].

### C. Document Stores

In this the related data is stored in the form of column known as column families. There are rows which have unique row key with numerous columns in it. The number of columns in each row of the record can vary. Columns within columns can be nested in this. The outer column is known as super column. A part of the table in this can be searched as each and every entry in the column is indexed. Because of column indexing searching and look-ups of the data is fast. It is easy to maintain database stores. Some of the examples of these types of data stores are Cassandra, Hbase. [1,2,3,8,9].

### D. Graph Databases

It focuses on relationships between data rather than data itself. It makes use of nodes, relationship between nodes and the properties of nodes. Graph databases make use of graph algorithms. This type of databases is used in applications where emphasis is on searching and traversing. This is a better way of searching data than relational database as it is quicker in searching data according to the shortest path algorithm. These databases can be scaled horizontally. Examples of these types of data are Neo4G and InfoGrid. [1,2,3,8,9].

### III. MONGODB

It is a schema less document store database written in C++. It uses JSON like documents. It is flexible as different fields can be used in different documents and also the different data structure can be used. It provides advantages like better performance, adaptability in business, flexibility and agility. It is a cluster of nodes. Since it is a type of document store database, the documents are stored in form of collections. A table in relational databases parallels to a collection in MongoDB, a single document is same as row and a field is mapped to a column [10,11,12].

#### Features of MongoDB:

Some of the features of MongoDB are as follows [11,13,14]:

- a. For read and write operations it has a rich query language. It provides features like sorting, secondary indexes, etc.
- b. Performance is very high as each and every attribute in the document is indexed and this leads to faster queries.
- c. It is open access software easily available and can very conveniently be installed, configured and maintained.
- d. It is easily scalable.
- e. Sharding is one of the most important features of MongoDB. It provides horizontal scaling and thus can handle the unexpected load easily by increasing the number of machines.
- f. It supports multiple storage engines. In fact MongoDB uses Wired Tiger storage engine which also has multiple storage engine.
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT & EVALUATION

The terms used and the way query are handled in SQL and NoSQL are very different. In NoSQL instead of tables, collections are created and rows or columns are replaced by key-value pairs and documents [15,16,17,18]. We have conducted the experiment to compare various queries as used in SQL and MongoDB. Same data is being inserted and retrieved in both the cases to show the difference. The queries for SQL are run on Oracle 10G. MongoDB queries are run on Mongo 4.2. The various SQL queries and its corresponding queries in MongoDB are shown below:

1. SQL QUERIES:
   a) Create Query:
      Create table sales_order (order_no varchar(8),orderdate date, qty int, dely_add char(50), salesman_no varchar(8))
   b) Insertion Query:
      Insert into sales_order (order_no, orderdate, qty, dely_add,salesman_no) values ("O19002","12-Jun-08", 2, "24A Omaxe Palace Delhi","S00001")
   c) Select Query:
      Select * from sales_order where order_no="O19001"
   d) Delete Query:
      Delete from sales_order where order_no="O19001"
   e) Drop Query:
      Drop table sales_order;

2. MONGO DB Queries:
   a) Create Query:
      No special command. Insert command is used for creation and insertion of records.
   b) Insertion Query:
      db.sales_order.insert( {order_no:"O19002", orderdate:"12-June-08", qty:2, dely_add:" 24A Omaxe Palace Delhi", salesman_no:" S00001"})
   c) Select Query:
      db.sales_order.find( { order_no:"O19001"})
   d) Delete Query:
      db.sales_order.remove( {order_no:"O19001"})
   e) Drop Query:
      db.sales_order.drop();

The figure 5 to figure 7 show the queries when run on Oracle. Fig. 5 shows the command for creating table and then inserting data into the created table. Fig. 6 shows query to retrieve a particular record from the table. Finally Fig. 7 shows query to delete a particular record and also drop the entire table.

Figures 8 to figure 10 show the queries when run on MongoDB. In MongoDB separate command need not be utilized for creating the collection. When using insert command, collection is automatically created. Fig. 8 gives the command to create and insert the data when id is not given by the user. In this case an id is given by the system as shown in fig. 8 where find command is used. Fig. 9 shows the insert command when the id is supplied by the user, and the id is visible in fig. 9 where find command is used. Fig. 10 finally shows how to delete a particular record and drop a table.
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Further in this experiment many data in bulk was inserted into and deleted from the table in SQL and collections in MongoDB and the performance is shown below in the figure 11.

Table 1 show the time taken to insert data in SQL and MongoDB and Fig. 11 shows the same in graph. It is clear from the result that the time taken to insert data in MongoDB is much less as compared to that of SQL. Similarly, while deleting data the time taken to delete data in MongoDB is almost same irrespective of the number of records deleted whereas, in SQL it is more as shown in table 2 and depicted in Fig. 12. The result shows that the insert and delete queries performed on large number of records in MongoDB takes much less time than the ones in SQL. This clearly shows that NoSQL is capable of handling huge data easily and also takes much less time in executing the queries relating to it.

**TABLE 1 –Time taken in inserting data (sec)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Data Inserted</th>
<th>SQL (secs)</th>
<th>NoSQL (MongoDB) (secs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50000</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2 –Time taken in deleting data (sec)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Data Deleted</th>
<th>SQL (secs)</th>
<th>NoSQL (MongoDB) (secs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50000</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V. CONCLUSION**

NoSQL databases have become quite popular nowadays as no strict schema is followed which helps in arranging the data in any form and reduces the needs for joins and foreign keys. Since NoSQL are open source software it is easily available. MongoDB is a document store database which stores data in collections in which the size can be easily changed. In this paper MongoDB as NoSQL is used to show a comparison between the SQL and NoSQL databases. The performance as seen from the experimental evaluation clearly shows that MongoDB is faster as compared to the SQL when large set of data, big data, is taken into consideration. Although the security of the data is a concern in NoSQL but with time new techniques are being developed through which this disadvantage will be overcome.
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